

0 H-0006

Pock (2) 10/29/99

In cooperation with the Miami Conservancy District

Use of Environmental Tracers to Evaluate Ground-Water Age and Water-Quality Trends in a Buried-Valley Aquifer, Dayton Area, Southwestern/Ohio

Water-Resources Investigations Report 99-4113

# Use of Environmental Tracers to Evaluate Ground-Water Age and Water-Quality Trends in a Buried-Valley Aquifer, Dayton Area, Southwestern/Ohio

By Gary L. Rowe, Jr., Stephanie Dunkle Shapiro, and Peter Schlosser

Water-Resources Investigations Report 99-4113

In cooperation with the Miami Conservancy District

Columbus, Ohio

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BRUCE BABBITT, Secretary

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY Charles G. Groat, Director

Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Government.

### For additional information write to:

District Chief U.S. Geological Survey 6480 Doubletree Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43229-1111

Copies of this report can be purchased from:

U.S. Geological Survey Branch of Information Services Box 25286 Denver, CO 80225-0286

Columbus, Ohio 1999

## **CONTENTS**

Abst	ract	. 1
Intro	duction	. 2
	Purpose and scope	. 2
	Previous investigations	
	Acknowledgments	
Envi	ronmental setting	
	General area	
	Subregional study areas	
Meth	ods of data collection and analysis	
	Site-selection criteria	
	Collection and analysis of water-quality samples	
	Collection and analysis of chlorofluorocarbon samples	
	Collection and analysis of ground-water samples for dissolved gases	
	Collection and analysis of ground-water samples for tritium, helium, and neon	
	Estimation of ground-water age	
Resu	Its of analyses.	
ICOSU	Onsite water-quality measurements, major ions, and trace constituents	
	Chlorofluorocarbons	
	Other compounds	
	Dissolved gases and evaluation of recharge temperature	
	Tritium, helium, and neon	
Relia	bility of ground-water ages	
	tions between ground-water age and quality	
Itolai	Onsite water-quality measurements	
	Major ions and selected trace constituents	
	Halogenated volatile organic compounds	
Sumi	mary and conclusions	
	rences cited.	
10101		50
FICI	IDEC	
ride	JRES	
1.	Map showing location of study area	
2.	8	. 7
3–8.	Maps showing:	
	3. Areal distribution of all wells and well clusters sampled in the buried-valley aquifer	. 10
	4. Locations of reconnaissance wells sampled	. 11
	5. Locations of wells sampled on or near the Mound Plant	. 12
	6. Locations of wells sampled in or near the Miami well fields	. 14
	7. Locations of wells sampled in or near Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River Well Field	. 15
	8. Locations of surface-water-quality and air-sampling sites	. 17
9.	Graph showing concentrations of chlorofluorocarbon compounds CFC-11, CFC-12, and CFC-113 in air	
	and water at equilibrium at 10°C and 760 feet above sea level.	. 21
10.	Graph showing estimated annual mean tritium concentration in rainwater, 1952-91, southwestern Ohio	
11.	Boxplots showing distribution of temperature, specific-conductance, pH, dissolved-oxygen, and	
	redox-potential data for the buried-valley aquifer	. 25
12.	Trilinear diagram illustrating major-ion data from the buried-valley aquifer	
13.	• • •	

### Figures—Continued

14.		ph showing concentrations of dissolved oxygen, nitrate-N, iron, sulfate, and	
		hane as a function of depth from the water table to top of screened interval in the	
		ed-valley aquifer	
15.		plots showing distribution of major- and minor-ion data for surface-water samples	
16.		showing chlorofluorocarbon concentrations in surface water, September 1995	. 33
17–30	). Gra	phs showing:	
	17.	Concentrations of dissolved nitrogen and argon in the buried-valley aquifer	. 36
	18.	CFC-11 and CFC-113 recharge year as a function of CFC-12 recharge year for	
		ground water from the buried-valley aquifer	. 39
	19.	Tritium concentrations as a function of CFC-12 recharge year for ground water	
		from the buried-valley aquifer	. 40
	20.	CFC-12 recharge year as a function of <sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He recharge year for ground water	
		from the buried-valley aquifer	. 41
	21.	Concentrations of dissolved oxygen, nitrate-N, iron, and methane as a function of the	
		difference between <sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He age and CFC-12 age for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer	. 42
	22.	Sum of tritium and tritiogenic helium 3 [3H-3He] as a function of 3H-3He recharge year	
		for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer	. 43
	23.		
		for the buried-valley aquifer	. 44
	24.	Simulated traveltimes as a function of <sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He age for selected wells at the Mound	
		Plant and Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River Well Field	
		Distribution of well depth and <sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He ages for ground-water samples from the buried-valley aquifer	. 47
	26.	Temperature, pH, specific conductance, and dissolved-oxygen concentration as a function of	
		<sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He recharge year for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer	. 48
	27.		
	••	and dolomite as a function of <sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He recharge year for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer	. 50
	28.	Sodium plus chloride, nitrate plus nitrite, dissolved or total organic carbon,	
		and boron concentrations as a function of <sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He recharge year for ground water	- 1
	20	from the buried-valley aquifer	. 51
	29.		
		index of chalcedony as a function of <sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He recharge year for ground water from the	
	20	buried-valley aquifer	. 32
	30.		
		were detected and (B) distribution of halogenated-VOC detections and nondetections in relation to well depth and <sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He age for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer	- 1
		relation to well depth and "H-"He age for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer	. 54
TABL	ES		
1	. W	ater-quality data for surface-water samples	. 60
2		oncentrations of dissolved chlorofluorocarbon compounds and average recharge	
		ar for ground-water samples from the buried-valley aquifer	. 63
3	. Cl	nlorofluorocarbon concentrations in surface-water samples	. 32
4	. Cl	nlorofluorocarbon concentrations and percent excess relative to Northern	
		emisphere mean air for air samples collected near Dayton, Ohio, October 1995	. 34
5		mmary of nitrous oxide, reduced sulfur, and halogenated volatile organic	
	co	mpound detections in wells screened in the buried-valley aquifer	. 69
6	. Co	oncentrations of dissolved gases in water samples from wells screened	
		the buried-valley aquifer	. 38
7		oncentrations of tritium, neon, and helium and <sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He recharge year	
	fo	r ground-water samples from the buried-valley aquifer	. 74

### CONVERSION FACTORS, VERTICAL DATUM, AND ABBREVIATED UNITS OF MEASURE

Multiply	Ву	To obtain	
	Length		
inch (in)	25.4	millimeter	
inch (in)	25,400	micrometer	
foot (ft)	0.3048	meter	
mile (mi)	1.609	kilometer	
	Area		
acre	0.4047	hectare	
square mile (mi <sup>2</sup> )	2.590	square kilometer	
	Volume		
gallon (gal)	3.785	liter	
	Flow		
gallons per minute (gal/min)	3.785	liter per minute	
million gallons per day (Mgal/d)	0.04381	cubic meter per second	
	Rate of Accumulation	on	
inch per year (in/yr)	25.4	millimeter per year	

Temperature, in degrees Celsius (°C), can be converted to degrees Fahrenheit (°F) by use of the following equation:

$$^{\circ}F = 1.8(^{\circ}C) + 32$$

**Vertical Datum:** In this report "sea level" refers to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD of 1929)—a geodetic datum derived from a general adjustment of the first-order level nets of both the United States and Canada, formerly called Sea Level Datum of 1929.

Other abbreviated units used in this report: Chemical concentration is given in milligrams per liter (mg/L) or micrograms per liter  $(\mu g/L)$ . These units express the concentration of chemical constituents in solution as weight (milligrams or micrograms) of solute per unit volume (liter) of solvent (water). Volumes of water-quality samples are given in liters (L) or milliliters (mL). Pore sizes of membrane filters are given in micrometers  $(\mu m)$ .

Specific conductance of water is expressed in microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius ( $\mu$ S/cm). This unit is equivalent to micromhos per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius ( $\mu$ mho/cm), formerly used by the U.S. Geological Survey. Redox potential (Eh) is given in millivolts (mV).

Activities of tritium are presented in tritium units (TU) where 1 TU = 3.24 picocuries per liter (pCi/L).

Dissolved gas concentrations are presented in units of mg/L or as cubic centimeters of gas at standard temperature and pressure per gram water (ccSTP/g  $H_2O$ ). Excess-air content is given in cubic centimeters per kilogram of water (cm<sup>3</sup>/kg  $H_2O$ ).

Concentrations of chlorofluorocarbons in water are given in units of picograms per kilogram (pg/kg), and concentrations of chlorofluorocarbons in air are given in units of parts per trillion by volume (pptv).

# Use of Environmental Tracers To Evaluate Ground-Water Age and Water-Quality Trends in a Buried-Valley Aquifer, Dayton Area, Southwestern Ohio

By Gary L. Rowe, Jr., Stephanie Dunkle Shapiro, and Peter Schlosser

### **ABSTRACT**

Chlorofluorocarbons (CFC method) and tritium and helium isotopes ( ${}^{3}\text{H-}{}^{3}\text{He}$  method) were used as environmental tracers to estimate ground-water age in conjunction with efforts to develop a regional ground-water flow model of the buried-valley aquifer in the Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. This report describes results of CFC and water-quality sampling, summarizes relevant aspects of previously published work, and describes the use of  ${}^{3}\text{H-}^{3}\text{He}$  ages to characterize temporal trends in ground-water quality of the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio.

Results of CFC sampling indicate that approximately 25 percent of the 137 sampled wells were contaminated with excess CFC's that rendered the ground water unsuitable for age dating. Evaluation of CFC ages obtained for the remaining samples indicated that the CFC compounds used for dating were being affected by microbial degradation. The degradation occurred under anoxic conditions that are found in most parts of the buried-valley aquifer. As a result, ground-water ages derived by the CFC method were too old and were inconsistent with measured tritium concentrations and independently derived  $^3\text{H}$ - $^3\text{H}$ e ages. Limited data indicate that dissolved methane may play an important role in the degradation of the CFC's. In contrast, the  $^3\text{H}$ - $^3\text{H}$ e technique was found to yield ground-water ages that were chemically and hydrologically reasonable.

Ground-water ages derived by the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He technique were compared to values for selected water-quality characteristics to evaluate temporal trends in ground-water quality in the buried-valley aquifer. Distinct temporal trends were not identified for pH, alkalinity, or calcium and magnesium because of rapid equilibration of ground-water with calcite and dolomite in aquifer sediments. Temporal trends in which the amount of scatter and the number of outlier concentrations increased as ground-water age decreased were noted for sodium, potassium, boron, bromide, chloride, ammonia, nitrate, phosphate, sulfate, and organic carbon. Elevated concentrations of these constituents in shallow ground water are probably related to human activities. Temporal trends in which concentrations declined as ground-water age increased may reflect natural processes that reduce constituent concentrations to low levels. For example, the absence of nitrate detections in ground water recharged before 1980 may indicate natural removal of nitrate by bacterially mediated denitrification. Temporal trends observed for dissolved oxygen, iron, nitrate and silica indicate that these constituents may help identify recently (post-1990) recharged ground water.

### INTRODUCTION

Glacial outwash deposits that fill the Great Miami River Valley are the main source of water for the city of Dayton and many surrounding communities in southwestern Ohio. The regional buried-valley aquifer system was classified as a sole-source aquifer in 1988 by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) (1993). Because of past land use and waste-disposal practices, however, the area in and around Dayton includes many sites identified by USEPA under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Although numerous site-specific hydrogeologic studies have been done in the Dayton area, no comprehensive study of the regional hydrogeology of the buried-valley aquifer has been done since the mid-1960's (Norris and Spieker, 1966).

The City of Dayton and other municipalities that produce water from the Great Miami buried-valley aquifer have developed, or are in the process of developing, comprehensive wellhead-protection plans to safeguard municipal well fields from contamination. Ground-water flow models used to evaluate various wellhead-protection plans, and all USEPA CERCLA activities, are site specific in their scope. Therefore, the regional effects of chemical spills or future development on the buried-valley aquifer as a whole are largely unknown. To address such regional issues, USEPA entered into a cooperative agreement with the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) in 1991 to develop a regional ground-water flow model and hydrogeologic data base of the Great Miami buried-valley aquifer near Dayton. Because of widespread local interest in the regional model, a cooperative agreement between the USGS, the Miami Conservancy District (MCD), the City of Dayton, and 21 other municipal and private entities was reached to provide additional support for development of the regional ground-water flow model. A description of methods and data used to construct the regional flow model is given by Dumouchelle (1998a, b).

Because many aquifer-management decisions are based on numerical models, assessing model accuracy is important. Model evaluations are traditionally done by visual or statistical comparisons of simulated water-level and flow data with measured water-level and flow data. Alternatively, environmental-tracer techniques that involve measurements of chlorofluorocarbon compounds (CFC method) and tritium and helium isotopes (<sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He method) have been used to estimate the age of ground water in shallow unconsolidated aquifers. Ground-water ages obtained at multiple locations and depths in an aquifer can be used to estimate rates and directions of ground-water flow, which can then be compared with results of numerical simulations to assess model accuracy. Therefore, as part of the agreement reached with MCD and its cooperators, an environmental tracer study was done during 1993–96 to obtain information about the age of ground water in the buried-valley aquifer.

Specific objectives of the environmental tracer study were to (1) use environmental-tracer techniques to estimate the age of ground water at selected locations in the Great Miami buried-valley aquifer, (2) apply estimates of ground-water age to the calibration and refinement of existing numerical flow models of selected parts of the aquifer, and (3) characterize temporal trends in ground-water quality of the aquifer. Results of ground-water age dating by use of the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He technique and the application of ground-water ages to calibration of flow models of selected parts of the buried-valley aquifer are given by Shapiro and others (1998) and Sheets and others (1998), respectively. (See "Previous Investigations" section.)

### Purpose and scope

This report describes results of CFC and water-quality sampling done as part of the environmental tracer study, summarizes relevant aspects of previously published work, and characterizes temporal trends in ground-water quality of the buried-valley aquifer on the basis of environmental-tracer ages.

### **Previous investigations**

The hydrogeology of the Great Miami buried-valley aquifer near Dayton is summarized in several reports, including those by Norris and others (1948, 1950, and 1952), Walton and Scudder (1960), Norris and Spieker (1966), Spieker, (1968), Fidler (1975), CH2M-Hill (1986a, b; 1989), Geraghty & Miller (1987), Dumouchelle and others (1993), Cunningham and others (1994), Sheets (1994), and Ritzi and others (1994, 1995). Water-quality data for ground water pumped from the selected parts of the buried-valley aquifer within the study area are reported in

Norris and Spieker, (1966), Evans (1977), Pennino (1984), CH2M Hill (1986a, b; 1989), Geraghty & Miller (1987), Dumouchelle and others (1993), and Rowe (in Schalk and others, 1996).

Reports by Norris and Spieker (1966) and Spieker (1968) contain the most comprehensive description of regional ground-water resources in the Dayton area. These reports include detailed sections on the geology and hydrology of the glacial outwash deposits, aquifer-test data for several major well fields, and maps detailing surface geology, bedrock-surface-elevation contours, and potentiometric surface. More recently, Ritzi and others (1994, 1995) used geostatistical methods to quantify and predict physical heterogeneity in selected parts the buried-valley aquifer, including the distribution of low-permeability tills south of Dayton. Yost (1995) presents synoptic water-level, streambed-permeability, and streamflow data for the Dayton area collected in September 1993. A map showing water levels and flow directions in the Great Miami buried-valley aquifer based on the September 1993 data set is given by Dumouchelle (1998a). These and other hydrogeologic data were used by Dumouchelle (1998b) to develop and calibrate a steady-state, regional ground-water flow model of the Great Miami buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio.

Site-specific hydrogeologic descriptions of selected parts of the buried-valley aquifer can be found in reports that describe ground-water flow models developed for water-resource management purposes or for predicting rates and directions of contaminant migration from known or suspected pollution sources. These include reports by Fidler (1975), CH2M-Hill (1986a, b; 1989), Geraghty & Miller (1987), Field (1991), Dames & Moore and others (1992), Dumouchelle and others (1993), Cunningham and others (1994), Sheets (1994), and the U.S. Department of Energy and EG&G Mound Applied Technology Corporation (1995).

The use of environmental tracer methods to estimate ground-water age, including the CFC, <sup>3</sup>H, and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He methods, is reviewed by Plummer and others (1993). Additional recent studies that describe the use of the CFC and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He methods for estimating ground-water age include those by Dunkle and others (1993), Solomon and others (1993, 1995), Ekwurzel and others (1994), Bohlke and Denver (1995), Cook and others (1995), Katz and others (1995), Solomon and others (1995), and Szabo and others (1996). Studies examining the use of environmental tracers to improve the calibration of ground-water-flow models include those by Reilly and others (1994), Katz and others (1995), Solomon and others (1993, 1995), and Szabo and others (1996).

Data and analytical methods used to determine the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages of ground-water samples collected for this study are discussed by Shapiro and others (1998). These researchers reported several complications to successful application of the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He method for age dating ground water in the Great Miami buried-valley aquifer, including (1) sampling in parts of the aquifer affected by heavy pumping and induced infiltration of river water, (2) the presence of tritium contamination in some parts of the aquifer, and (3) elevated concentrations of radiogenic helium, which affects interpretation of the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages, in a significant percentage of the samples. Shapiro and others (1998) evaluated the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages for geochemical and hydrogeologic consistency. Consistency of the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages with known tritium geochemistry was evaluated by comparing the sum of tritium and tritiogenic helium-3 [3H+3He<sub>trit</sub>] against the estimated tritium-input function for rainwater in southwestern Ohio. In general, close agreement was found between [3H+3He<sub>trit</sub>] and estimated tritium concentrations in rainwater. Deviations from initial tritium concentrations were explained by (1) dispersion that causes lower reconstructed peak tritium values, (2) mixing at discharge areas that caused some samples with low [3H+3He<sub>trit</sub>] values to have younger ages than expected, and (3) local sources of tritium that resulted in affected samples having [3H+3He<sub>trit</sub>] concentrations in excess of those predicted by the rainwater input curve. Hydrologic consistency of the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages was evaluated by examining trends in <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages as a function of depth and distance along ground-water flowpaths near the Miami and North Miami Well Fields and the Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River Well Field area. With few exceptions, ground-water age increased with depth and increasing distance along the regional flowpath. Ground water also became younger as the distance between the recharge area and the major pumping centers decreased (Shapiro and others, 1998).

Sheets and others (1998) used the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages reported by Shapiro and others (1998) to calibrate and improve numerical flow models of selected parts of the Great Miami buried-valley aquifer. Reverse particle tracking was done with two existing steady-state ground-water flow models: a two-layer model of the buried-valley aquifer near the Mound Plant, and a regional model of the buried-valley aquifer underlying the Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River Well Field area. Results of the initial comparisons showed that <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages for ground-

water samples from shallow and intermediate-depth wells were in close agreement with the simulated ages derived by reverse-particle tracking. Significant differences were common, however, between the two sets of ages for wells screened in deeper parts of the buried-valley aquifer; at several wells, simulated traveltimes of several decades or more were clearly incompatible with  $^3$ H- $^3$ He ages of 30 years or less. Hydrologically reasonable modifications to the flow model of Wright-Patterson Air Force Base were shown by Sheets and others (1998) to result in improved agreement between the  $^3$ H- $^3$ He ages and simulated traveltimes.

### **Acknowledgments**

The authors thank the following persons and agencies for allowing access to monitoring wells, providing logistical support during ground-water sampling, and furnishing hydrogeologic and water-quality data: The Miami Conservancy District; personnel of the City of Dayton, including Lawrence Blossom and others at the Department of Water Supply and Treatment; Douglas Hall, Assistant City Manager, and James P. Shoemaker, Office of Environmental Protection; Wright-Patterson Air Force Base; the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water; the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Southwest District; the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission; the U.S. Department of Energy; Alec Bray and other personnel of E.G.&G. Mound Applied Technologies; and Roger McCready and Brent Huntsman of Terran Corporation.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING**

### General area

The study area is in southwestern Ohio and is restricted to areas underlain by the Great Miami buried-valley aquifer near Dayton (fig. 1). Highly productive parts of the buried-valley aquifer that are used for water supply are found along the drainages of the Great Miami, Mad, Stillwater, and Little Miami Rivers. The study area is centered on Dayton, which is about 50 mi northeast of Cincinnati and about 65 mi west of Columbus. About 250 mi<sup>2</sup> of the study area, including most of Montgomery County and parts of southwestern Clark County and northwestern Greene County, are underlain by the buried-valley aquifer (fig. 1).

Census data compiled for 1990 indicate that Montgomery County had a total population of 573,809 (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1991). Within the study area, approximately 460,000 people live in Dayton and surrounding communities. Dayton is a major industrial center for southwestern Ohio; factories in and around Dayton produce automobile parts, air-conditioning units, business machines, computer equipment, paper, and chemical products. The study area is home to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base (8,500 acres) which is northeast of downtown Dayton and employs about 35,000 military and civilian personnel. Past industrial activities in the area included production of refrigerators at a large plant near downtown Dayton. Because CFC compounds were the main refrigerants used in refrigerators and air conditioners in the years prior to this study, past industrial activity may have affected the concentration of CFC compounds in air and water in the Dayton area.

The study area is in the north temperate climate zone. Long-term precipitation data for 12 rain gages maintained by MCD and (or) the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) indicate that mean annual precipitation throughout the study area is about 39 in/yr. Mean annual rainfall for the downtown Dayton station (period of record 1883–1991) was 37.6 in., with a standard deviation of 6.1 in. The maximum annual rainfall of 55.3 in. was recorded in 1990, and the minimum annual rainfall of 23.7 in. was recorded in 1934 (Miami Conservancy District, written commun., 1992). Mean monthly rainfall data indicate that precipitation is relatively evenly distributed throughout the year. In June, the wettest month, precipitation normally ranges from 3.8 to 4.4 in.; in October, the driest month, precipitation normally ranges from 2.0 to 2.2 in. Mean annual temperature at downtown Dayton and at the Dayton International Airport (about 10 mi north of downtown Dayton) during 1951–91 was approximately 12°C (54°F) and 11°C (52°F), respectively (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, written commun., 1992). Long-term temperature records indicate that July is the warmest month (mean temperature 24 to 25°C) and January is the coldest (mean temperature -2 to -3°C). Annual snowfall in the study area typically ranges from 20 to 30 in. (Harstine, 1991).





Figure 1. Location of study area.


The study area is in the Till Plains section of the Central Lowland Physiographic Province (Fenneman, 1938). Present-day topography of the Till Plains is the result of continental glaciation during the Pleistocene epoch. Valleys incised into uplifted Silurian and Ordovician bedrock by preglacial drainage systems were buried by sediments deposited by advancing glaciers (glacial tills) or filled in by sediments deposited by glacial meltwaters (outwash deposits). The result is a land surface that is flat to gently rolling. Land elevation across the study area ranges from 690 ft to more than 1,000 ft above sea level. The relatively flat flood plains of the major rivers range from 690 ft to 790 ft above sea level along the Great Miami River to a maximum of 860 ft above sea level in the northeast corner of the study area along the Mad River. Dayton is at the confluence of the Great Miami, Stillwater, and Mad Rivers at an altitude of about 750 ft above sea level.

The buried-valley aquifer near Dayton is composed of a heterogeneous mixture of coarse and fine-grained sediments and is underlain by Ordovician bedrock consisting of a poorly permeable sequence of interbedded shale and limestone (Norris and Spieker, 1966). The Ordovician bedrock is generally considered to be impermeable to regional ground-water flow. More permeable Silurian carbonate formations crop out along valley walls; small springs, which are common along the contact between Silurian and Ordovician bedrock, represent localized sources of recharge to the buried-valley aquifer. Glacial sediments that comprise the buried-valley aquifer range in thickness from about 150 to 300 ft and have been subdivided into three distinct layers (fig. 2). These include upper and lower outwash deposits composed of massive or cross-bedded sands and gravels deposited by glacial meltwaters and an intervening till-rich layer composed of clay and silt-rich sediments (Norris and Spieker, 1966; Ritzi and others, 1995). The till-rich zone ranges in thickness from 0 to 50 ft and is laterally discontinuous throughout the study area (fig. 2). Where present, the till layer can cause confined or semiconfined conditions in the lower aquifer. In many areas thin, laterally discontinuous lenses of clay or silt-rich sediment are found throughout the entire thickness of the aquifer. Many of these lenses have gradational contacts with adjacent sand and gravel beds, making stratigraphic correlation at distances greater than tens or hundreds of feet extremely difficult (Dumouchelle and others, 1993; Ritzi and others, 1995).

On a regional scale, potentiometric contours mimic surface topography except where water levels have been affected by heavy pumping (Dumouchelle, 1998a). Near bedrock valley walls, ground-water flowpaths are typically towards the centers of the valleys but then become parallel or subparallel to streams in the centers of the valleys. Regional ground-water flow tends to follow the gradient of bedrock valleys carved out by the preglacial drainage system, which is generally to the south-southwest (Dumouchelle, 1998a). Recharge by infiltration of precipitation occurs in the upland areas and in areas covered by more permeable soils and glacial sediments; recharge is also induced from streams and rivers by pumping near major well fields. Some recharge occurs where bedrock-valley walls contact valley-fill deposits; ground-water discharge usually occurs at or adjacent to major streams. During periods of low streamflow, sustained ground-water discharge (base flow) can be the predominant source of water to the stream, as in the Mad River (Koltun, 1995). During periods of high streamflow, however, flow reversals occur and infiltration of streamwater through freshly scoured streambeds and adjacent, permeable bank sediments can be a significant temporary source of recharge to the buried-valley aquifer (Norris and Spieker, 1966; Dumouchelle, 1998b). In most parts of the study area, the water table is within 10 to 20 ft of land surface except near major well fields or in upland areas, where depth to water can be 50 ft or more (Yost, 1995).

The buried-valley aquifer is the primary source of water for Dayton and surrounding communities. Ground-water withdrawals in the Dayton area for municipal, commercial, and industrial water supply totaled about 135 Mgal/d in September 1993 (Dumouchelle, 1998b). About 55 percent of the total supply in the Dayton area is obtained from production wells at the Miami and Mad River Well Fields operated by the City of Dayton (fig. 1). Both of the these well fields have artificial recharge systems that rely on induced infiltration of streamwater diverted from the Great Miami and Mad Rivers, respectively.





**Figure 2.** Geologic sections *A-A'* and *B-B'* through selected parts of the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. Sections modified from those given in Norris and Spieker, 1966. (Section lines shown in fig. 1.)

### Subregional study areas

Environmental tracer sampling was concentrated in three areas where detailed hydrogeologic information and extensive networks of monitoring wells already existed: (1) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base (WPAFB)-Mad River Well Field in the northeastern part of the study area, (2) Miami and North Miami Well Fields north of downtown Dayton, and (3) Mound Plant near Miamisburg, Ohio (fig. 1). Additional samples were collected throughout the entire study area during a reconnaissance survey at the beginning of the project.

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River Well Field. WPAFB overlies the buried-valley aquifer associated with the Mad River Valley. This part of the aquifer is heavily used for water supply and contains well fields operated by the cities of Dayton and Fairborn, WPAFB, and local industry. The largest withdrawals are at the Mad River Well Field, which is west of WPAFB and just downstream from Huffman Dam. The Mad River Well Field typically withdraws 30 to 50 Mgal/d, mostly from shallow supply wells on the Rohrer's Island artificial-recharge facility. At Rohrer's Island, the City of Dayton maintains a 20-acre network of ditches and lagoons that are used to facilitate direct recharge of the buried-valley aquifer by water diverted from the Mad River. This artificial-recharge system originated as a series of ditches constructed in the early 1900's; the current configuration of recharge lagoons has been in operation since the late 1930's (James P. Shoemaker, City of Dayton, oral commun., 1998). Waste-disposal practices associated with operation of WPAFB have contaminated ground water, primarily with low levels of volatile organic compounds (VOC's). This contamination has affected supply wells at WPAFB and at the Mad River Well Field, which is downgradient from WPAFB (Geraghty & Miller, 1987; Schalk and others, 1996).

The hydrogeology of the Mad River aquifer near WPAFB and the Mad River Well Field has been described by Norris and others (1948), Norris (1959), Norris and Spieker (1966), Geraghty & Miller (1987), and Dumouchelle and others (1993). Major hydrogeologic features of this part of the buried-valley aquifer include a sharp narrowing of the bedrock valley near Huffman Dam and the presence of a thick, laterally extensive till sheet (fig. 2). The top of the till, whose thickness is about 75 ft, is found at depths of 30 to 50 ft. The till clearly separates the buried-valley aquifer into upper and lower aquifers near the Mad River Well Field, but it is discontinuous north of Rohrer's Island (Norris and Spieker, 1966). Regional ground-water flow is towards the west-southwest except near the Mad River Well Field, where the regional flow gradient is towards the well field (Schalk, 1992).

Miami and North Miami Well Fields. The Miami and North Miami Well Fields are north of Dayton, adjacent to the Great Miami River (fig. 1). The Miami Well Field is about 3 mi north of downtown Dayton and has been operated since the 1950's. Direct recharge to the aquifer is provided by a series of recharge lagoons that receive water pumped from the Great Miami River. Pumpage at the Miami Well Field varies from 15 to 30 Mgal/d, depending on the season. The North Miami Well Field is about 5 mi north of downtown Dayton and is being developed by Dayton to meet increasing water demands. At the time of sampling (1993, 1994), wells in the North Miami Well Field were not being pumped, so the flow system in this part of the aquifer was relatively undisturbed when environmental tracer samples were collected for this study. The two well fields are in a designated well-field protection zone; however, land use in upland areas adjacent to the well fields is mixed commercial and residential, and groundwater contamination has been found in several monitoring wells on the northern boundaries of both well fields (CH2M Hill, 1986, 1989).

The hydrogeology of the buried-valley aquifer near the Miami and North Miami well fields is similar to that described for WPAFB. Glacial valley-fill deposits are highly heterogeneous, with extreme lithologic variation over short distances and with depth. Clay and silt-rich zones are interbedded with sand and gravel deposits but are laterally discontinuous, especially near the Miami Well Field. More continuous tills are found east of the Great Miami River near the edge of bedrock valley wall (Norris and Spieker, 1966; CH2M-Hill, 1986a, 1989). Regional groundwater flow is towards the south except near the edge of the valley and near the Miami Well Field, where a cone of depression has developed.

Mound Plant. The Mound Plant in Miamisburg, Ohio, is an integrated research, development, and production facility operated by a private company for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) in support of Federal weapons and energy programs. The plant began operations in 1949. Remedial investigations related to historical disposal practices and release of contaminants to the environment led to the Mound Plant's being placed on the National Priorities List (Superfund) in November 1989 (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1989). Contaminants affecting ground water are mainly VOC's; however, both airborne and liquid releases of tritium occurred in the

past, and these have affected tritium concentrations in ground water at locations both downgradient and downwind of the Mound Plant (U.S. Department of Energy, 1991, 1995). The prevailing wind direction across the Mound Plant is towards the northeast (Dames and Moore, 1973).

The Mound Plant was built on a bedrock high on the east bank of the Great Miami River. The bedrock is a poorly permeable Ordovician shale; however, bedding-plane and vertical fractures associated with an upper weathered zone facilitate ground-water flow through the upper 50–60 ft of the sequence. The fracture zone is above the contact with the glacial sediments in the valley; therefore, direct recharge of the buried-valley aquifer by ground water flowing through these fractures does not occur. However, a small tributary valley filled with fine silt and sand that trends east and northeast across the central part of the Mound Plant provides a hydraulic connection to the main part of the buried-valley aquifer and a potential path for contaminant migration. The hydrogeology of the main bedrock valley west of the Mound Plant is similar to that in other parts of the buried-valley aquifer described previously (Norris and Spieker, 1966). Total thickness of the main buried-valley aquifer near the Mound plant approaches 180 ft; width of the bedrock valley directly west of the Mound Plant is about half a mile. Regional ground-water flow is towards the south and is nearly parallel to bedrock valley walls except where deflected by pumping associated with supply wells operated by the City of Miamisburg (west bank Great Miami River) and the Mound Plant (east bank Great Miami River) (U.S. Department of Energy, 1995).

### **METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS**

In this section, methods used to collect and analyze environmental samples for the environmental tracer study are described. Site-selection criteria and construction data pertaining to wells used to obtain ground-water samples also are described.


### Site-selection criteria

Site-selection criteria for the environmental tracer study reflect overall project objectives and method-specific considerations that are required to successfully apply the environmental tracer techniques used in this study.

Ground water. Sites used for water-quality and environmental tracer sampling were selected on the basis of the following criteria: (1) location, (2) depth, (3) relative position along regional and local flowpaths, (4) presence/absence of multidepth well clusters, (5) screen length, (6) presence/absence of supply pump, (7) well-construction materials, (8) availability of long-term water-level/water-quality data, and (9) site accessibility (including ability to obtain permission to sample well). Criteria 1 through 4 are related to the primary objectives of the environmental tracer study, which were to use environmental tracer techniques to estimate the age of ground water at selected depths and locations in the buried-valley aquifer and then apply those estimates to the calibration and refinement of ground-water flow models. Criteria 5 through 8 were used to minimize various factors associated with sampling existing wells that could affect the reliability the tracer-derived ages. Each of these criteria is discussed in more detail below. Ancillary information regarding wells sampled during this study, such as location, elevation, casing material, screen length, and water level at the time of sampling is available online at http://oh.water.usgs.gov/reports/daytonwells.html or as hard copy from the Columbus, Ohio, office of the USGS; locations of all wells and well clusters sampled are shown in figure 3.

Location and depth were the primary selection criteria for the 137 wells that were sampled as part of the environmental tracer study. Twenty-four wells were sampled as part of an initial reconnaissance survey in June 1993 to give a representative spatial and depth distribution throughout the study area (fig. 4). Total depths of individual wells in the initial reconnaissance group ranged from about 14 to 238 ft below land surface (bls). Wells in the reconnaissance group were constructed of black steel, stainless steel, or polyvinyl chloride (PVC) casing. Well screens (if present) were made of similar materials, were perforated or slotted, and were less than 10 ft in length.

Wells sampled in September-October 1993 and July-September 1994 were chosen to provide samples along known or inferred flowpaths at each of the three subregional study areas (Mound Plant, Miami-North Miami Well Fields, WPAFB-Mad River Well Field). At the Mound Plant, 30 wells were sampled (fig. 5). Mound Plant wells



**Figure 3.** Areal distribution of all wells and well clusters sampled in the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. (Locations of wells by well type and subarea are shown in figs. 4-7.)






Figure 4. Locations of reconnaissance wells sampled, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio.





**Figure 5.** Locations of wells sampled on or near the Mound Plant, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. (Relation of this subarea to other study subareas is shown in fig. 3.)


ranged in depth from 20 to 160 ft bls, although only 3 of the 30 were deeper than 100 ft. Casings and well screens were constructed of PVC or stainless steel, with screen lengths ranging from 2 to 10 ft (one well with no screen reported). Thirty-nine wells were sampled at the North Miami and Miami Well Fields area. Wells and well clusters selected for sampling were located along the regional flow direction, which is south towards the Miami Well Field (fig. 6). Most of the wells sampled were monitoring wells owned by the City of Dayton and consisted of shallow-deep well pairs. Shallow wells were screened at depths ranging from 40 to 70 ft bls, whereas deep wells were screened at depths of 100 to 150 ft bls. These wells, with few exceptions, were made of 4-in.-diameter PVC casing and had slotted screens ranging in length from 5 to 20 ft (most commonly 10 ft).


At the WPAFB-Mad River Well Field area, a total of 44 wells were sampled. Fourteen of these were shallow-deep well pairs installed by the City of Dayton to monitor water quality around the Mad River Well Field (fig. 7). Shallow wells were completed at depths from 24 to 60 ft bls, whereas deep wells were completed at depths from 110 to 152 ft bls. Screen lengths ranged from 10 to 23 ft. These wells were designed to sample ground water from well-defined upper and lower sand and gravel aquifers that are separated by the thick till layer that underlies Rohrer's Island at the Mad River Well Field (fig. 2). Wells sampled at WPAFB were constructed of 4-in.-diameter stainless-steel casing and had stainless-steel screens ranging in length from 5 to 15 ft. These wells were part of multidepth clusters of three to four wells that were screened at depths ranging from 15 to 25 ft bls (at the water table) to 180 ft bls (Dumouchelle and de Roche, 1991). Wells from WPAFB were grouped with those near the Mad River Well Field because regional ground-water flow from Areas A and C and Area B of WPAFB is towards the Mad River Well Field (Dumouchelle and others, 1993; Cunningham and others, 1994; Dumouchelle, 1998a).

For the subregional studies, wells or well clusters along regional or local ground-water flowpaths were targeted for sampling. Wells or well clusters along the perimeter of major well fields also were targeted. Along flowpaths, wells at recharge and discharge areas were sampled. Shallow wells were preferred for sampling in recharge areas and multidepth well clusters were preferred along the flowpath and at discharge areas. Ground-water flow directions were identified by examination of published and unpublished water-level data available for the Mound Plant, WPAFB-Mad River Well Field, and Miami and North Miami Well Fields. At WPAFB, results of reverse particle-tracking analysis (Cunningham and others, 1994) done with the ground-water-flow model developed by Dumouchelle and others (1993) were used to calculate traveltimes from the screened interval of existing monitoring wells to corresponding recharge areas. Wells whose simulated traveltimes were within the 40- to 50-year limit of the  $^3$ H- $^3$ He and CFC methods were then targeted for environmental tracer sampling.


Paired shallow-deep wells or well clusters consisting of three or more wells were targeted for environmental tracer sampling to provide information about age-depth relations in the buried-valley aquifer. Wells with long (>10 ft) screens were also generally avoided because long well screens increase the potential for mixing of old and young waters that may have significantly different concentrations of CFC's or tritium (Sheets and others, 1998). In all, 18 wells (mostly monitoring wells owned by the City of Dayton) had screens greater than 10 ft long (11 to 23 ft); the remaining wells sampled in this study had screen lengths of 10 ft or less. Wells that contained dedicated pumps, such as domestic or industrial supply wells, were avoided because such pumps usually have parts made of rubber, nylon, or other materials that can affect CFC concentrations (Busenberg and others, 1992).


Wells where long-term water-level or water-quality data had been collected were preferred for sampling because these data provided information regarding general flow directions and the occurrence of contaminants that could affect the concentration of environmental tracers. Long-term water-level records were used to help define the relative position of a well in the regional flow system and, for sites with multiple wells, the vertical hydraulic gradient between adjacent wells. Average vertical hydraulic gradients were used to determine whether the site was in a recharge or discharge area in the buried-valley aquifer (downward gradient corresponds to a recharge area; upward gradient corresponds to a discharge area) because this would affect the expected age distribution observed at the site. Available water-quality data were also examined to determine the hydrogeochemistry of the aquifer at the well site and to identify wells contaminated with VOC's. Wells known to be contaminated with VOC's were generally avoided because ground water that has detectable concentrations of VOC's often has levels of CFC's too high to be useful for age-dating. However, some wells known to contain detectable concentrations of VOC's were sampled because of their position along identified flowpaths and because VOC's do not affect the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He method of dating.






**Figure 6.** Locations of wells sampled in or near the Miami well fields, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. (Relation of this subarea to other study subareas is shown in fig. 3.)







### **EXPLANATION**

BURIED VALLEY

- WPAFB AREA WELL LOCATION
- ▼ RECONNAISSANCE WELL
- + PRODUCTION WELL

**Figure 7.** Locations of well sampled in or near Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River Well Field, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. (Relation of this subarea to other study subareas is shown in fig. 3).

Issues pertaining to site accessibility were the final set of criteria applied to deciding which wells would be sampled for the environmental tracer study. These include obtaining permission from the well owner to sample the well and being able to access the wellhead. At many locations, it was necessary to temporarily remove dedicated sampling pumps so that the specialized pump used to collect environmental tracer samples could be inserted in the well. Removal and replacement of existing pumps required an additional set of permissions from the well owner.

Surface water. Twenty-one surface-water sites were sampled for dissolved major ions and CFC's in September 1995 to assess CFC concentrations in major streams in the study area (fig. 8). The purpose of this reconnaissance sampling was to evaluate the occurrence and distribution of CFC's in streams and their relation to the distribution of major industrial and wastewater-treatment plant effluent. Such effluent has been shown to be a significant source of CFC's in surface water (Busenberg and Plummer, 1992). Because many discharge locations are upstream from well fields affected by induced infiltration of surface water, it was important to determine whether high concentrations of CFC's in streamwater could be affecting CFC concentrations in shallow ground water in and around these well fields. Surface-water sampling for CFC's was therefore done at easily accessible sites both upstream and downstream from major industrial and wastewater-treatment plant discharge locations (fig. 8). Flow-duration data given by Johnson and Metzker (1981) indicate that for September–November, streamflows recorded on the Great Miami, Mad, and Stillwater Rivers at the time of sampling are equaled or exceeded 25 to 31 percent of the time. This statistic indicates that the surface-water samples collected in September 1995 contained a significant component of surface-water runoff.

Air. Air samples for CFC analysis were collected at three sites over three consecutive days in October 1995 to examine spatial and temporal trends in the three CFC compounds of interest in this study (fig. 8). The northern-most sampling site (Air-1) was at the base of Taylorsville Dam near well MT-287 in a largely rural setting. Site Air-2 was adjacent to the water-treatment facility at the Miami Well Field and near well MT-366 about 2 mi north of Dayton. Land use in the area around the well field is mixed among commercial, industrial, and residential uses. Site Air-3 was at well MT-302 in the southern part of the study area, where land use is also a mixture of commercial, industrial, and residential uses.

### Collection and analysis of water-quality samples

In this section, apparatus and procedures used to collect and analyze water-quality samples are described.

Ground water. Wells were purged before sampling with a submersible stainless steel pump placed 5 to 10 ft below the water table. Purging was done at rates that varied from 1 to 4 gal/min depending on the borehole volume. During purging, temperature, pH, specific conductance, redox potential, and dissolved-oxygen (DO) concentrations were monitored with probes placed in a flowthrough chamber attached to a 1/2-in.-diameter polypropylene discharge line. Purging was considered complete after a minimum of three borehole volumes had been pumped and after temperature, pH, specific conductance, and DO had stabilized (Wood, 1976). Total purge time ranged from less than 20 minutes for shallow, 2-in. piezometers to several hours for deep wells with 6- or 8-in.-diameter casing.

After purging was completed, water was filtered with a 142-mm-diameter nitrocellulose plate filter (0.45 μm nominal pore diameter), and water samples were collected for the determination of nutrients (N species and PO<sub>4</sub>), major ions (Na, K, Ca, Mg, F, Cl, SO<sub>4</sub>), and selected trace elements (Fe, Mn, B, and Br). Additional filtered samples were collected for field analysis of ferrous and total iron by spectrophotometric techniques (Hach Company, 1993). Unfiltered water samples were then collected for the field determination of alkalinity and laboratory analyses of total iron and manganese. Filtered and unfiltered samples collected for dissolved and total iron and manganese analyses were acidified in the field to a pH less than 2 with trace-metal-grade nitric acid. Samples collected for dissolved organic carbon (DOC) analysis were filtered with a silver filter (0.45 μm nominal pore diameter) by use of a stainless steel nitrogen-pressurized filter chamber. Nutrient and DOC samples were placed in insulated, ice-filled coolers, chilled to 4°C, and then shipped to the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory (NWQL) for analysis. Nutrients, DOC, major cations, anions and other constituents were analyzed by the NWQL using methods described in Fishman and Friedman (1989).




Figure 8. Locations of surface-water-quality and air-sampling sites, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio.

About 5 percent of the total water-quality samples collected and submitted to NWQL were quality-assurance/ quality-control samples that were either field blanks or replicates. These were used to assess contamination during sampling and analysis and to check the precision and reproducibility of sampling and analytical methods used to collect water-quality samples. Levels of contamination indicated by the blank analyses were negligible. One exception was iron, where 20-30 µg/L of dissolved iron was found in the blanks. Replicate results agreed within 10 percent for most constituents with the exception of dissolved iron, total iron, and fluoride, where discrepancies of as much as 50 percent were reported. Problems with the iron data may reflect either variable entrainment of iron hydroxide dislodged from the casing during purging or, alternatively, filtration effects, because some colloid-sized iron-hydroxide particles would be small enough to pass through the 0.45-µm plate filter. Large discrepancies in the fluoride data reflect a lack of analytical sensitivity at the low fluoride concentrations found in ground water and resulting rounding effects (for example, 0.2 as opposed to 0.3 mg/L F).

Water-quality samples were not collected concurrently with environmental-tracer samples at 36 monitoring wells that were sampled in September–October 1993. These wells were already being sampled on a regular (quarterly) basis by the City of Dayton Department of Water Supply and Treatment. Samples collected from these wells were analyzed by the Department of Water Supply and Treatment laboratory. As part of this program, Dayton monitoring wells are sampled quarterly for major ions, nutrients, trace metals, total organic carbon (TOC), and various synthetic organic chemicals including VOC's. Major-ion, nutrient, trace-metal, and TOC data reported herein are for the quarterly sample collected closest to the date the well was sampled for environmental tracers. TOC concentrations are substituted for DOC concentrations for these samples because the City of Dayton does not analyze for DOC. Charge-balance errors were evaluated for major-ion data supplied by the Dayton laboratory and were, with one exception (MT-429), less than 15 percent, an acceptable level for the purposes of this study. Concentratons of nutrients, dissolved iron and manganese, and TOC were consistent with quarterly data reported by Dayton for previous sampling rounds and were within limits considered reasonable for the buried-valley aquifer.

Surface water. Surface-water-quality samples were collected by lowering an air-piston pump into the stream near or at the centroid of flow. During purging of the pump discharge line, specific conductance, pH, temperature, and DO were monitored with a four-parameter water-quality meter and were recorded immediately prior to sampling. After 10 tubing volumes were purged, aliquots filtered with a 142-mm-diameter nitrocellulose plate filter (0.45 mm nominal pore diameter) were collected for the determination of major ions, nutrients, dissolved iron, manganese, boron, and bromide. Preservation, handling, and shipping procedures were as described above.

### Collection and analysis of chlorofluorocarbon samples

Specialized apparatus and techniques used in the collection of water and air samples for chlorofluorocarbon analysis are described in this section.

Ground water. Immediately after collection and processing of the water-quality samples, the submersible pump was pulled from the well casing and an air-piston pump fitted with a refrigeration-grade 1/4-in. copper-tubing discharge line was inserted into the well. The pump was placed near the top of the well screen or 2 to 3 ft above the bottom of the cased interval for wells with no screen. The pump and discharge line were purged of a minimum of 10 discharge-line volumes (at least 5 gal) and then sampled by use of methods described by Busenberg and Plummer (1992).

Four to six CFC glass ampules were collected at each well; these ampules were sealed by use of a blowtorch at the sampling site and then shipped to the USGS CFC Laboratory in Reston, Va. Two (numbers 2 and 4) or three (numbers 1, 3, 5) ampules from each well were analyzed by use of a purge and trap gas chromatograph equipped with an electron-capture detector (Busenberg and Plummer, 1992). Additional ampules were analyzed if results of the initial analyses differed significantly. CFC concentrations were determined for three CFC compounds: trichlorofluoromethane (CCl<sub>3</sub>F, F-11, Freon 11, or CFC-11), dichlorodifluoromethane (CCl<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, F-12, Freon 12, or CFC-12), and trichlorotrifluoroethane (C<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>, F-113, Freon 113, or CFC-113). The detection limit for each of the three CFC compounds is about 1 picogram per kilogram (pg/kg).

The electron-capture detector used to detect and quantify CFC compounds also gives qualitative responses to nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), reduced-sulfur compounds (hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) and methyl sulfide (CH<sub>3</sub>SH)), and halo-

18

genated VOC's such as methylene chloride, methyl chloride, carbon tetrachloride, trichloroethylene, and vinyl chloride.

Although the concentration of the above-listed compounds cannot be quantified, their presence or absence provides information about the redox state of the aquifer and the presence or absence of synthetic organic compuonds in the sample.

**Surface water.** Two to four CFC ampules were collected at each surface-water site. The samples were collected near the centroid of flow by use of an air-piston pump. Samples were collected just below the surface and near the midpoint between the river bottom and river surface. The samples were collected and analyzed by use of procedures discussed in the previous section (Busenberg and Plummer, 1992).

Air. Air samples were collected in preevacuated stainless steel gas cylinders with a nominal volume of 600 cm<sup>3</sup>. The cylinders were attached to an air pump constructed of stainless steel. A piece of stainless steel tubing about 6 ft in length was attached to the inlet port and was extended vertically from the pump during sampling. The pump was allowed to operate for several minutes to purge previously enclosed air. After purging was completed, the valve was opened and the gas cylinder was filled with air until cylinder pressure reached 25–30 lb/in<sup>2</sup>. At all three sites, the pump and cylinder were placed on top of the well casing so that air was sampled at a height of 8 to 10 ft above ground surface. After sampling, the cylinder valve was closed and the sample was shipped to the USGS CFC Laboratory in Reston, Va., for analysis.

### Collection and analysis of ground-water samples for dissolved gases

Twelve wells were sampled for dissolved gases (N<sub>2</sub>, Ar, O<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>) using 500-mL sidearm chamber (SAC) bottles (Hobba and others, 1977; Pearson and others, 1978). Samples were collected by connecting the SAC bottle to the pump discharge line with flexible tubing. Ground water filled the chamber from the bottom, forcing air out of the chamber. Flushing was continued for several minutes, and then the upper and lower valves were closed. After both chamber valves were closed, the valve to the sidearm was opened, allowing dissolved gases to enter the newly created headspace. In the laboratory, the SAC bottle was attached to a gas chromatograph and the headspace gases were analyzed by methods described in Busenberg and others (1993). By use of measured temperature, sidearm pressure, and sample-volume data and appropriate Henry's Law constants, the concentrations of dissolved gases in the sample were calculated. All analyses of samples collected with sidearm chambers were completed within 2 weeks of sample collection.

In addition, unused CFC ampules collected at 21 wells were analyzed for methane at the USGS CFC Laboratory in December 1995. These analyses were done on samples from wells where degradation of CFC's was suspected. Methane analyses were done by inserting a glass ampule into a rubber sleeve attached to a gas chromatograph. The neck of the ampule was broken off under vacuum, and headspace gases were analyzed for methane by gas chromatography. Measured pressure and temperature, an estimate of headspace volume in the ampule, and the appropriate Henry's Law constant were used to calculate the approximate methane concentration of the sample. Because of the large uncertainty in the estimate of headspace volume, reported methane concentrations are qualitative (accuracy estimated to be  $\pm$  50 percent). The analytical detection limit for methane by this method is 0.003 mg/L.

The representativeness of the methane data obtained from the CFC samples with respect to actual aquifer conditions at the time of sampling must be assessed in view of the long interval between sample collection and analysis. This interval ranged from 16 to 30 months (2.5 years), depending on when the sample was originally collected. During this time, microbial reactions with dissolved organic compounds or colloidal organic material in the sample could have produced methane. Production of methane during sample storage is indicated by comparison of methane data obtained for wells where both SAC and unused CFC ampules were analyzed for methane. At two wells (MT-296 and MT-297), methane concentrations were lower in the initial SAC sample than in the later CFC ampules, although the observed difference in CFC ampule data from well MT-296 was within the analytical precision of the ampule analyses. Ground water from MT-296 is also known to be contaminated with a variety of VOC's, whereas no VOC's (halocarbons) were detected on the CFC chromatogram for the ground-water sample collected

from MT-297. Ground water sampled from MT-286, which had an on-site DO concentration of 0.5 mg/L, did not yield detectable quantities of methane in either analysis.

### Collection and analysis of ground-water samples for tritium, helium, and neon

Ground-water samples for analysis of tritium, helium isotopes, and neon were collected in 3-ft sections of 1/4-in.-diameter copper tubing (nominal volume 40 cm<sup>3</sup>). The copper tube was attached to the pump discharge line by a short length of polypropylene tubing secured by stainless steel hose clamps. The copper tube was mounted in a metal holder with stainless steel pinch-off clamps at each end; these clamps were crimped shut at both ends of the tube after sampling was completed. Two copper tubes were filled at each well. Additional water samples were collected in 1-L glass or high-density polyethylene bottles for tritium analysis in the event of leakage from the copper tubes. Samples were shipped to the Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory Noble Gas Facility for gas extraction and analysis. Methodology and analytical precision associated with tritium, neon, and helium isotope analyses are described by Shapiro and others (1998).

### Estimation of ground-water age

In the following sections, the basic theory and assumptions used to estimate the recharge age of ground water by use of environmental tracers are briefly described. The two environmental tracers used to estimate ground-water age for this study are the chlorofluorocarbons (CFC's) and tritium-helium 3 (<sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He). Because of various uncertainties and assumptions that are associated with sampling, analysis, and interpretation of the environmental tracer data, ground-water ages estimated by use of the CFC and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He methods are regarded as apparent ages and must be carefully reviewed to ensure that they are geochemically consistent and hydrologically realistic.

Chlorofluorocarbon method. CFC's are manufactured VOC's that have been used extensively use as refrigerants, aerosol propellants, cleaning solvents, and blowing agents in a variety of industries. The concentrations of CFC's in air have steadily increased since CFC's were first introduced in the 1930's. However, CFC's are also believed to catalyze the destruction of atmospheric ozone and are a known greenhouse gas (Rowland, 1991). As a result, worldwide controls have been instituted in an effort to reduce global atmospheric CFC concentrations. Data reported through the mid-1990's (E. Busenberg, U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 1996) indicate that concentrations of CFC-11 and CFC-113 in air are starting to level off or decline in response to the new controls; hence, CFC dating of modern ground water will become less precise in the future as concentrations of CFC compounds used for dating decline further.


The use of CFC's as a dating tool is based on the more or less steady increase in northern troposphere CFC concentrations that has accompanied the large-scale use of CFC compounds in various industries. CFC's released into the atmosphere are partitioned into rainwater. The equilibrium solubility of individual CFC compounds in water  $(C_{CFC})$  is governed by gas-liquid exchange equilibria, which are expressed in terms of Henry's Law:

$$C_{CFC} = K_{CFC(T,S)} \times P_{CFC}, \tag{1}$$

where K is the Henry's Law constant for the individual CFC compound at a known temperature (T) and salinity (S), and P is the atmospheric partial pressure of the CFC compound. Henry's Law constants for CFC-11, CFC-12, and CFC-113 as a function of temperature and salinity have been compiled (Warner and Weiss, 1985; Bu and Warner, 1995). Concentrations of CFC-11, CFC-12, and CFC-113 in continental U.S. air from 1940 to the present have been reconstructed from CFC production records (McCarthy and others, 1977; Chemical Manufacturers Association, 1992) and atmospheric measurements that began in the mid-1970's (Busenberg and others, 1993; Elkins and others, 1993; Fisher and Midgley, 1993; and E. Busenberg, written commun., 1996). Concentrations of CFC-11, CFC-12, and CFC-113 in air and water in equilibrium at 10°C are shown in figure 9.

To calculate the age of a ground-water sample (or recharge year, if age is subtracted from the date of sampling), concentrations of the individual CFC compounds in ground water are divided by the appropriate Henry's Law constant to give the partial pressure of the CFC compound in air at the time the sample was isolated from the atmosphere. Because the Henry's Law constants are temperature dependent, an estimate of the recharge temperature is needed. The recharge temperature is the temperature at the base of the unsaturated zone and is usually close to the mean annual air temperature. Recharge temperatures can be estimated independently by a variety of techniques; the average recharge temperature of 10°C used in this study (see section on dissolved gases and recharge temperature) was estimated by use of oxygen and deuterium isotope data, dissolved-gas (N<sub>2</sub>/Ar) ratios, and long-term air temperature records for the study area. The calculated partial pressure is then compared with the atmospheric concentration curves (fig. 9) to derive the age of the sample. It is assumed that CFC concentrations in recharge waters are in equilibrium with the soil atmosphere and, more importantly, that CFC concentrations in the soil gas are equal to those found in air (Busenberg and others, 1993). These assumptions are reasonable for the study area because depth to the water table is generally 20 ft or less, and most soils in recharge areas are moderately to highly permeable.

Ground-water ages derived by the CFC technique are considered to be minimum ages because trace-level contamination by small amounts of CFC compounds introduced during sampling or mixing of ground water of different ages can never be completely excluded. Trace-level contamination affects the reliability of older recharge



**Figure 9.** Concentrations of chlorofluorocarbon compounds CFC-11, CFC-12, and CFC-113 in air and water at equilibrium at 10°C and 760 feet above sea level. (Data from E. Busenberg, U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 1996.)

ages to a greater degree because of lower CFC concentrations in older waters (fig. 9). Other processes that can affect the reliability of CFC ages include gross contamination, sorption, microbial degradation, hydrodynamic dispersion, and diffusion in the unsaturated zone (Weeks and others, 1982; Russell and Thompson, 1983; Busenberg and Plummer, 1992; Lovley and Woodward, 1992; Busenberg and others, 1993; Dunkle and others, 1993; Plummer and others, 1993; Reilly and others, 1994; and Katz and others, 1995). Uncertainty in the recharge temperature affects the recharge age to a varying extent. Uncertainty of several degrees Celsius causes an uncertainty of less than a year in waters recharged prior to 1975. For waters recharged in the 1980's, an uncertainty of 2°C results in an uncertainty of 2 to 3 years. For post-1989 waters, a 2°C uncertainty in the recharge temperature can cause errors of several years or more (Busenberg and Plummer, 1992; Plummer and others, 1993).


Tritium-helium-3 method. Tritium (<sup>3</sup>H), the radioactive isotope of hydrogen, has been used extensively as a hydrologic tracer and dating tool. Although tritium is produced naturally in the upper atmosphere, its use as a hydrologic tracer is related to the injection of large quantities of tritium into the atmosphere during atomic-weapons testing in the 1950's and early 1960's. Peak tritium activities in southwestern Ohio rainwater are believed to have approached 2,000 tritium units (TU; 1 TU equals 3.24 picocuries per liter or 1 tritium atom per 10<sup>18</sup> hydrogen atoms) (fig. 10). The atmospheric-testing peak therefore provides an absolute time marker from which to estimate ground-water age. However, because radioactive decay and hydrodynamic dispersion have greatly reduced maximum tritium concentrations in ground water, identification of the 1960's atmospheric-testing peak has become increasingly difficult. Therefore, tritium by itself is used only as a qualitative indicator of ground-water age; detectable concentrations of tritium (> 1-2 TU) indicate that some fraction of the water recharged the aquifer after 1952.

The <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He dating method is based on the decay of tritium to its daughter product, the noble gas helium 3 (<sup>3</sup>He<sub>trit</sub>, tritiogenic helium). The sum of tritium and its daughter product (<sup>3</sup>H+<sup>3</sup>He<sub>trit</sub>) represents a conservative quantity equivalent to the amount of tritium in rainwater at the time of recharge, assuming that helium produced by tritium decay is not lost by upward diffusion to the unsaturated zone. This assumption is considered valid for aquifers where vertical flow velocities exceed approximately 1.5 ft/yr (Schlosser and others, 1988, 1989; Poreda and others, 1988). Because helium in ground water is derived from several sources, however, a detailed evaluation of the various components of the helium budget is required to obtain accurate <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages. Procedures used to evaluate and correct the helium data for nontritiogenic sources of <sup>3</sup>He in samples collected during this study are described by Schlosser and others (1988, 1989) and Shapiro and others (1998).

Once the amount of tritiogenic <sup>3</sup>He is known, the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He age is then calculated from the daughter/parent ratio (<sup>3</sup>He<sub>trit</sub>/<sup>3</sup>H) by use of the standard decay equation:

$$\tau = \frac{T_{1/2}}{\ln 2} \cdot \ln \left( 1 + \frac{{}^{3}He_{trit}}{{}^{3}H} \right), \tag{2}$$

where  $\tau$  is the  ${}^3\text{H}$ - ${}^3\text{He}$  age, in years;  ${}^3\text{He}_{\text{trit}}$  is the amount of  ${}^3\text{He}$  derived from tritium decay, in TU;  ${}^3\text{H}$  is the measured tritium concentration, in TU; and  $T_{1/2}$  is the half-life of tritium (12.43 years) (Schlosser and others, 1988, 1989; Solomon and Sudicky, 1991; Solomon and others, 1993). In simple terms, the concentration of tritiogenic  ${}^3\text{He}$  will increase as tritium decays; thus, older waters will have higher  ${}^3\text{He}_{\text{trit}}/{}^3\text{H}$  ratios. The age derived by use of this technique represents the time elapsed after rainwater or infiltrating surface water was confined below the water table; it is further assumed that diffusion, dispersion, contamination, or mixing at the well screen have not affected the concentrations of  ${}^3\text{H}$  or  ${}^3\text{He}$  in the sample. Apart from radioactive decay of tritium,  ${}^3\text{H}$  and  ${}^3\text{He}$  are both chemically inert and therefore are unaffected by microbial degradation or sorption, processes known to affect the reliability of the CFC dating method.



**Figure 10.** Estimated annual mean tritium concentration in rainwater, 1952-91, southwestern Ohio. (Tritium concentrations in original rainwater based on tritium deposition estimates given by Michel, 1989, and R.L. Michel, U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 1991.)

### **RESULTS OF ANALYSES**

This section describes the analytical results obtained for water-quality and environmental-tracer samples collected for this study. Ages of ground-water samples estimated by use of the CFC and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He methods also are summarized.

### Onsite water-quality measurements, major ions, and selected trace constituents

Ground-water-quality samples were collected to assess geochemical conditions in the aquifer at the time of environmental-tracer sampling and to assess relations between ground-water ages and ground-water quality in the buried-valley aquifer. The ground-water-quality data are used to characterize redox conditions in the buried-valley aquifer because the reliability of the CFC dating technique is known to be adversely affected by anoxic conditions in an aquifer (Dunkle and others, 1993; Katz and others, 1995). Additional water-quality data were obtained for surface-water samples collected during the CFC reconnaissance survey of streams and rivers in the Dayton area.

**Ground water.** Construction, water-level, onsite, and laboratory-derived water-quality data for the 137 wells sampled during this study are available online at http://oh.water.usgs.gov/reports/daytonwells.html or as hard

copy from the Columbus, Ohio, office of the USGS. Onsite analyses done immediately prior to collection of water-quality samples yielded a median temperature of  $14^{\circ}$ C, a median pH of 7.2, a median specific conductance near 800 µs/cm, a median DO concentration of 0.1 mg/L (the reporting limit), and a median redox (oxidation-reduction) potential of about 140 mV (reported relative to the Standard Hydrogen Electrode or SHE) (fig. 11). Of these data, the distribution of DO is particularly noteworthy because it indicates that the majority of wells sampled in this study were screened in anoxic, and hence chemically reduced, parts of the buried-valley aquifer (DO  $\leq$  10 µmol O<sub>2</sub> or 0.3 mg/L).


On the basis of major-ion chemistry, nearly all of the ground-water samples are classified as a calcium-magnesium-bicarbonate waters. This is illustrated on a trilinear diagram (fig. 12), which graphically plots water types on the basis of the milliequivalent percentages of major cations and anions in the water. The cation and anion triangles both show relatively linear trends towards more sodium- and chloride-rich compositions. Such trends could be caused by the presence of deicing salts in winter and spring runoff that recharges the buried-valley aquifer, or they could represent mixing with slightly saline sodium-chloride-type waters from bedrock shales (Dumouchelle and others, 1993). Several of the most sodium- and chloride-rich samples are from shallow Mound Plant wells that were screened at or near the contact between the buried-valley aquifer and bedrock shales.

Boxplots of major-ion data confirm that calcium, bicarbonate, and, to a lesser extent, magnesium, are the dominant ions in the ground water (fig. 13). The boxplots indicate a near-normal distribution for these constituents that is probably related to rapid dissolution and equilibration with calcite and dolomite, which are common in aquifer sediments. The distributions of sodium and chloride data are the most positively skewed; outlier data (as defined in fig. 13) reflect addition of these elements to ground water from road salt applied at the surface or saline water from bedrock shales. The data distributions of nearly all the trace and minor constituents appear positively skewed because several have median concentrations that are at or near their respective detection limits. The median dissolved-iron concentration, about 0.55 mg/L, exceeds the Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level for drinking water set by the U.S. and Ohio Environmental Protection Agencies (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1991). At concentrations near or above this limit, iron imparts a metallic taste to the water and may cause staining of laundry, utensils, and bathroom fixtures.


Data for redox-sensitive chemical species show that DO concentrations indicating oxic or suboxic conditions are rarely found at depths greater than 40 ft below the water table (fig. 14). In comparison, nitrate concentrations of a few milligrams per liter or less persist to depths approaching 60 ft below the water table. Below this depth, detectable amounts of nitrate ( $\geq 0.05 \text{ mg/L NO}_3^-$  as N) are uncommon, an indication of possible removal of nitrate by denitrification. Further evidence of denitrification is the occurrence of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) peaks in the CFC chromatograms and dissolved-nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) concentrations much greater than those expected for gas-water equilibrium at the range of recharge temperatures expected for the study area. (See sections on other species and dissolved gases.) Dissolved-iron concentrations show no consistent trend with depth. Onsite analysis of dissolved-iron speciation by spectrophotometric techniques (Hach, 1993) indicated that nearly all dissolved iron in anoxic ground water is present as ferrous (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) iron. The majority of ground-water samples lacking detectable concentrations of dissolved iron were collected from shallow wells that yielded ground water with detectable amounts of DO. At the near-neutral pH of ground water in the buried-valley aquifer, oxidation of ferrous iron to ferric (Fe<sup>3+</sup>) iron would occur quickly in the presence of measurable DO. The low solubility of ferric hydroxide [Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub>] would then prevent dissolved-iron concentrations from exceeding the analytical detection limit of 3  $\mu$ g/L (Hem, 1989).

In contrast, sulfate concentrations remain fairly uniform with depth (fig. 14), an indication that sulfate reduction is not an important redox process in the buried-valley aquifer. Although the odor of H<sub>2</sub>S was only rarely noted during sampling, peaks present in chromatograms produced during analysis of the CFC samples (see below) indicate very small quantities of H<sub>2</sub>S (or methyl sulfide) in about 30 percent of the anoxic ground-water samples.

Reducing conditions are also indicated by the presence of dissolved methane in anoxic ground-water samples. Detectable concentrations of methane indicate reducing conditions. Methane can be of natural origin, or it can be produced by microbial degradation of organic chemicals (hydrocarbons, VOC's) of human origin. Of the 25 samples that had detectable methane concentrations, 5 also had detections of non-CFC halocarbons of human origin. This finding suggests that methane in the majority of the samples is of natural origin. Methane concentrations show no distinct trend with depth (fig. 8).



**Figure 11.** Distribution of temperature, specific-conductance, pH, dissolved-oxygen, and redox-potential data for the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio.



PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL MILLIEQUIVALENTS PER LITER

### **EXPLANATION**

SAMPLES FROM WELL OR WELL FIELD INDIRECTED:

- + Wright-Patterson and Mad River well field area
- $\triangle$  Miami well field area
- O Mound plant
- Reconnaissance

Figure 12. Trilinear diagram illustrating major-ion data from the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio.






Figure 13. Distribution of major- and minor-ion data for the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio.



CONCENTRATION, IN MILLIGRAMS PER LITER



**Figure 14.** Concentrations of dissolved oxygen, nitrate-N, iron, sulfate, and methane as a function of depth from the water table to top of screened interval in the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio.

CONCENTRATION, IN MILLIGRAMS PER LITER

Evaluation of the ground-water analyses by use of the equilibrium speciation program WATEQ4F (Ball and Nordstrom, 1991) indicates that most ground water in the buried-valley aquifer is saturated with respect to dolomite (CaMg(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) and saturated to supersaturated with respect to calcite (CaCO<sub>3</sub>). Ground water is generally supersaturated with respect to the ferrous iron-carbonate mineral siderite (FeCO<sub>3</sub>) and various forms of silica (SiO<sub>2</sub>), including quartz and chalcedony. Ground water is undersaturated with respect to fluorite (CaF<sub>2</sub>) and gyspum (CaSO<sub>4</sub>-2H<sub>2</sub>O). As will be discussed later, the degree of saturation of ground water in the buried-valley aquifer with respect to certain minerals is related to apparent ground-water age.

Surface water. Compared to ground-water, data for the 21 surface-water samples collected in September 1995 indicate higher pH (median pH = 8.2), slightly lower specific conductance (median specific conductance = 725 µs/cm), and oxic conditions (percent DO saturation values range from 70 to 140 percent; median DO = 8.6 mg/L) (table 1, back of report). Boxplot summaries of major-ion data indicate that the majority of surface-water samples are classified as calcium-magnesium-bicarbonate waters (fig. 15), although samples from the Great Miami River downstream from the Dayton wastewater-treatment plant are classified as mixed cation-mixed anion waters because of elevated sodium and chloride concentrations that are probably derived from wastewater-treatment-plant effluent.

Compared to ground water, surface water in the Dayton area has lower dissolved silica, iron, manganese, and ammonia concentrations but higher nitrite plus nitrate concentrations. Despite these differences, the major-ion composition of surface water and ground water in the Dayton area are quite similar. This similarity reflects the fact that a significant percentage of the flow in area streams is ground water discharged from the buried-valley aquifer.


### Chlorofluorocarbons

Concentrations of the CFC compounds CFC-11, CFC-12, and CFC-113 were determined on ground-water samples collected from 135 wells, surface-water samples collected at 20 locations, and air samples collected at 3 sites. These data are summarized in the following sections.

Ground water. CFC concentrations were highly variable in ground water, ranging from below the detection limit (≤1 pg/L for all three CFC compounds) to maximum concentrations of 28,650, 40,880, and 90,240 pg/L of CFC-11, CFC-12, and CFC-113, respectively (table 2, at back of report). Highly elevated concentrations indicate that addition of CFC compounds from nonatmospheric sources has occurred, as concentrations of CFC-11, CFC-12, and CFC-113 in water equilibrated with 1994 air would be about 780, 340, and 100 pg/L, respectively. These concentrations are based on CFC-11, CFC-12, and CFC-113 concentrations in air of 277, 526, and 85.3 parts per trillion by volume, respectively (fig. 9), and air-water equilibration at a temperature of 10°C at an elevation of 760 ft (the average surface elevation of wells sampled for this study).

Ground-water samples with CFC concentrations that were only slightly above (less than 5 percent) the 1994 air-water equilibrium concentrations were considered to be modern waters that probably recharged the buried-valley aquifer in a year or less. However, samples that had CFC concentrations greater than 5 percent above the equilibrium concentrations probably represent contamination of the sample by CFC's from nonatmospheric sources. Such samples cannot be used to estimate ground-water age. The percentage of samples suitable for dating by the CFC method varied for the three CFC compounds 83 percent for CFC-11; 67 percent for CFC-12, and about 79 percent for CFC-113. The data indicate that nearly a third of the wells sampled had CFC-12 concentrations above the range suitable for dating by the CFC method. The relatively large percentage of samples (18 to 33 percent) that could not be dated because of contamination with excess CFC's is not surprising given the many potential sources of these compounds in urban and industrial parts of the Dayton area. Calculated recharge years for ground-water samples that had CFC concentrations suitable for estimating ground-water ages are reported in table 2 (at back of report).

Surface Water. CFC concentrations were determined on 20 of the 21 surface-water samples collected over a 2-week period from September 11 to 25, 1995 (samples from the 21st site were broken in transit) (table 3). As discussed previously, streamflow data for the sampling period indicate a substantial component of surface runoff derived from basinwide rainstorms (> 0.2 in. per day) on September 9, 13, and 20, 1995.



**Figure 15.** Distribution of major- and minor-ion data for surface-water samples, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio.

Using temperature data collected at the time of sampling and appropriate gas-water partitioning coefficients, CFC concentrations measured in surface-water samples were converted to equivalent partial pressures and divided by the CFC concentration in 1995 NH mean annual air to yield percent saturation values (table 3). Strictly speaking, only saturation values exactly equal to 100 percent would be saturated with respect to 1995 NH mean annual air; however, because of uncertainties in measured temperature, air-water equilibration rates, and applicability of the 1995 NH mean annual air value to the study area, saturation values in the range of 80 to 120 percent are considered representative of saturated or near-saturated conditions. Saturation values greater than 120 percent are assumed to indicate the addition of CFC's from nonatmospheric sources.

Data in table 3 indicate that the majority of surface-water samples were supersaturated with respect to one or more CFC compounds; most samples had 2 to 5 times the equilibrium solubility concentration. A few samples had extremely high CFC concentrations, such as the sample from Wolf Creek, which had a CFC-113 concentration more than 2,200 times greater than the 1995 air-water equilibrium concentration. Surface-water samples with the highest CFC concentrations were collected from main-stem reaches of the Great Miami, Mad, and Stillwater Rivers near or south of downtown Dayton (fig. 16). Interestingly, large concentrations of CFC's were not found downstream from wastewater-treatment plants despite the fact that sewage effluent has been shown to be a major source of CFC's in surface water at other locations (Busenberg and Plummer, 1993). Smaller tributary streams sampled at sites in rural or nonindustrialized parts of the study area, such as Bear Creek, Holes Creek, Mud Creek, and Mud Run, were approximately saturated with respect to all three CFC compounds.

Air. CFC-11, CFC-12, and CFC-113 concentrations in air samples collected at three sites in October 1995 are summarized in table 4.

Data for each of the three air-sampling sites were evaluated by calculating CFC excess values relative to the NH mean annual air concentrations for 1995 (E. Busenberg, U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 1996). Positive excess percentages indicate that CFC concentrations recorded at the site were higher than the NH mean annual air values, whereas negative excess percentages indicate that values were lower than the NH mean annual air values.

Data in table 4 indicate that fluctuations in CFC concentrations in air in the Dayton area vary by individual CFC compound, sampling date, and location. Samples from site Air 1, which is in a largely rural setting north of metropolitan Dayton, had CFC concentrations that showed the least variation from day to day and were closest to 1995 NH mean air concentrations. Site Air 2, just north of downtown Dayton, and site Air 3, south of Dayton in the heavily industrialized Moraine area, had more variable CFC-11 and CFC-12 concentrations with maximum CFC-11 and CFC-12 excesses of about 33 and 15 percent. In contrast, CFC-113 concentrations in air at all three sites were close to the NH mean air value for CFC-113, with no CFC-113 excess values greater than 5 percent. Local deviations from NH mean air concentrations are commonly observed in urban and industrialized areas (Prather, 1985; Clarke and others, 1995); average monthly CFC-11 and CFC -12 excesses of 10 to 15 percent were recently reported for the New York-Long Island metropolitan area (Ho and others, 1998). Such CFC excesses result in estimated CFC ages that are younger than the actual ground-water age. The limited air data obtained indicate that the 5-percent limit used to determine whether ground-water samples have been contaminated by local sources of CFC's is conservative in that excesses of 10 or even 15 percent may characterize modern air in the Dayton area. However, without local long-term records of such variations, it is impossible to correct the CFC input functions used to estimate ground-water age; hence, the NH mean annual air concentrations were used to calculate the CFC recharge years given in table 3.

[Site locations shown in fig. 8. Abbreviations: Cr, creek; R, River; Brdg, bridge; deg C, degrees Celsius; ft, feet; pg/kg, picograms per kilogram] Table 3. Chlorofluorocarbon concentrations in surface-water samples from selected sites near Dayton, Ohio

Site ID	Site description	Date	Water temper-	Land- surface	Number of	Average	Average chlorofluorocarbon concentation in water (pg/kg)	rocarbon water	Avera air-v	Average percent of 1995 air-water equilibrium <sup>1</sup>	of 1995 rium <sup>1</sup>
			(deg C)	Œ	analyzed	CFC-11	CFC-12	CFC-113	CFC-11 (%)	CFC-12 (%)	CFC-113 (%)
395122084031100	Mud Creek at Valley Pike	95/09/25	13.0	820	4	491	341	93	75	114	113
395042084021700	Mud Run at Medway Road	95/09/25	17.0	820	2	490	302	77	91	120	118
394755084050400	Hebble Creek at South Boundary of Wright-Patterson Air Force Base	95/09/19	20.5	790	4	505	356	92	109	164	168
3271000	Wolf Creek at Bridge Street	95/0/912	18.5	740	2	3,730	746	138,200	738	316	227,700
394014084121200	Holes Creek at Alexanderville-Bellbrook Pike	95/09/11	21.5	715	2	390	200	99	88	95	127
393910084172300	Bear Creek at Soldiers Home Road	95/09/11	20.0	069	2	009	341	1,530	127	153	2,710
3263000	Great Miami River at Taylorsville Dam	95/09/12	21.0	092	4	1,740	291	75	386	137	141
394910084092300	Great Miami River at Needmore Road	95/09/18	22.0	750	2	523	239	61	121	117	121
394809084092100	Great Miami River at Miami Well field	95/09/19	17.5	745	2	931	272	70	176	111	109
394352084125700	Great Miami River at Broadway	95/09/12	22.0	720	2	910	2,430	1,300	210	1,190	2,570
394116084135200	Great Miami River at Sellars Avenue	95/09/12	22.5	710	2	700	1,140	996	165	269	1,950
394027084154100	Great Miami River at Miami Avenue	95/09/12	21.5	069	7	509	683	498	115	326	926
393826084173100	Great Miami R at Linden Avenue Brdg	95/09/11	25.5	089	2	651	969	457	173	384	1,060
3271601	Great Miami River at Chautauqua Road	95/09/11	18.5	029	2	683	859	429	135	278	705
395226083594600	Mad River at I-675	95/09/19	19.5	835	2	450	271	66	94	120	173
395035084030200	Mad River at SR235	95/09/19	19.5	805	-	509	240	81	106	106	140
394731084061800	Mad River at Rohrer's Island Inlet Gates	95/0919	19.5	2775	-	559	3,240	108	116	1430	188
394605084110600	Mad River at Webster Street	95/09/18	21.0	730	1	1,000	395	5,200	222	185	9730
395117084155900	Stillwater River at Heathcliff Road	95/09/13	25.0	780	7	450	228	09	118	124	135
394753084122500	Stillwater River at Siebenthaler Road	95/09/13	21.0	735	2	3,130	756	2,610	694	354	4,880

<sup>1</sup> Concentrations of CFC-11, CFC-12, and CFC-113 in mid-1995 air used to calculate percent saturation values were 274, 535, and 83.5 parts per trillion by volume, respectively. Chlorofluorocarbon concentrations in 1995 air from E. Busenberg, (U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 1996).




Figure 16. Chlorofluorocarbon concentrations in surface water, September 1995, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio.

**Table 4.** Chlorofluorocarbon concentrations and percent excess relative to Northern Hemisphere mean air<sup>1</sup> for air samples collected near Dayton, Ohio, October 1995

[pptv, parts per trillion by volume; %, percent]

Date	Time	CFC-11 (pptv)	CFC-11 excess (%)	CFC-12 (pptv)	CFC-12 excess (%)	CFC-113 (pptv)	CFC-113 excess (%)
			Air 1 at well	TU-287			
951710	1150	275.2	0.4	548.2	2.5	82.3	-1.4
951810	1125	269.2	-1.8	551.3	3.0	80.0	-4.2
951910	1155	280.8	2.5	593.3	10.9	83.3	-0.2
			Air 2 near we	II MT-366			
951710	1115	274.8	0.2	578.1	8.0	81.9	-1.9
951810	1040	287.8	5.0	591.1	10.5	84.6	1.3
951910	1110	363.3	32.6	613.3	14.6	81.2	-2.7
			Air 3 at well	MT-302			
951710	1045	277.8	1.3	555.3	3.8	82.4	-1.3
951810	1000	322.4	17.7	589.3	10.1	82.5	-1.2
951910	0935	285.3	4.1	576.7	7.8	82.9	-0.7

<sup>1</sup> CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113 concentrations in Northern Hemisphere 1995 mean annual air used to calculate percent excess values were 274, 535, and 83.5 pptv, respectively. CFC concentrations in 1995 air from E. Busenberg (U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 1996).

# Other compounds

CFC chromatographs were reviewed for peaks indicating the presence of other compounds in the ground-water samples (table 5, at back of report). These compounds include nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), reduced sulfur compounds such as hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) and methyl sulfide (CH<sub>3</sub>SH), and halogenated VOC's such as methylene chloride, methyl chloride, carbon tetrachloride, trichloroethylene, and vinyl chloride. These determinations were qualitative and only indicated the presence or absence of these compounds in the sample. The presence or absence of the compounds provides information regarding the redox potential of the sample and whether halogenated VOC's of human origin are present.

The electron-capture detector used for the CFC analyses is highly sensitive to halogenated VOC's and has detection limits that are an order of magnitude or more below standard gas chromatography techniques used for the analysis of VOC's in drinking water. Because of this enhanced sensitivity, more frequent detections of trace amounts of halogenated VOC's is likely. However, the enhanced detection frequency could also be due to trace levels of VOC's introduced during sampling or leached from PVC well casing. Because many of the wells sampled for environmental tracers were part of existing monitoring networks operated by the City of Dayton, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, and the Mound Plant, VOC detections recorded during CFC analyses could be compared with VOC data reported by other agencies for the same well. As noted above, the methods used for VOC analysis by other agencies are less sensitive than the method used for CFC analysis; therefore, detection of VOC's in two independent samples from the same well supports the assertion that ground water in that part of the buried-valley aquifer contains VOC's derived from human activities. For wells where other-agency data were not available, VOC data

collected by other agencies at upgradient or adjacent wells could be used to identify areas where detection of trace amounts fo VOC's in ground water would be reasonable (table 5).

Results of CFC analyses indicated that halogenated VOC's were detected at low levels in 45 percent (61) of the wells. For 22 of the 45 wells where halogenated VOC's were detected during CFC analysis, VOC detections were reported in ground-water samples that had been collected and analyzed by other agencies as part of their water-quality monitoring programs. The most commonly detected halogenated VOC was methylene chloride, which is an ingredient in paint stripper and PVC cement. Carbon tetrachloride (also an ingredient of PVC cement), methyl chloride, tetrachloroethylene, chloroform, and vinyl chloride were less commonly detected. About two-thirds of the wells with detections of halogenated VOC's were screened at depths less than 50 ft below the water table.

Nitrous oxide peaks were detected in 27 percent of the wells sampled. More than 86 percent of the nitrous oxide detections were in wells screened at depths less than 50 ft below the water table. Detections of nitrous oxide, which is an intermediate product in the breakdown of nitrate, were associated with oxic conditions in the aquifer: about 75 percent of the wells where nitrous oxide was detected produced water with DO concentrations greater than 0.3 mg/L. DO can produce a response similar to that of nitrous oxide, and some of the nitrous oxide detections (especially those where DO concentrations are several milligrams per liter or more) could be suspect.

Reduced-sulfur compounds (H<sub>2</sub>S or CH<sub>3</sub>SH), indicative of highly reducing conditions in the aquifer, were detected in about 30 percent of the wells sampled. Reduced-sulfur detections were relatively evenly distributed with depth throughout anoxic parts of the buried-valley aquifer.

## Dissolved gases and evaluation of recharge temperature

Dissolved-gas data were collected to assess recharge temperatures for calculation of CFC partial pressures and to determine whether methane was in ground-water samples that yielded discordant CFC ages. Nitrogen and argon data were plotted against nitrogen-argon solubilities calculated for a total pressure of 760 mm Hg and excess-air contents of 0 to 20 cm³/kg H<sub>2</sub>O using solubility data from Weiss (1970) (fig. 17). Nitrogen and argon data in table 6 were normalized to a barometric pressure of 760 mm Hg prior to plotting to facilitate direct estimation of recharge temperature. The excess-air values range from 2 to about 15 cm³/kg H<sub>2</sub>O; such quantities of excess air imply recharge temperatures from about 10 to 30°C, with most data clustering around 20°C. An average recharge temperature of 20°C is unreasonable given that the mean annual air temperature across the study area is between 11 and 12°C (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, written commun., 1992) and that mean ground-water temperature for the 137 wells sampled in this study is 14°C. It is also inconsistent with temperature estimates derived from oxygen and hydrogen isotope data and deuterium-excess values (see p. 37), which indicate that most recharge to the buried-valley aquifer occurs during the fall and winter months.

The dissolved-gas data are more reasonably interpreted as being affected by denitrification. The effects of excess nitrogen produced by bacterially mediated denitrification can be removed by extrapolating back to the airwater equilibrium curve for zero excess air along a horizontal line equal to the normalized argon concentration of the sample (fig. 17). This procedure results in a more restricted range of recharge temperatures between about 5 and 16°C. The sample with the highest recharge temperature (16°C) was collected from a shallow well (MT-290) located between the Great Miami River and a small well field operated by a private water company. Ground water from this well has an  $^3H$ - $^3H$ e age of only a few months and is probably being recharged by pumpage-induced infiltration of nearby river water. Therefore, its elevated temperature is probably reasonable;  $N_2/Ar$  ratios of most other wells imply recharge temperatures between 10-12°C, close to the mean annual air temperature for the study area. The difference between the sample with the highest observed  $N_2$  concentration (30.7 mg/L  $N_2$ ; well GR-317) and that of air-saturated water with the same Ar concentration ( $\approx 17$  mg/L  $N_2$ ) implies denitrification of about 14 mg/L of nitrate (as N). Although elevated, this nitrate concentration is comparable to the maximum nitrate concentration observed in this study (12.0 mg/L nitrate as N, well MT-289, located in a cornfield) and is in the upper range of nitrate concentrations observed in shallow ground water underlying agricultural areas elsewhere in the United States (Hamilton and Helsel, 1995).




Figure 17. Concentrations of dissolved nitrogen and argon in the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio.

Stable-isotope data indicate recharge temperatures between 8 and 11  $^{\circ}$ C. Median  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values for 21 ground-water samples collected from wells in the buried-valley aquifer underlying WPAFB were -46.5 and -7.65 per mil (Dumouchelle and others, 1993). Based on the latitudinal temperature dependence of stable-isotope data (Van der Straaten and Mook, 1983), the median  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values for WPAFB area indicate ground-level air temperatures of 9.1°C (range for all WPAFB data = 7.6 to 9.8°C) and 10.6°C (range for WPAFB data = 9.2 to 11.2°C), respectively. The deuterium excess parameter d (d =  $\delta D$  -  $8\delta^{18}O$ ) calculated from the median isotopic composition given above is +14.7 and ranges from +12.2 to +20.2 for all WPAFB data. The deuterium-excess parameter d represents the y-intercept on a  $\delta D$ - $\delta^{18}O$  plot and is known to vary regionally as well as seasonally (Van der Stratton and Mook, 1983); d can therefore be used to infer not only sources but also timing of recharge. Deuterium-excess values calculated for monthly  $\delta D$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  precipitation data collected during 1966–71 at the International Atomic Energy Agency monitoring station at Coshocton, Ohio, show strong seasonal variations: average deuterium-excess values calculated for the 6-month periods April-September and October-March were +10.3 and +15.0, respectively (International Atomic Energy Agency, 1971, 1973, 1975). Although the Coshocton station is approximately 125 mi east-northeast of the study area, seasonal isotopic variations there are likely to be similar to those in southwestern Ohio. The deuterium-excess values observed for the WPAFB data indicate that most recharge to the buried-valley aquifer occurs during fall and winter.

On the basis of dissolved-gas and stable-isotope data, most ground water in the buried-valley aquifer appears to have been recharged at temperatures between 9 and 11°C, with recharge occurring primarily in the fall and winter. Hence, an average recharge temperature of 10°C is used to calculate CFC ages throughout the study area. Recharge at temperatures outside of this range surely occurs, especially in shallow parts of the aquifer recharged by pumping-induced infiltration of river water or during summer or winter floods, but such recharge can be considered regionally and temporally atypical.

### Tritium, helium, and neon

Results of tritium, helium, and neon analyses of ground-water samples from 101 wells are discussed by Shapiro and others (1998) and are reproduced in table 7 (at back of report) with tritium data for samples from an additional 17 wells where noble gas and helium isotope data were not obtained. Also reported in table 7 are tritium data for 13 wells sampled at or near the Mound Plant. For these samples, qualitative tritium determinations by Mound Plant personnel indicated that these samples contained several hundred to several thousand TU. Because such high tritium concentrations could contaminate the Lamont-Doherty analytical equipment, more precise analyses of the tritium and helium isotopic composition of these samples were not attempted.

Results of  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  isotope ( $\delta^{3}\text{He}$ ) analyses reported by Shapiro and others (1998) are also included in table 7, along with calculated values of several other parameters used for  ${}^{3}\text{H-}{}^{3}\text{He}$  dating (including  $\Delta^{4}\text{He}$ , a measure of  ${}^{4}\text{He}$  supersaturation;  ${}^{4}\text{He}_{rad}$ , the calculated amount of radiogenic  ${}^{4}\text{He}$  in the sample; and  ${}^{3}\text{H+}{}^{3}\text{He}$ , the calculated sum of tritium and tritiogenic  ${}^{3}\text{He}$ ). Recharge-year estimates calculated from the  ${}^{3}\text{H-}{}^{3}\text{He}$  ages reported by Shapiro and others (1998) were obtained for 95 of the 101 wells for which complete tritium, neon, and helium analyses were available (table 7).

Shapiro and others (1998) reported that most wells screened near the water table in the buried-valley aquifer yield water with tritium concentrations between 10 and 15 TU, a range consistent with that expected for modern precipitation. In deeper wells considered to be unaffected by local sources of tritium (such as landfills and the Mound Plant), concentrations of tritium range from near zero to about 30 or 40 TU. Water samples from wells downwind or downgradient from known or suspected tritium sources may have tritium concentrations of several tens, hundreds, or thousands of tritium units. Shapiro and others (1998) showed that water from the buried-valley aquifer that contains excess tritium from local sources can be identified by plotting the sum of tritium and tritiogenic helium 3 [ ${}^{3}H+{}^{3}He_{trit}$ ] against the  ${}^{3}H-{}^{3}He$  recharge year. The addition of tritium from local sources is readily discerned on such a graph because such samples will plot above the tritium-input curve for southwestern Ohio precipitation (see fig. 3 in Shapiro and others, 1998; fig. 22, this report).

**Table 6.** Concentration of dissolved gases in water samples from wells screened in the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio

[SAC, Sidearm chamber analysis done within two weeks of sampling date; AMP, Analysis done in December 1995 on unused flame-sealed 62-milliliter borosilicate glass ampule collected for chlorofluorocarbon analysis; mg/L, milligrams per liter; --, no data available. Methane data marked with asterisk were from analyses of unused chlorofluorocarbon ampules]

Well name	Sampling date	Sampling method <sup>2</sup>	CH₄ in mg/L	CO <sub>2</sub> in mg/L	O <sub>2</sub> in mg/L	N <sub>2</sub> mg/L	Ar in mg/L
GR-316	93/06/21	SAC	0.000	11.64	4.54	19.55	0.680
GR-317	93/06/21	SAC	0.000	25.60	0.09	30.69	0.622
GR-333	93/06/22	SAC	0.000	30.44	5.33	21.15	0.668
GR-540	93/06/23	SAC	0.000	15.61	1.21	23.12	0.675
MT-281	93/06/24	SAC	0.000	37.99	2.37	22.46	0.679
MT-282	93/06/24	SAC	0.000	37.46	1.10	23.21	0.688
MT-283	93/06/23	SAC	0.000	38.41	0.05	24.78	0.680
MT-296	93/06/24	SAC/AMP	$0.201, 0.356^* 0.337^*$	17.50	0.02	23.16	0.697
MT-297	93/06/24	SAC/AMP	0.020, 0.099*	31.66	0.05	23.09	0.697
MT-286	93/06/25	SAC/AMP	0.000, 0.000*	21.76	0.03	24.05	0.770
MT-287	93/06/25	SAC	0.000	26.42	0.04	22.94	0.755
MT-290	93/06/25	SAC	0.000	11.16	0.16	20.15	0.591
GR-334	93/06/22	AMP	0.044				
GR-324	93/06/22	AMP	0.045				
GR-323	93/06/22	AMP	0.021				
MT-303	93/08/11	AMP	0.168, 0.197				
MT-68	93/08/13	AMP	0.025				
MT-311	93/08/13	AMP	0.097, 0.107				
MT-305	93/08/13	AMP	0.491				
MT-288	93/08/16	AMP	0.010				
MT-347	93/10/07	AMP	0.006				
MT-327	94/08/01	AMP	0.133				
MT-318	94/08/03	AMP	0.016				
MT-333	94/08/04	AMP	0.195				
MT-337	94/08/10	AMP	0.030				
MT-153	94/08/19	AMP	0.012				
GR-319	94/08/23	AMP	0.044				
MT-343	94/08/30	AMP	0.007				
MT-342	94/08/30	AMP	< 0.003				
MT-344	94/08/30	AMP	0.006				
MT-360	94/08/31	AMP	0.060				
MT-376	94/08/31	AMP	0.107				
MT-355	94/08/31	AMP	< 0.003				
MT-375	94/09/01	AMP	< 0.003				
MT-404	94/09/01	AMP	0.031				
MT-405	94/09/01	AMP	0.213				


Of the 95 wells for which complete gas and isotopic analyses were available, Shapiro and others (1998) reported that the concentrations of neon were fairly uniform with depth but were higher than those expected for solubility equilibrium with the atmosphere. The range of reported neon concentrations indicates excess-air concentrations of 0 to 13 cm<sup>3</sup>/kg H<sub>2</sub>O (mean = 3.2 cm<sup>3</sup>/kg H<sub>2</sub>O), a range that is consistent with the excess-air concentrations indicated by the N<sub>2</sub>/Ar data (fig. 17). In contrast, concentrations of <sup>4</sup>He ranged from near atmospheric equilibrium to values several orders of magnitude greater than those expected for air-water equilibrium. Shapiro and others (1998) reported that the average percent supersaturation of <sup>4</sup>He above solubility equilibrium is about 37 percent for shallow wells and 274 percent for deeper wells. Although low degrees of <sup>4</sup>He supersaturation are probably related to excess air, substantially higher values indicate the presence of excess (radiogenic) <sup>4</sup>He derived from the decay of uranium and thorium-bearing minerals in shale-rich bedrock or lithic fragments in glacial sedi-

ments. The presence of significant amounts of radiogenic helium complicates the determination of the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He age. Shapiro and others (1998) reported that nearly 60 percent of the ground-water samples required corrections for radiogenic helium before reasonable <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages could be calculated.

### **RELIABILITY OF GROUND-WATER AGES**

Ground-water ages estimated by use of the CFC and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He methods are regarded as apparent ages and require careful review in the geochemical and hydrologic context of the study area. In the following sections, the reliabilities of CFC- and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He-derived ground-water ages are evaluated in view of current understanding of ground-water flow and hydrogeology in the buried-valley aquifer.


Chlorofluorocarbon ages. To evaluate the reliability of age estimates derived by the CFC technique, ground-water ages (expressed as recharge years) obtained for CFC-11, CFC-12, and CFC-113 were compared by means of scatterplots. Under ideal conditions, ages derived from all three CFC compounds would be identical, and paired data sets would plot along a 1:1 correspondence line. CFC ages obtained for this study are full of inconsistencies, however, and for many samples estimated recharge years calculated for different CFC compounds differ by 30 years or more (fig. 18). For CFC-11 and CFC-12, the ages of about 20 percent of the samples are in reasonable agreement. Most samples, however, particularly those collected from anoxic parts of the buried-valley aquifer (DO less than 0.3 mg/L) plot below the 1:1 line (fig. 18). The downward shift, reflecting CFC-11 ages that are significantly older than CFC-12 ages, is a pattern observed in other aquifers where microbial degradation of CFC-11 under anoxic conditions is suspected (Dunkle and others; 1993, Cook and others, 1995). Data points that plot above




**Figure 18.** CFC-11 and CFC-113 recharge year as a function of CFC-12 recharge year for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. (Error bars reflect CFC age errors reported in table 3).

the 1:1 correspondence line may indicate degradation of CFC-12 relative to CFC-11 or CFC-113; however, because CFC-12 has been shown to be the most resistant to microbial degradation under anoxic conditions (Lovely and Woodward; 1992, Katz and others, 1995), these data points probably reflect minor contamination of the samples, resulting in younger CFC-11 and CFC-113 ages relative to the CFC-12 ages.

Another check on the consistency of the CFC ages is to compare CFC recharge years with measured tritium concentrations, as is done for CFC-12 ages in fig. 19. If the CFC-12 ages are reliable, then the data points in fig. 19 should plot on or near the tritium-input curve for southwestern Ohio rainwater. Although some data points plot near the curve (particularly those with measurable DO concentrations recharged after 1975), several samples with elevated tritium concentrations (10-58 TU) have pre-1950 CFC-12 ages. Such elevated tritium concentrations are not reasonable for waters that recharged the buried-valley aquifer prior to the start of atomic weapons testing in the early 1950's. These observations support the hypothesis that microbial degradation of the CFC-12 has occurred. A final chemical check on the consistency of the CFC-12 ages is to compare them to the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages reported by Shapiro and others (1998). This comparison provides further support that microbial degradation of CFC-12 occurred because nearly all of the anoxic ground-water samples plot below the 1:1 correspondence line (fig. 20). Agreement is closer between CFC-12 and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages where the ground water has measurable DO, but even a few of these samples show marked disagreement (fig. 20)




**Figure 19.** Tritium concentrations as a function of CFC-12 recharge year for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. (Horizontal bars reflect CFC-12 age error as given in table 3. Bold line gives estimated concentration of tritium in rainwater from southwest Ohio, corrected for radioactive decay through mid-1993.)




**Figure 20.** CFC-12 recharge year as a function of  ${}^{3}\text{H-}{}^{3}\text{He}$  recharge year for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio.  ${}^{3}\text{H-}{}^{3}\text{He}$  recharge year from Shapiro and others, 1998. Horizontal bars reflect age errors reported by Shapiro and others, 1998, and shown in table 7; vertical bars reflect CFC-12 age errors reported in table 3).

The data highlighted in the preceding discussion indicate that redox conditions in the buried-valley aquifer are affecting the reliability of the CFC ages. Agreement between the CFC-12 and  $^3\text{H}$ - $^3\text{H}$ e ages is usually close when ground water contains measurable concentrations of DO and nitrate (fig. 21). As concentrations of oxygen and nitrate drop below detection limits, however, the difference between the two ages exceeds the analytical uncertainty. There is no distinct correlation between the concentration of dissolved iron and the difference between the two ages; however, it appears that when methane concentrations are greater than 0.05 mg/L, complete degradation of CFC-12 occurs, resulting in age differences as high as 30 or 40 years (fig. 21). Difference between  $^3\text{H}$ - $^3\text{H}$ e ages and CFC-12 ages is negative for a few samples, probably because of contamination that results in CFC-12 ages that are too young.

Tritium-helium-3 ages. Unlike CFC's, the concentration of tritium and its daughter product, helium-3, are not affected by microbial degradation; however, processes such as hydrodynamic dispersion or the addition of radiogenic helium can affect the reliability of <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages (Solomon and Sudicky, 1991; Shapiro and others, 1998). Hence, the consistency of the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages must be assessed. As reported by Shapiro and others (1998), the first check on the consistency of the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages is to compare the sum of tritium and tritiogenic helium [<sup>3</sup>H+<sup>3</sup>He<sub>trit</sub>] with the estimated tritium concentrations for southwestern Ohio rainwater as a function of <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He recharge year. If the calculated <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages are accurate, then the [<sup>3</sup>H+<sup>3</sup>He<sub>trit</sub>] concentrations should reflect the amount of tritium in rainwater at the time of recharge to the buried-valley aquifer and should therefore plot on or close to the tritium-input curve. Because [<sup>3</sup>H+<sup>3</sup>He<sub>trit</sub>] values for most samples plot on or near the tritium-input curve, particularly for waters recharged after 1970 (fig. 22), the correction procedure developed by Shapiro and others (1998) seems reasonable. These workers also report that samples of water recharged after 1980 that plot above the tritium-input curve were probably affected by tritium releases to the atmosphere or land surface from local sources, such as the Mound Plant or waste-disposal facilities. Samples that plot below the curve are either affected by hydrodynamic dispersion or are pre-atmospheric-testing waters that have mixed with small amounts of younger, tritiated ground water (Shapiro and others, 1998).



**Figure 21.** Concentration of dissolved oxygen, nitrate-N, iron, and methane as a function of the difference between <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He age and CFC-12 age for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio.



#### **EXPLANATION**


- ESTIMATED TRITIUM CONCENTRATION IN RAIN WATER CORRECTED FROM RADIOACTIVE DECOY THROUGH MID-1993
- O MIAMI-NORTH MIAMI WELL FIELD
- ▼ ▼ MOUND PLANT
- ■ WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE-MAD RIVER WELL FIELD
- ▲ ▲ RECONNAISSANCE WELLS

Filled symbol indicates no radiogenic helium correction required Unfilled symbol indicates radiogenic helium correction required

MT-301★ RECONNAISSANCE WELL (AND NUMBER) DOWNWIND FROM MOUND PLANT

MT-374 X DAYTON MONITORING WELL (AND NUMBER) DOWNGRADIENT FROM INCINERATOR

**Figure 22.** Sum of tritium and tritiogenic helium 3 [<sup>3</sup>H+<sup>3</sup>He] as a function of <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He recharge year for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. (Horizontal bars reflect age errors reported by Shapiro and others, 1998, and shown in table 7; figure modified from Shapiro and others, 1998).



**Figure 23.** <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He age as a function of depth from water table to top of screened interval for the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. (Horizontal bars reflect age errors reported by Shapiro and others, 1998 and shown in table 7; figure modified from Shapiro and others 1998).

Shapiro and others (1998) also evaluated the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages for consistency with current knowledge of hydrologic processes and ground-water flow in the buried-valley aquifer. This was done in a general way by examining the relation between <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He age and depth for the entire study area. It was found, as expected, that ground-water age generally increases with depth (fig. 23). Most of the data shown on the age-depth plot indicate vertical velocities in the range of 1 to 5 ft/yr, flow velocities that are consistent with existing data on aquifer recharge rates and sediment porosity (Dumouchelle and others, 1993; Dumouchelle, 1998).

Shapiro and others (1998) provide detailed discussions of age-depth relations in selected parts of the buried-valley aquifer including the Miami-North Miami Well Fields, the WPAFB-Mad River Well Field area, and the Mound Plant. With some exceptions, the geologic sections provided by Shapiro and others (1998) show that  $^3\text{H-}^3\text{He}$  ages increase with increasing depth and distance along major flowpaths in these areas. Exceptions to this trend are commonly related to pumping effects; for instance, Shapiro and others (1998) reported that  $^3\text{H-}^3\text{He}$  ages decrease as flowpaths approached major pumping centers. In addition, monitoring wells between production wells

and streams often yielded very young ground water (<sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages less than 1 year) because of the combined effects of drawdown and induced infiltration of surface water.


A final check on the hydrogeologic consistency of the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages is provided by the work of Sheets and others (1998), who used the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages reported by Shapiro and others (1998) to evaluate and improve numerical flow models of the buried-valley aquifer in the Dayton area. Traveltimes obtained by particle-tracking analysis were compared with <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages reported for 44 wells in parts of the buried-valley aquifer for which two previous ground-water flow models had been calibrated. The modeled areas included (1) a 5.6-mi<sup>2</sup> area adjacent to the Mound Plant near Miamisburg, Ohio (U.S. Department of Energy, 1995), and (2) an approximately 100-mi<sup>2</sup> area that encompasses the buried-valley aquifer and adjacent bedrock uplands in the WPAFB-Mad River Well Field area (Dumouchelle and others, 1993). Both models were calibrated to existing water-level, aquifer-test, and streamflow data.

Sheets and others (1998) reported that agreement between <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages and the simulated traveltimes was generally good and that most data obtained for the Mound Plant and WPAFB-Mad River Well Field area plotted near the 1:1 correspondence line (fig. 24). Particularly close correspondence was found for shallow wells at both sites, where mean absolute errors (MAE) between simulated traveltimes and the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages were a few years or less (Sheets and others, 1998). The close correspondence is not unexpected because particle-tracking analyses for wells screened in the shallow layers of both models are constrained by abundant water-level and aquifer test data. However, Sheets and others (1998) reported that agreement between simulated traveltimes and the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages was much poorer for deeper parts of the buried-valley aquifer, with MAE's of 17.2 and 255 years for layers 2 and 3 of the WPAFB-Mad River well-field model, respectively (fig. 24). Sheets and others (1998) ascribed these differences to the lack of hydrogeologic data for deeper parts of the buried-valley aquifer and then went on to demonstrate that hydrologically reasonable modifications to model parameters would improve agreement between the simulated traveltimes and the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages. On the whole, however, results of the initial comparison between the two independent methods of estimating ground-water age provides further evidence that the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He method does yield reliable estimates of ground-water age in the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton.

### RELATIONS BETWEEN GROUND-WATER AGE AND QUALITY


Selected properties and constituents of water samples are compared with <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages to determine whether temporal trends in ground-water quality can be identified in the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton. Such trends may be related to natural processes (such as attainment of water-mineral equilibrium and hydrodynamic dispersion) or to human factors (such as changes in land use or rates at which manufactured chemicals such as pesticides, fertilizers, or organic solvents are used). A combination of natural and anthropogenic processes may affect temporal distribution of some constituents in the aquifer. For example, trends in nitrate concentration may reflect rates of bacterially mediated denitrification under anoxic conditions or changes in fertilizer application rates or both. Trends in ground-water quality, if they exist, provide qualitative information about rates of water-rock reactions or rates of contaminant loading and removal. In addition, temporal trends of water properties and constituents may be useful as qualitative indicators of ground-water age. Locally weighted scatterplot smoothing, or LOWESS (Cleveland, 1979), is used to illustrate apparent temporal trends in subsequent data plots.

A potential source of bias in the age distributions discussed in the following paragraphs is the depth distribution of wells sampled for this study. Because existing wells were used, detailed sampling along ground-water flowpaths as a function of depth was not always possible. Moreover, although most production wells completed in the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton are screened at depths 50 to 150 ft below land surface, most monitoring wells are screened at or above these depths to monitor for potential contamination. In addition, proportionately more shallow wells are available for sampling because many wells are constructed specifically for monitoring shallow ground-water quality. Of the 95 wells for which  ${}^3\text{H-}^3\text{He}$  ages were obtained, 57 of the wells (60 percent) are screened at depths less than 50 ft below the water table (fig. 25). The predominance of shallow wells is reflected in the distribution of  ${}^3\text{H-}^3\text{He}$  ages: for most samples (69 percent), the estimated age is 15 years or less (fig. 25). As a result, apparent trends in ground-water quality noted in subsequent discussions are influenced by the relatively young ages of most ground-water samples collected for this study.



**Figure 24.** Simulated traveltimes as a function of <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He age for selected wells at the Mound Plant and Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River Well Field, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. (The ages for the three data points with left-pointing arrows are maximum age estimates based on reported tritium and chlorofluorocarbon concentrations. Note difference in log scales. Modified from Sheets and others, 1998.)

Another factor that influenced observed ground-water-quality trends was the varied land-use setting of wells sampled in this study. Samples from wells in urban or industrial settings are more likely to contain organic contaminants, whereas samples from wells in agricultural settings are more likely to be affected by fertilizers or pesticides. Categorizing the land-use settings of wells sampled for environmental tracers was beyond the scope of this study. In addition, data for describing temporal trends for many trace elements and synthetic organic chemicals were insufficient for detailed analysis. As a result, the study focused on assessing temporal trends of onsite water-quality measurements (temperature, pH, specific conductance, DO), major ions, and selected trace elements. Temporal trends of saturation indices of minerals that may be affecting the concentrations of some constituents in ground water also were examined. The age distribution of ground-water samples where halogenated VOC's other than CFC's were detected also was evaluated.




**Figure 25.** Distribution of well depth (depth from water table to top of screened interval) and  ${}^{3}\text{H}-{}^{3}\text{He}$  age for ground-water samples from the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. ( ${}^{3}\text{H}-{}^{3}\text{He}$  ages from Shapiro and others, 1998).

# Onsite water-quality measurements

Results of onsite measurements of temperature, pH, specific conductance, and DO as a function of <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He age indicate slight trends toward lower temperature and higher pH with increasing ground-water age (fig. 26). The trend indicated for specific conductance is for lower values in waters recharged prior to 1965; post-1965 ground water is generally characterized by higher specific conductance, albeit with a slight tendency toward lower specific conductance in the most recently recharged samples. Because of substantial scatter in the temperature, pH, and specific-conductance data, these apparent trends may not be meaningful. Nevertheless, the older ground-water samples (recharged prior to 1965) tend to have similar temperature, pH, and specific conductance; these values differ only slightly from the median value for the entire data set. For instance, with the exception of one sample recharged in 1960, specific conductance of older ground-water samples is close to 600 µs/cm, whereas the median for all 137 ground-water samples collected for this study is about 800 µs/cm (fig. 11). Although not shown on fig. 26, redoxpotential data indicate a similar trend, with all pre-1965 samples having redox potentials between 40 and 60 mV.

In contrast, measurable concentrations of DO are rarely observed in ground water recharged prior to 1990. Based on the observed distribution, a DO concentration greater than 1 mg/L is a reliable indicator of recent (post-1990) recharge in the buried-valley aquifer. The reverse, however, is not true: very young ground water with no detectable DO was found at several sites. The rapid disappearance of DO from ground water at most locations indicates that DO-consuming reactions—for example, bacterially mediated oxidation of organic matter—occur quickly in the buried-valley aquifer. It also indicates that the organic-matter content of most aquifer sediments is sufficient to remove DO early in the flowpath.



**Figure 26.** Temperature, pH, specific conductance, and dissolved-oxygen concentration as a function of <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He recharge year for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. (<sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He recharge year from Shapiro and others, 1998.)

## Major ions and selected trace constituents


Several patterns can be observed when selected major and trace constituent data are plotted against the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages. For calcium, magnesium, and alkalinity, the pattern is similar to that for pH; no particular trend is evident in the data, particularly for waters recharged after 1965 (fig. 27). For older, pre-1965 waters, scatterpoints for these constituents tend to cluster around a central value. These patterns suggest an approach to equilibrium with carbonate minerals in aquifer sediments. This hypothesis is supported by temporal trends in the saturation indices of the two main carbonate minerals found in buried-valley aquifer sediments, dolomite and calcite (fig. 27). In both cases, young ground water quickly approaches saturation or supersaturation with respect to calcite. Furthermore, with increasing age, ground water appears to reach equilibrium with dolomite while becoming slightly supersaturated with respect to calcite. Because pH and alkalinity also are controlled by carbonate equilibria, temporal trends in these properties are similar to those observed for calcium and magnesium. Alkalinity, pH, and hardness of older buried-valley aquifer ground water will therefore vary within a limited range that is controlled by water-rock reactions. Deviation from these trends is probably related to chemical changes in the buried-valley aquifer caused by infiltration of alkaline landfill leachate, organic contaminants, or acidic waste fluids.

The most common temporal trend observed in the major-ion and trace-constituent data is that the number of outliers and overall scatter in the data increase with decreasing ground-water age; most outliers tend to be associated with ground water recharged after 1980. Examples of this general pattern include sodium, chloride, nitrate, organic carbon, and boron (fig. 28). Other constituents not shown that have similar trends are ammonia, bromide, phosphate, potassium, and sulfate. This pattern probably reflects the effects of various human activities on the quality of recharge to the buried-valley aquifer in recent years. For instance, elevated concentrations of sodium, potassium, bromide, and chloride are probably related to application of deicing salts or leakage of septic-tank effluent, particularly for shallow wells. Similarly, elevated concentrations of boron may be related to infiltration of treated sewage effluent or landfill leachate, whereas high nitrate concentrations may be related to increased use of nitrate-based fertilizers. The tendency towards lower concentrations and few if any outliers in the older (pre-1960) ground-water samples for this group of constituents suggests that water this old has not been directly influenced by human activities.


An alternative hypothesis is that hydrodynamic dispersion or other natural processes in the buried-valley aquifer have attenuated previously elevated concentrations of these constituents to background levels. In the case of nitrate, measurable concentrations of nitrate are rarely found in ground water recharged prior to the mid-1970's. Excess nitrogen found in dissolved-gas samples (see fig. 17) strongly indicates denitrification in the buried-valley aquifer. Denitrification will occur after DO has been consumed (Korom, 1992), a fact consistent with the observation that measurable DO is rarely found in ground water recharged prior to 1980. Denitrification is also consistent with previous findings that nitrate is rarely present at detectable concentrations in anoxic parts of the buried-valley aquifer below WPAFB (Rowe, 1996). The data shown in fig. 28 indicate that, given sufficient time (10 to 20 years), denitrification in the buried-valley aquifer can reduce the concentration of nitrate derived from human activities to levels that will not affect human health. However, in areas where induced infiltration is used to augment ground-water production from the buried-valley aquifer, traveltimes will likely be too short to allow the complete break-down of dissolved nitrate in streamwater.

The final temporal trend of note involves constituents that are found at reduced or nondetectable concentrations in very young ground water. As ground-water age increases, constituent concentrations may increase sharply and erratically, as is the case for dissolved iron, or may increase gradually with time, as is true for dissolved silica (fig. 29). Other constituents that have similar sharp or gradual temporal trends are manganese and fluoride. For both iron and silica, concentrations in the older waters approach a restricted range of values. On the basis of saturation-index calculations, the temporal trends for iron and silica seem to be related to gradual equilibration with a ferrous iron carbonate mineral (siderite) and microcrystalline quartz (chalcedony), respectively. For both iron and silica, a fairly constant degree of supersaturation is observed for waters recharged prior to the mid-1970's, indicating that a state of metastable equilibrium has been achieved (fig. 29).


sut only only Sounder



**Figure 27.** Calcium and magnesium concentrations, alkalinity, and saturation indices of calcite and dolomite as a function of <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He recharge year for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. (<sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages from Shapiro and others, 1998.)



**Figure 28.** Sodium plus chloride, nitrate plus nitrite, dissolved or total organic carbon, and boron concentrations as a function of <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He recharge year for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. (<sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages from Shapiro and others, 1998.)




**Figure 29.** Iron concentration, saturation index of siderite, silica concentration, and saturation index of chalcedony as a function of  ${}^{3}H^{-3}He$  recharge year for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. ( ${}^{3}H^{-3}He$  ages from Shapiro and others, 1998.)

For iron (and to a lesser extent manganese), measurable concentrations are found only in anoxic ground water. Thus, ground water that does not contain measurable concentrations of dissolved iron is likely to be very young because, as shown previously, oxic ground water in the buried-valley aquifer also tends to be very young. As a result, the approach to equilibrium with respect to siderite partly reflects the transition from oxic to anoxic conditions that occurs as ground water flows through the aquifer. With regard to silica, very young (post-1990) ground water tends to have concentrations between 5 and 10 mg/L. Several very young ground-water samples were collected from shallow wells adjacent to large streams where induced infiltration of river water was believed to be occurring. These samples all had silica concentrations less than 8 mg/L, consistent with the observation that silica concentrations in river water in the study area are generally low; the median silica concentration for all surface-water samples collected during September—October 1995 for this study was 5.8 mg/L (fig. 15). Long-term data collected at the USGS National Stream-Quality Accounting Network station on the Great Miami River at New Baltimore, Ohio (approximately 30 mi downstream from Dayton), show a median silica concentration of 5.2 mg/L for 131 quarterly samples collected between 1974 and 1993 (range = <0.1 to 10.0 mg/L). Therefore, low silica concentrations appear to be a good indicator of ground water affected by significant amounts of recharge from adjacent streams or river-fed artificial-recharge lagoons.

The data in fig. 29 show that a constant rate of supersaturation with respect to chalcedony is reached within 15 to 20 years after recharge and that waters recharged prior to 1970 are characterized by dissolved silica concentrations of about 16 mg/L. Similar correlations between silica concentration and ground-water age have been reported for shallow sand and gravel aquifers of the Delmarva Peninsula in northeastern Maryland (Bohlke and Denver, 1995). It should be noted that waters affected by landfill leachate or alkaline waste fluids will contain artificially high silica concentrations because the solubility of glass and most silica-bearing minerals increases sharply at pH > 9. Despite such exceptions, silica concentration seems to be an inexpensive indicator for distinguishing between recently recharged ground water and ground water recharged more than 20 or 30 years ago, especially when combined with other qualitative indicators of ground-water age such as nitrate and DO.

## Halogenated volatile organic compounds

Of the 95 wells for which hydrologically reasonable  ${}^{3}\text{H-}^{3}\text{He}$  ages are available, 41 wells (43 percent) produced water with detectable concentrations of halogenated VOC's such as methylene chloride, trichloroethylene, or vinyl chloride. The observed age distribution indicates that most VOC detections (34 out of 41 wells, about 83 percent) are associated with young or very young ground water—water that recharged the aquifer after 1980 (fig. 30). This result is not surprising given that 60 percent of the wells with hydrologically reasonable  ${}^{3}\text{H-}^{3}\text{He}$  ages are screened at depths 50 ft or less below the water table, and a plot of all samples for which  ${}^{3}\text{H-}^{3}\text{He}$  ages are available as a function of depth confirms that most VOC detections are associated with shallow wells (fig. 30). Nevertheless, for some wells where saturated thicknesses above the intake were greater than 140 ft, samples also had detectable concentrations of VOC's. Rowe (1996) reported similar depth distributions for VOC's and other contaminants at WPAFB.



**Figure 30.** (A) Ground-water age distribution among wells where halogenated volatile organic compounds (VOC'S) were detected and (B) distribution of halogenated-VOC detections and nondetections in relation to well depth and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He age for ground water from the buried-valley aquifer, Dayton area, southwestern Ohio. (<sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages from Shapiro and others, 1998.)

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

An environmental tracer study was done in conjunction with efforts to develop a regional ground-water flow model of the buried-valley aquifer in the Dayton area in southwestern Ohio. Objectives of the environmental tracer study were to (1) use newly developed environmental tracer techniques to estimate the age of ground water in the buried-valley aquifer, (2) apply estimates of ground-water age to the calibration and refinement of numerical flow models of selected parts of the buried-valley aquifer, and (3) characterize temporal trends in the quality of water in the buried-valley aquifer. Dating methods based on the measurement of chlorofluorocarbons (CFC method) and tritium and helium isotopes ( $^3$ H- $^3$ He method) were used to estimate the age of ground water collected from 137 wells. Most of these wells were in three main areas near Dayton: (1) the WPAFB-Mad River Well Field area, (2) the Miami and North Miami Well Fields north of downtown Dayton, and (3) the Mound Plant near Miamisburg, Ohio.

Results of environmental-tracer sampling indicate that the CFC method is unreliable as an dating technique in the buried-valley aquifer because of ground-water contamination by local sources of CFC's or microbial degradation. On average, about 25 percent of the samples had concentrations of one or more CFC compounds that were above those expected for the air-water equilibrium value at the time of sampling. CFC ages obtained for anoxic ground-water samples unaffected by contamination were inconsistent with measured tritium concentrations. In several instances, ground-water samples that lacked detectable concentrations of CFC-12 (indicative of a pre-1945)

recharge year) contained 20 to 30 tritium units, and therefore a significant component of post-1952 recharge. Anoxic conditions, which are found throughout most of the buried-valley aquifer, appear to be responsible for enhanced microbial degradation of the CFC compounds. Limited dissolved-gas data indicate that when methane concentrations exceed 0.05 mg/L, rapid degradation of all CFC compounds occurs. Further research is needed to define the origin and distribution of methane in the buried-valley aquifer and its role in the degradation of CFC's.

In contrast, Shapiro and others (1998) reported that ground-water ages obtained by the  ${}^{3}\text{H}-{}^{3}\text{He}$  method were, for the most part, consistent with known tritium geochemistry. For most samples, the sum of tritium and tritiogenic helium-3 [ ${}^{3}\text{H}+{}^{3}\text{He}_{\text{trit}}$ ] plotted as a function of  ${}^{3}\text{H}-{}^{3}\text{He}$  age was in close agreement with the estimated tritium-input function for southwestern Ohio rainwater. Deviations from the rainwater curve were attributed to (1) dispersive effects that lowered reconstructed peak tritium values, (2) mixing at discharge areas that caused some WPAFB samples with low [ ${}^{3}\text{H}+{}^{3}\text{He}_{\text{trit}}$ ] values to have ages that were less than expected, and (3) local tritium contamination that caused some samples to have [ ${}^{3}\text{H}+{}^{3}\text{He}_{\text{trit}}$ ] concentrations above the tritium-input curve for southwestern Ohio rainwater. The latter included water samples collected from wells near the Mound Plant and waste-disposal facilities. This finding is important because it provides a method for identifying ground water that has been affected by small, local releases of tritium as either vapor or liquid.

Hydrologic consistency of the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages was also evaluated by examining trends in <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages as a function of depth and distance along flowpaths delineated by use of existing water-level data or particle-tracking analysis. Shapiro and others (1998) constructed schematic geologic sections along regional flowpaths near the Miami-North Miami Well Fields area and the WPAFB-Mad River Well Field area. With few exceptions, these sections show that ground-water age increases with depth and increased distance along the flowpaths. Ground-water age decreased as the distance from large well fields decreased because of the combined effects of pumping and induced infiltration.

The fact that  ${}^{3}\text{H}^{-3}\text{He}$  ages were, for the most part, geochemically and hydrologically consistent is an important finding because successful application of the  ${}^{3}\text{H}^{-3}\text{He}$  method in the buried-valley aquifer depended on overcoming several complications that were either not present or were not addressed in previous investigations: (1) the size and hydrogeologic complexity of the buried-valley aquifer, (2) the mostly anoxic conditions that prevented comparison of the  ${}^{3}\text{H}^{-3}\text{He}$  ages with largely unreliable CFC ages, (3) the use of existing wells with variable screen lengths and diameters, (4) the high rates of pumping and induced infiltration, and (5) the local tritium contamination in several parts of the buried-valley aquifer. In addition, nearly 60 percent of the  ${}^{3}\text{H}^{-3}\text{He}$  samples required corrections for excess radiogenic helium before hydrologically reasonable ages could be calculated. However, Shapiro and others (1998) showed that the corrected  ${}^{3}\text{H}^{-3}\text{He}$  ages of samples that had excess radiogenic helium were as consistent as those derived from samples that did not have excess helium. Although some data support the hypothesis that excess radiogenic helium is derived from underlying Ordovician shales, other data indicate that it may be generated by the decay of uranium and thorium contained in lithic fragments in glacial sediments. Further research will be needed to clarify the origin of the excess radiogenic helium in the buried-valley aquifer.

After the chemical and hydrologic consistency of the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages was established, the ages could then be applied to the calibration and refinement of ground-water flow models. Application of the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages reported by Shapiro and others (1998) to the calibration and refinement of numerical ground-water flow models of the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton is described by Sheets and others (1998). These workers showed that initial agreement between simulated traveltimes and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages was close, particularly for shallow parts of the buried-valley aquifer. In deeper parts of the aquifer where significant discrepancies between the simulated traveltimes and the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages were observed, these investigators describe procedures for making hydrologically reasonable adjustments to the numerical models that yielded improved agreement between the simulated traveltimes and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages. Such improvements can lead to increased confidence in model-based predictions concerning the fate and transport of ground-water contaminants such as VOC's or trace elements. These procedures can also be used to evaluate and improve the recently completed regional ground-water flow model of the buried-valley aquifer described by Dumouchelle (1998).

<sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He ages were plotted against selected properties and constituents in ground-water samples to determine whether temporal trends in ground-water quality of the buried-valley aquifer could be identified. Distinct temporal trends were not identified for pH, temperature, specific conductance, redox potential, calcium, magnesium, or alka-

linity. The absence of trends in pH, alkalinity, and calcium and magnesium concentrations was attributed to equilibration of water with carbonate minerals in aquifer sediments. Temporal trends in which the number of outliers and degree of scatter in the data increased as ground-water age decreased were characteristic of several constituents including sodium, potassium, boron, bromide, chloride, ammonia, nitrate, phosphate, sulfate, and organic carbon. Elevated concentrations of these constituents are probably related to human activities. For many of these constituents, however, ground water recharged prior to 1970 is characterized by distinctly lower concentrations and reduced scatter in the data. Temporal trends in which constituent concentrations decline as ground-water age increases could also reflect natural processes that reduce constituent concentrations to low levels. For example, the absence of measurable nitrate concentrations in ground water recharged prior to 1980 probably reflects removal of nitrate by bacterially mediated denitrification.

Temporal trends of DO, iron, nitrate, and silica indicate that these constituents may be useful for identifying wells receiving recent (post-1990) recharge, particularly if combined with tritium measurements. Lower concentrations of dissolved silica tend to be associated with ground water recharged after the mid-1970's, whereas older (pre-1970's) ground water appears to have reached a state of metastable equilibrium with respect to siderite and chalcedony. Silica may also be a useful indicator of wells affected by induced infiltration of surface water. Further research is required to evaluate the reliability of the above-listed constituents as qualitative age-dating tools and to determine their applicability to other buried-valley aquifers in glaciated parts of the United States.

Perhaps the principal finding of the environmental tracer study is that ground water in the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton is relatively young, with ages ranging from a few months to a few years in shallow parts of the aquifer and from a few years to a few decades in the deeper parts of the aquifer. The presence of young ground water throughout the regional hydrogeologic system reflects natural as well as human factors: it is consistent with the high transmissivity of the sand and gravel deposits that make up the aquifer and the high pumping rates that induce infiltration of large amounts of surface water. Temporal trends in ground-water quality indicate that the effects of human activities are readily discerned in shallow parts of the aquifer, that overall vulnerability of the buried-valley aquifer to contamination is high, and that ongoing efforts to protect the aquifer will need to continue in order to preserve the current ground-water quality.

### REFERENCES CITED

56

- Ball, J.W., and Nordstrom, D.K., 1991, User's manual for WATEQ4F, with revised thermodynamic data base and test cases for calculating speciation of major, trace, and redox elements in natural waters: U.S. Geological Survey, Open-File Report 91-183, 189 p.
- Bohlke, J.K., and Denver, J.M., 1995, Combined use of groundwater dating, chemical, and isotopic analyses to resolve history and fate of nitrate contamination in two agricultural watersheds, Atlantic coastal plain, Maryland: Water Resources Research, v. 31, no. 9, p. 2319-2339.
- Bu, X., and Warner, M.J., 1995, Solubility of chlorofluorocarbon 113 in water and seawater: Deep Sea Research, v. 42, no. 7, p. 1151-1161.
- Busenberg, E., and Plummer, L.N., 1992, Use of chlorofluorocarbons (CCl<sub>3</sub>F and CCl<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>) as hydrologic tracers and age-dating tools—The alluvium and terrace system of central Oklahoma: Water Resources Research, v. 28, no. 9, p. 2257-2283.
- Busenberg, E., Weeks, E.P., Plummer, L.N., and Bartholomay, R.C., 1993, Age dating ground water by use of chlorofluorocarbons in the unsaturated zone, Snake River Plain aquifer, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 93-4054, 47 p.
- Chemical Manufacturers Association, 1992, Alternative fluorocarbons environmental acceptability study—Production and atmospheric release data for CFC-11 and CFC-12 (through 1991): Washington D.C., Chemical Manufacturers Association, 34 p.
- CH2M Hill, Inc., 1986a, Miami well field study, environmental protection program plan report: Prepared for the City of Dayton, v. 1. [variously paginated].
- ———1986b, Miami well field study, environmental protection program plan report: Prepared for the City of Dayton, v. 1., appendices [variously paginated].
- ———1989, Phase II, Miami north well field study environmental testing and development program: Prepared for the City of Dayton [variously paginated].

- Clarke, J.F., Smethie, W.M., Jr., and Simpson, H.J., 1995, Chlorofluorocarbons in the Hudson estuary during summer months: Water Resources Research, v. 31, no. 10, p. 2553-2560.
- Cleveland, W.S., 1979, Robust locally weighted regression and smoothing scatterplots: Journal of the American Statistical Association, v. 74, p. 829-836.
- Cook, P.G., Solomon, D.K., Plummer, L.N., Busenberg, E., and Schiff, S.L., 1995, Chlorofluorocarbons as tracers of ground-water transport processes in a shallow, silty sand aquifer: Water Resources Research, v. 31, no. 3, p. 425-434.
- Cunningham, W.L., Sheets, R.A., and Schalk, C.W., 1994, Evaluation of ground-water flow by particle tracking, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 94-4243, 11 p.
- Dames & Moore, Inc., 1973, Description and safety assessment of Mound Laboratory Site: Cincinnati, Ohio [variously paginated].
- Dames & Moore, Inc., Eagon & Associates, and Clement International Corporation, 1992, Remedial investigation report, Powell Road Landfill: Prepared for SCA Services of Ohio, Inc. [variously paginated].
- Dumouchelle, D.H., 1998a, Ground-water levels and flow directions in the buried-valley aquifer around Dayton, Ohio: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 97-4255, 1 sheet, scale 1:100,000.
- Dumouchelle, D.H., and de Roche, J.T., 1991, Lithologic, natural-gamma, grain-size, and well-construction data for Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 91-181, 94 p.
- Dumouchelle, D.H., Schalk, C.W., Rowe, G.L., and de Roche, J.T., 1993, Hydrogeology, simulated ground-water flow, and ground-water quality, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 93-4047, 160 p.
- Dunkle, S.A., Plummer, L.N., Busenberg, E., Phillips, P.J., Denver, J.M., Hamilton, P.A., Michel, R.L., and Coplen, T.B., 1993, Chlorofluorocarbons (CCl<sub>3</sub>F and CCl<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>) as age-dating tools and hydrologic tracers in shallow ground water of the Delmarva Peninsula, Atlantic Coastal Plain, USA: Water Resources Research, v. 29, no. 12, p. 3837-3860.
- Ekwurzel, B., Schlosser, P., Smethie, W.M., Jr., Plummer, L.N., Busenberg, E., Michel, R.L., Weppernig, R., and Stute, M., 1994, Dating of shallow groundwater—Comparison of the transient tracers <sup>3</sup>H/<sup>3</sup>He, chlorofluorocarbons, and <sup>85</sup>Kr: Water Resources Research, v. 30, no. 6, p. 1693-1708.
- Elkins, J.W., Thompson, T.M., Swanson, T.H., Butler, J.H., Hall, B.D., Cummings, S.O., Fisher, D.A., and Roffo, A.G., 1993, Decrease in growth rates of atmospheric chlorofluorocarbons 11 and 12: Nature, v. 364, p. 780-783.
- Evans, K.F., 1977, Water quality of the glacial-outwash aquifer in the Great Miami River Basin, Ohio: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations 77-76, 2 sheets.
- Fenneman, N.M., 1938, Physiography of Eastern United States: New York, McGraw-Hill, p. 449-536.
- Field, A.A., 1991, Application of a three-dimensional ground water flow model and particle tracking for well field management: Dayton, Ohio, Wright State University, M.S. thesis, 149 p.
- Fidler, R.E., 1975, Digital model simulation of the glacial-outwash aquifer at Dayton, Ohio: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations 18-75, 25 p.
- Fisher, D.A., and Midgley, P.M., 1993, The production and release to the atmosphere of CFC's 113, 114, and 115: Atmosphere and Environment, v. 27A, p. 271-276.
- Fishman, M.J., and Friedman, L.C., eds., 1989, Methods for determination of inorganic substances in water and fluvial sediments: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 5, chap. A1, 545 p.
- Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1987, Mad River well field assessment: Prepared for the City of Dayton [variously paginated].
- Hach Company, 1993, Procedures manual—DR/2000 spectrophotometer: Loveland, Colo. [variously paginated].
- Hamilton, P. A., and Helsel, D.R., 1995, Effects of agriculture on ground-water quality in five regions of the United States: Ground Water, v. 33, no. 2, p. 217-226.
- Harstine, L.J., 1991, Hydrologic atlas for Ohio—Average annual precipitation, temperature, streamflow, and water loss for 50-year period 1931-1980: Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water, Water Inventory Report 28, 12 p., 4 sheets.
- Hem, J.D., 1989, Study and interpretation of the chemical characteristics of natural waters: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2254, 263 p.
- Ho, D.T., Schlosser, P., Smethie, W.M., Jr., and Simpson, H.J., 1998, Variability in atmospheric chlorofluorocarbons (CCl<sub>3</sub>F and CCl<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>) near a large urban area—Implications for groundwater dating: Environmental Science & Technology, v. 32, no. 16, p. 2377-2382.
- Hobba, W.A., Jr., Chemerys, J.C., Fisher, D.W., and Pearson, F.J., Jr., 1977, Geochemical and hydrologic data for wells and springs in thermal areas of the Appalachians: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations 77-25, 36 p.

- International Atomic Energy Agency, 1971, Environmental isotope data No. 3: World survey of isotope concentration in precipitation (1966-1967): Vienna, Austria, International Atomic Energy Agency Technical Report Series, no. 129.

- Johnson, D.P., and Metzker, K.D., 1981, Low-flow characteristics of Ohio streams: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 81-1195, 285 p.
- Katz, B.G., Lee, T.M., Plummer, L.N., and Busenberg, E., 1995, Chemical evolution of groundwater near a sinkhole lake, northern Florida—1. Flow patterns, age of groundwater, and influence of lake water leakage: Water Resources Research, v. 31, no. 6. p. 1549-1564.
- Koltun, G.F., 1995, Determination of base-flow characteristics at selected streamflow-gaging stations on the Mad River, Ohio: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 95-4037, 12 p.
- Korom, S.F., 1992, Natural denitrification in the saturated zone—A review: Water Resources Research, v. 28, no. 6, p. 1657-1668.
- Lovley, D.R., and Woodward, J.C., 1992, Consumption of Freon CFC-11 and CFC-12 by anaerobic sediments and soils: Environmental Science & Technology, v. 26, no. 5, p. 925-929.
- McCarthy, R.L., Bower, F.A., and Wade, R.J., 1977, The fluorocarbon-ozone theory, I, Production and release; world production and release of CCl<sub>3</sub>F and CCl<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> (fluorocarbons 11 and 12) through 1975: Atmosphere and Environment, v. 11, p. 491-497.
- Michel, R.L., 1989, Tritium deposition in the continental United States, 1953-1983: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 89-4072, 46 p.
- Norris, S.E., 1959, Vertical leakage through till as a source of recharge to a buried-valley aquifer at Dayton, Ohio: Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water Technical Report 2, 16 p.
- Norris, S.E., and Spieker, A.M., 1966, Ground-water resources of the Dayton area, Ohio: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1808, 167 p.
- Norris, S.E., Cross, W.P., and Goldthwait, R.P., 1948, The water resources of Montgomery County Ohio: Ohio Water Resources Board Bulletin 12, 83 p.
- Pearson, F.J., Jr., Fisher, D.W., and Plummer, L.N., 1978, Correction of ground-water chemistry and carbon isotopic composition for effects of CO<sub>2</sub> degassing: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 42, p. 1799-1807.
- Pennino, J.D., 1984, Ground-water quality in the aquifer of the Upper Mad River Valley, 1940-1983: Ground Water Monitoring Review, v. 4, no. 3, p. 27-38.
- Plummer, L.N., Michel, R.L., Thurman, E.M., and Glynn, P.D., 1993. Environmental tracers for age dating young ground water, in Alley, W.M., ed., Regional ground-water quality: New York, Van Nostrand Reinhold, p. 255-294.
- Poreda, R.J., Cerling, T.E., and Solomon, D.K., 1988, Tritium and helium isotopes as hydrologic tracers in shallow aquifers: Journal of Hydrology, v. 103, p. 1-9.
- Prather, M.J., 1985, Continental sources of halocarbons and nitrous oxide: Nature, v. 317, p. 221-225.
- Reilly, T., Plummer, L.N., Phillips, P.J., and Busenberg, E., 1994, The use of simulation and multiple environmental tracers to quantify ground-water flow in a shallow aquifer: Water Resources Research, v. 30, no. 2, p. 421-433.
- Ritzi, R.W., Jayne, D.F., Zahradnik, A.J., Jr., Field, A.A., and Fogg, G.E., 1994, Geostatistical modeling of heterogeneity in glaciofluvial, buried-valley aquifers: Ground Water, v. 32, no. 4, p. 666-674.
- Ritzi, R.W., Dominic, D.F., Brown, N., Kausch, K.W., McAlenney, P.J., and Basial, M., 1995, Hydrofacies distribution and correlation in the Miami Valley aquifer system: Water Resources Research, v. 31, no. 12, p. 3271-3281.
- Rowe, G.L., 1996, Ground-water quality, *in* Schalk, C.W., Cunningham, W.L., and others, Results of the Basewide Monitoring Program at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1993-1994: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 96-4125, p. 30-69.
- Rowland, F.S., 1991, Stratospheric ozone in the 21st century—The chlorofluorocarbon problem: Environmental Science & Technology, v. 25, p. 622-628.
- Russell, A.D., and Thompson, G.M., 1983, Mechanisms leading to the enrichment of the atmospheric fluorocarbons CCl<sub>3</sub>F and CCl<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> in ground water: Water Resources Research, v. 19, no. 1, p. 57-60.
- Schalk, C.W., 1992, Ground-water levels and flow in the vicinity of Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, October-December 1987: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 92-4022, 1 sheet, scale 1:46,980.

58

- Schalk, C.W., Cunningham, W.L., and others, 1996, Results of the Basewide Monitoring Program at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1993-1994: U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 96-4125, 176 p.
- Schlosser, P., Stute, M., Sonntag, C., Dorr, H., and Munnich, K.O., 1988, Tritium/<sup>3</sup>He dating of shallow ground water: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 89, p. 353-362.
- Schlosser, P., Stute, M., Sonntag, C., and Munnich, K.O., 1989, Tritiogenic <sup>3</sup>He in shallow ground water: Earth and Planetary Science Letters: v. 94, p. 245-256.
- Shapiro, S.D., Rowe, G., Schlosser, P., Ludin, A., and Stute, M., 1998, Utilization of the <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He dating technique under complex conditions to evaluate hydraulically stressed areas of a buried-valley aquifer: Water Resources Research, v. 34, no. 5, p. 1165-1180.
- Sheets, R.A., 1994, Contributing recharge areas of water-supply wells at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 94-4231, 35 p.
- Sheets, R.A., Bair, E.S., and Rowe, G.L., 1998, Model evaluation by use of tritium-helium-3 dating and pathline analysis in a complex buried-valley aquifer: Water Resources Research, v. 34, no. 5, p. 1077-1089.
- Solomon, D.K., and Sudicky, E.A., 1991, Tritium and helium 3 isotope ratios for direct estimation of spatial variations in groundwater recharge: Water Resources Research, v. 27, no. 9, p. 2309-2319.
- Solomon, D.K., Poreda, R.J., Cook, P.G., and Hunt, A., 1995, Site characterization using <sup>3</sup>H/<sup>3</sup>He ground-water ages, Cape Cod, MA: Ground Water, v. 33, no. 6, p. 988-996.
- Solomon, D.K., Schiff, S.L., Poreda, R.J., and Clarke, W.B., 1993, A validation of the <sup>3</sup>H/<sup>3</sup>He method for determining ground-water recharge: Water Resources Research, v. 29, no. 9, p. 2951-2962.
- Spieker, A.M., 1968, Ground-water hydrology and geology of the lower Great Miami River valley, Ohio: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 605A–D.
- Szabo, Z., Rice, D.E., Plummer, L.N., Busenberg, E., Drenkard, S., and Schlosser, P., 1996, Age-dating of ground water using chlorofluorocarbons (CCl<sub>3</sub>F, CCl<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, and C<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>), tritium/<sup>3</sup>He, and flow-path analysis in an unconfined aquifer of the New Jersey Coastal Plain: Water Resources Research, v. 32, no. 6, p. 1805-1813.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1991, 1990 census of population and housing—Summary of population and housing characteristics, Ohio: Washington D.C., 350 p.
- U.S. Department of Energy, 1991, Operable Unit 1. Area B Technical Memorandum (3) Hydrogeology and groundwater contamination: Albuquerque, N.M., U.S. Department of Energy, Albuquerque Operations Office, Draft [variously paginated].
- U.S. Department of Energy and EG&G Mound Applied Technologies, 1995, Operable Unit 9. Determination of potential pathways from source areas adjacent and within the buried-valley aquifer via ground-water flow modeling and particle tracking, Mound Plant, Miamisburg, Ohio: Technical Memorandum, Final Draft [variously paginated].
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1989, Final rule, National Priorities List for uncontrolled hazardous substances (40 CFR, part 300, FRL 3681-4): Federal Register, v. 53, no. 223, November 21, 1989, p. 48184-48189.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1991, Fact sheet—National secondary drinking water standards: Washington, D.C., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, 5 p.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1993, Designated sole-source aquifers nationally: Office of Ground Water Protection, 12 p.
- Van der Straaten, C.M., and Mook, W.G., 1983, Stable isotope composition of precipitation and climatic variability, *in* Paleoclimate and paleowaters—A collection of environmental isotope studies: Vienna, Austria, International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), p. 53-64.
- Walton, W.C., and Scudder, G.D., 1960, Ground-water resources of the valley-train deposits in the Fairborn area, Ohio: Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water Technical Report 3, 57 p.
- Warner, M.J., and Weiss, R.F., 1985, Solubilities of chlorofluorocarbons 11 and 12 in water and seawater: Deep Sea Research, v. 32, p. 1485-1497.
- Weeks, E.P., Earp, D.E., and Thompson, G.M., 1982, Use of atmospheric fluorocarbons F-11 and F-12 to determine diffusion parameters of the unsaturated zone in the southern high plains of Texas: Water Resources Research, v. 18, p. 1365-1378.
- Weiss, R.F., 1970, The solubility of nitrogen, oxygen, and argon in water and seawater: Deep Sea Research, v. 17, p. 721-735.
- Wood, W. W., 1976, Guidelines for the collection and field analysis of ground-water samples for selected unstable constituents: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 1, chap. D2, 24 p.
- Yost, W.P., 1995, Data on ground-water levels and ground-water/surface-water relations in the Great Miami River and Little Miami River Valleys, southwestern Ohio: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95-357, 63 p.

Table 1. Water-quality data for surface-water samples from selected sites near Dayton, Ohio

[Site locations shown in fig. 8. Abbreviations: ft, feet, µs/cm, microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mm Hg, millimeters of mercury; deg C, degrees Celsius; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mercograms per liter; - no data reported or not analyzed for; Cr, creek; Pk, Pike; R, river; Brdg, bridge; WPAFB, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base]

Site number	Date	Latitude	Longitude	Site description	Water temper- ature (deg C)	Land- surface altitude (ft)	Air temper- ature (deg C)	Barometric pressure (mm Hg)	Specific conduct-ance, field (µS/cm)	Oxygen, dissolved, field (mg/L)	pH, field (stan- dard units)
395122084031100	95/09/25	39°51'22"	84°03'11"	Mud Creek at Valley Pike	13.0	820	15.5	743	752	9.3	8.1
395042084021700	95/09/25	39°50'42"	84°02′17″	Mud Run at Medway Road	17.0	820	19.5	742	721	9.1	8.2
394755084050400	95/09/19	39°47'55"	84°05′04″	Hebble Creek at S. Boundary of WPAFB	20.5	790	27.0	749	298	9.1	8.0
3271000	95/09/12	39°46′00″	84°14′10″	Wolf Creek at Bridge Street	18.5	740	;	;	817	6.5	7.8
394014084121200	95/09/11	39°40'14"	84°12′12″	Holes Cr at Alexanderville-Bellbrook Pk	21.5	715	1	ł	823	8.2	8.2
393910084172300	95/09/11	39°39'10"	84°17'23"	Bear Creek at Soldiers Home Road	20.0	069	:	:	843	9.6	8.0
3263000	95/09/12	39°52'27"	84°09'45"	Great Miami River at Taylorsville Dam	21.0	092	1	:	705	9.8	8.2
394910084092300	95/09/18	39°49'10"	84°09′23″	Great Miami River at Needmore Road	22.0	750	22.5	751	704	10.5	8.4
394809084092100	95/09/19	39°48'09"	84°09′21″	Great Miami River at Miami Wellfield	17.5	745	12.0	752	725	8.2	8.3
394352084125700	95/09/12	39°43'52"	84°12'57"	Great Miami River at Broadway	22.0	720	:	;	595	8.0	8.2
394116084135200	95/09/12	39°41'16"	84°13'52"	Great Miami River at Sellars Ave.	22.5	710	ŀ	;	466	7.6	8.3
394027084154100	95/09/12	39°40'27"	84°15′41"	Great Miami River at Miami Ave.	21.5	069	:	:	298	7.6	8.2
393826084173100	95/09/11	39°38'26"	84°17'31"	Great Miami R at Linden Ave. Brdg	25.5	089	;	;	298	10.0	8.4
3271601	95/09/11	39°36'24"	84°17'23"	Great Miami River at Chautauqua Rd.	18.5	029	;	;	888	8.2	8.4
395226083594600	95/09/19	39°52'26"	83°59'46"	Mad River at 1-675	19.5	835	23.0	747	714	9.01	8.2
395035084030200	95/09/19	39°50'35"	84°03'02"	Mad River at SR235	19.5	805	23.5	745	712	9.6	8.3
3270000	95/09/25	39°47'50"	84°05'19"	Mad River at Huffman Dam	13.5	785	16.0	746	969	9.1	8.1
394731084061800	6160/56	39°47'31"	84°06′18"	Mad River at Rohrer's Island Inlet Gates	19.5	775	20.0	750	732	7.6	8.0
394605084110600	95/09/18	39°46'05"	84°11'06"	Mad River at Webster Street	21.0	730	20.0	752	723	12.3	8.4
395117084155900	95/09/13	39°51'17"	84°15'59"	Stillwater River at Heathcliff Road	25.0	780	;	:	705	7.4	8.1
394753084122500	95/09/13	39°47'53"	84°12′25″	Stillwater River at Siebenthaler Road	21.0	735	1	ł	702	6.4	7.9

Table 1. Water-quality data for surface-water samples from selected sites near Dayton, Ohio-Continued

[Site locations shown in fig. 8. Abbreviations: ft, feet; µs/cm, microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mm Hg, millimeters of mercury; deg C, degrees Celsius; mg/L, milligrams per liter; milligrams per liter; - no data reported or not analyzed for; Cr, creek; Pk, Pike; R, river; Brdg, bridge; WPAFB, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base]

9505402084031100         9509425         8.0         4.0         9.8         3.7         8.4         1.8         1.8         1.8         1.8         9.0	Site number	Date	pH, lab (stan- dard units)	Hardness, total (mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Calclum, dissolved (mg/L as Ca)	Magnesium, dissolved (mg/L as Mg)	Sodium, dissolved (mg/L as Na)	Potassium, dissolved (mg/L as K)	Alkalinity, field (mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Bicarbon- ate, field (mg/L as HCO <sub>3</sub> )	Chloride, dis- solved (mg/L as	Sulfate, dissoived (mg/L as SO <sub>4</sub> )	Fluoride, dissoived (mg/L as F)
9500915         8,0         440         76         14         10         17         14         16         17         17         18         17         18         17         18         17         18         17         18         17         18         17         18         17         18	395122084031100	95/09/25	8.0	400	86	37	8.4	1.8	299	365	22	9/	0.2
9509/19         73         40         96         34         37         304         371         35         55         92           9509/12         73         40         41         74         74         75         320         51         64         94           9509/12         81         34         47         27         27         320         65         64         94           9509/12         81         36         82         78         28         36         61         64         96           9509/12         81         36         82         82         37         40         67         97         97         97           9509/12         81         32         78         24         37         42         67         97         97         97           9509/12         81         32         82         34         42         24         34         42         62         96         97         97           9509/12         81         82         82         82         82         82         82         82         82         82         82         82         82         82         82 <td>395042084021700</td> <td>95/09/25</td> <td>8.0</td> <td>340</td> <td>92</td> <td>37</td> <td>14</td> <td>10</td> <td>276</td> <td>337</td> <td>31</td> <td>52</td> <td>0.2</td>	395042084021700	95/09/25	8.0	340	92	37	14	10	276	337	31	52	0.2
9509011         31         34         34         27         71         312         64         64         64         64         64         65         65         64         64         65         65         64         64         65         65         65         64         64         65         65         64         64         65         65         65         65         64         65         65         65         65         64         64         65         65         65         65         65         65         65         65         67         65         67         <	394755084050400	95/09/19	7.9	400	96	38	25	3.7	304	371	53	55	0.2
9500911         8.1         320         71         47         6.5         6.6         551         91         44         0.2           9500912         3.9         380         38         28         28         28         36         36         36         96         96         97         96           9500912         8.1         320         77         36         28         28         36         31         40         61         96           950912         8.1         320         78         36         35         24         31         41         61         96         97	3271000	95/09/12	7.8	340	81	34	34	2.7	272	332	99	4	0.4
950911         79         380         88         28         28         296         361         50         99	394014084121200	95/09/11	8.1	320	71	34	47	2.5	206	251	91	4	0.2
9509/12         8.1         320         77         30         34         20         317         40         61         61         62           9509/18         8.2         320         32         34         24         310         41         62         9.4           9509/19         8.1         320         38         24         32         43         62         9.6           9509/12         8.0         240         32         24         32         43         62         9.0           9509/12         8.1         340         32         46         24         34         48         9.0         9.0           9509/17         8.2         310         32         44         24         34         76         78         9.0 <td>393910084172300</td> <td>95/09/11</td> <td>7.9</td> <td>380</td> <td>68</td> <td>38</td> <td>28</td> <td>2.8</td> <td>296</td> <td>361</td> <td>52</td> <td>79</td> <td>0.4</td>	393910084172300	95/09/11	7.9	380	68	38	28	2.8	296	361	52	79	0.4
9509/18         82         36         36         35         554         315         41         65         94           9509/19         81         32         78         35         58         315         61         65	3263000	95/09/12	8.1	320	77	30	23	3.4	260	317	40	61	0.5
95/09/19         8.1         320         78         3.5         5.5         5.8         3.1         4.3         6.5         9.5           95/09/12         8.0         240         24         29         192         234         34         65         65         65         65         67           95/09/12         8.1         3.0         74         31         44         246         304         76         74         76         77         78         77         78         77         78	394910084092300	95/09/18	8.2	320	78	30	26	3.5	254	310	41	65	0.4
95/09/12         8.0         4.0         6.0         2.9         19.0         19.0         13.0         6.0         10.0         10.0         13.0         14.0         15.0         14.0	394809084092100	95/09/19	8.1	320	78	30	27	3.5	258	315	43	65	0.5
95/09/12         8.1         14         41         4         246         306         65         68         0.4           95/09/12         8.1         320         7         46         248         304         76         74         77           95/09/12         8.2         330         7         44         252         308         83         78         0.4           95/09/12         8.2         37         44         254         310         83         78         0.4           95/09/12         8.2         350         84         14         26         310         83         78         0.4           95/09/12         8.1         340         82         34         15         27         32         27         27         0.2 <td< td=""><td>394352084125700</td><td>95/09/12</td><td>8.0</td><td>240</td><td>58</td><td>24</td><td>20</td><td>2.9</td><td>192</td><td>234</td><td>34</td><td>48</td><td>0.2</td></td<>	394352084125700	95/09/12	8.0	240	58	24	20	2.9	192	234	34	48	0.2
95/09/11         8.1         320         76         4.6         248         364         76         77         78	394116084135200	95/09/12	8.2	310	74	31	41	4	246	300	99	89	0.4
95/09/11         8.3         7.1         3.3         6.4         4.4         5.5         9.8         8.9         8.4         6.5         9.8         9.8         9.4         9.5         9.4         9.5         9.4         9.5         9.4         9.5         9.4         9.5         9.4         9.5         9.	394027084154100	95/09/12	8.1	320	92	32	53	4.6	248	304	9/	74	0.5
95/09/11         8.2         330         77         44         54         44         54         44         54         44         54         44         54         44         54         44         54         44         54         44         54         45         54	393826084173100	95/09/11	8.3	330	11	33	58	4.4	252	308	83	78	0.4
95/09/198.134084448687 <t< td=""><td>3271601</td><td>95/09/11</td><td>8.2</td><td>330</td><td>11</td><td>33</td><td>57</td><td>4.4</td><td>254</td><td>310</td><td>83</td><td>7.5</td><td>0.4</td></t<>	3271601	95/09/11	8.2	330	11	33	57	4.4	254	310	83	7.5	0.4
95/09/19         81         34         15         27         70         329         59         65         0.3           95/09/15         8.0         340         81         16         2.8         267         326         31         62         0.2           95/09/18         8.0         350         83         17         17         37         274         33         62         0.2           95/09/18         8.1         310         68         34         25         32         47         64         0.2           95/09/13         8.1         310         67         32         25         62         0.3           95/09/13         7.9         30         37         27         47         59         0.3           95/09/13         7.9         30         67         78         37         78         0.3	395226083594600	95/09/19	8.2	350	84	<b>3</b> 5	14	2.6	272	332	27	65	1
95/09/25         8.0         340         81         16         2.8         267         326         31         63           95/09/19         8.0         350         83         34         17         3         76         37         32         65           95/09/18         8.3         340         82         34         19         34         34         36         64           95/09/13         8.1         310         68         34         25         312         259         46         62           95/09/13         7.9         30         67         37         37         47         59	395035084030200	95/09/19	8.1	340	82	8	15	2.7	270	329	29	65	
95/09/19         8.0         350         83         34         17         3         276         337         32         65           95/09/18         8.3         340         82         34         19         3.3         274         334         36         64           95/09/13         8.1         310         68         34         25         3.2         212         259         46         62           95/09/13         7.9         30         67         33         25         32         47         59	3270000	95/09/25	8.0	340	81	34	16	2.8	267	326	31	63	0.2
95/09/18         8.3         340         82         34         19         3.3         274         334         36         64           95/09/13         8.1         310         68         34         25         3.2         212         259         46         62           95/09/13         7.9         300         67         33         25         3.2         278         339         47         59	394731084061800	95/09/19	8.0	350	83	<b>%</b>	17	3	276	337	32	65	0.2
95/09/13         8.1         310         68         34         25         3.2         212         259         46         62           95/09/13         7.9         300         67         33         25         3.2         278         339         47         59	394605084110600	95/09/18	8.3	340	82	34	19	3.3	274	334	36	49	0.2
95/09/13 7.9 300 67 33 25 3.2 278 339 47 59	395117084155900	95/09/13	8.1	310	89	34	25	3.2	212	259	46	62	0.3
	394753084122500	95/09/13	7.9	300	<i>L</i> 9	33	25	3.2	278	339	47	59	0.3

Table 1. Water-quality data for surface-water samples from selected sites near Dayton, Ohio—Continued

[Site locations shown in fig. 8. Abbreviations: ft, feet; µs/cm, microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mm Hg, millimeters of mercury; deg C, degrees Celsius; mg/L, milligrams per liter; - no data reported or not analyzed for; Cr, creek; Pk, Pike; R, river; Brdg, bridge; WPAFB, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base]	Carbon, Iron, Mangen-Sum of Bromide, organic, Boron, dissolved dissolved dissolved dissolved dissolved solids (mg/L as (
eter al ta rep	Nitrogen, NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> , dissolved
µs/cm, microsiemens per centim L, micrograms per liter; no da	Silica, Nitrogen, nitrate, solved ammonia dissolved fmull as mill as N. mull as

Silica, dis- solved (mg/L as SiO <sub>2</sub> )	n, Nitrogen, d ammonia as (mg/L as N)	Nitrogen, nitrate, dissolved (mg/L as	Nitrogen, NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> , dissolved (mg/L as N)	Phosphorous, dissolved orthophos- phate (mg/L as P)	Carbon, organic, dissolved (mg/L as	Boron, dissolved (μg/L as B)	Iron, dissolved (μg/L as Fe)	Mangen- ese, dissolved (µg/L as Mn)	Sum of dissolved solids (mg/L)	Bromide, dissolved (mg/L as Br)
<0.015	ł	0.01	3.8	0.02	1.1	20	8	5	447	0.05
<0.015		<0.01	4.4	0.02	2.2	30	Q	т	413	0.03
<0.015		0.02	7.7	0.04	1.5	20	4	15	496	90:0
0.02		0.01	1.2	<0.01	1.6	70	9	6	456	0.07
0.02		<0.01	1.7	0.01	2.5	20	۵	4	428	0.05
0.02		<0.01	1.6	0.02	1.5	20	\$	8	481	0.05
<0.015		0.01	2.0	0.19	2.9	80	9	7	406	0.05
<0.015		0.01	1.8	0.15	2.8	80	4	4	410	0.01
<0.015		0.01	1.9	0.16	2.8	80	۵	2	416	0.03
0.14		0.02	2.0	0.12	4.2	70	7	10	315	0.01
0.04		0.01	3.4	0.32	2.9	100	$\wp$	9	452	0.04
0.05		0.02	3.5	0.37	3.5	120	14	5	488	0.07
<0.015		0.02	3.4	0.42	3.8	120	$\wp$	8	207	90:0
<0.015		0.01	3.3	0.39	3.9	09	$\wp$	2	503	0.07
<0.015		0.02	3.7	0.17	2.1	09	9	1,010	413	0.03
<0.015		0.01	3.6	0.19	2.0	50	ю	7	413	0.04
0.02		0.01	3.2	0.14	2.0	09	9	∞	409	0.04
0.02		0.02	3.5	0.14	2.1	09	S	10	422	0.04
<0.015		0.03	3.3	0.13	2.2	09	7	ς.	424	0.04
0.03		0.01	1.3	90.0	3.0	120	4	5	374	0.02
0.02		<0.01	1.3	0.07	2.9	100	4	4	410	0.05

[Well locations shown in figs. 4-7. Abbreviations: MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance Table 2. Concentration of dissolved chlorofluorocarbon compounds and average recharge year for water samples from the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio wells; pg/kg, picograms per kilogram; CFC-11, chlorofluorocarbon-11; CFC-12, chlorofluorocarbon-12; CFC-113, chlorofluorocarbon-113; ft, feet; deg C, degrees Celsius; Contam., chlorofluorocarbon concentrations exceed 1994 air-water equilibrium value by more than 5 percent at assumed recharge temperature; -- no data available, ND, not detected]

Well	Group	Date	Saturated thickness <sup>1</sup> (ft)	Assigned recharge temperature <sup>2</sup> (deg C)	Number of ampules analyzed <sup>3</sup>	Concentration of CFC-11 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-11 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>	Concentration of CFC-12 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-12 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>	Concentration of CFC-113 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-113 Average recharge year ± age error⁵
MT-296	MI 9	93/06/24	57.88	8.5	2	214, 209	1971.0±0.5	34, 33	1962.5±0.0		:
MT-290	0 MI	93/06/25	9.56	16.0	2	219, 224	$1974.0\pm0.5$	451, 458	Contam.	90, 98	Contam.
MT-419	IW 6	93/09/30	14.63	10.0	3	2,807; 3,357; 4,413	Contam.	900; 966; 1,161	Contam.	876; 1,041; 99	Contam.
MT-421	I MI	93/09/30	107.64	10.0	3	41, 33, 36	$1961.0\pm0.5$	172, 166, 171	$1976.8\pm0.3$	1 '1 '1	i
MT-408	8 MI	93/10/06	18.21	10.0	3	879, 851, 860	Contam.	1,765; 1,767; 1,769	Contam.	7.9, 7.3, 7.5	$1969.5\pm0.0$
MT-409	lW 6	93/10/06	132.29	10.0	3	ND, 9.1, 9.7	1954.5±0.0#	4.7, 5.1, 5.5	$1950.2\pm0.3$	ND, 17.7, 19.7	1975.0±0.5#
MT-411	1 Mil	93/10/06	104.18	10.0	3	ND,ND,ND	<1945.0	ND, 2.9, ND	<1940.0#	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-410	0 MI	93/10/06	23.75	10.0	3	264, 276, 280	$1973.2\pm0.3$	177, 201, 207	$1979.5\pm2.0$	,, 9.0	#
MT-400	0 Mil	93/10/06	21.95	10.0	3	352, 359, 357	$1975.5\pm0.0$	240, 264, 261	1985.2±1.2	12, 9.6, 12	1971.3±0.8
MT-401	1 MI	93/10/06	91.79	10.0	3	ND, ND, ND	<1945.0	1.4, ND, ND	<1940.0#	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-346	6 Mil	93/10/07	35.33	10.0	3	482, 482, 472	1979.8±0.3	351, 377, 363	1993.0±0.5&	15, 15, 11	1972.3±1.3
MT-347	7 Mil	93/10/07	112.61	10.0	3	1.8, 2.6, 1.9	$1950.3\pm0.7$	ND, 1.0, 1.3	1945.3±0.3#	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-349	9 MI	93/10/07	31.52	10.0	3	ND, 1.2, 0.7	1948.3±0.3#	431, 479, 487	Contam.	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-350	0 MI	93/10/07	104.98	10.0	3	ND 1.3, 1.5	1949.5±0.0#	2.3, ND, ND	<1940.0#	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-351	1 MI	93/10/07	17.52	10.0	3	4.6, 6.3, 7.7	$1953.2\pm0.8$	25, 25, 29	$1961.3\pm0.7$	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-352	2 MI	93/10/07	79.71	10.0	33	ND, ND, ND	<1945.0	ND, ND, ND	<1940.0	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-406	e Mil	93/10/08	21.10	10.0	3	532, 546, 495	1981.7±1.2	1,812; 1,445; 1,315	Contam.	,,	1
MT-407	7 Mil	93/10/08	81.5	10.0	3	19, 21, 24	1957.7±0.8	28, 30, 44	1963.2±1.3	498, 221, 13	Contam.
MT-353	3 MI	93/10/08	5.14	10.0	က	105, 83, 89	$1966.0\pm1.0$	206, 123, 130	$1976.2\pm4.8$	132, 78, 76	$1988.0\pm0.5$ #
MT-354	4 MI	93/10/08	120.79	10.0	3	19, 21, 8.4	1956.3±2.3	9.4, 5.8, 5.6	1951.8±2.3	9.8, 8.4, ND	$1968.8\pm2.8$
MT-361	1 Mil	93/10/28	10.00	10.0	3	23, 27, 34	1959.7±1.3	2,998; 2,716; 2,573	Contam.	41, 41, 75	$1984.7\pm3.3$
MT-362	2 Mil	93/10/28	68.27	10.0	3	283, 273, 64	$1970.3\pm6.3$	21, 10, 62	$1960.5\pm6.0$	1 1 1	1
MT-357	7 M1	93/10/28	61.6	10.0	3	15,970; 15,730; 15,880	Contam.	368, 356, 372	$1993.0\pm0.5$ &	11, 38, 30	1977.8±6.3
MT-358	8 MI	93/10/28	64.04	10.0	3	62, 65, 51	$1963.3\pm0.8$	ND, 1.1, ND	<1940.0#	7.3, 9.6, 7.0	$1969.8\pm0.8$
MT-343	3 Mi	94/08/30	85.65	10.0	3	ND, ND, ND	<1945.0	10, 3.8, 11	1952.7±3.7	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0

Table 2. Concentration of dissolved chlorofluorocarbon compounds and average recharge year for water samples from the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio—Continued

[Well locations shown in figs. 4-7. Abbreviations: MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; pg/kg, picograms per kilogram; CFC-11, chlorofluorocarbon-11; CFC-12, chlorofluorocarbon-12; CFC-113, chlorofluorocarbon-113; ff, feet; deg C, degrees Celsius; Contam., chlorofluorocarbon

									1		
Well	Group	Date	Saturated thickness <sup>1</sup> (ft)	Assigned recharge temperature <sup>2</sup> (deg C)	Number of ampules analyzed <sup>3</sup>	Concentration of CFC-11 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-11 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>	Concentration of CFC-12 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-12 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>	Concentration of CFC-113 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-113 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>
MT-342	MI	94/08/30	32.36	10.0	3	44, 46, 44	1962.2±0.3	119, 106, 127	1972.8±0.8	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-344	M	94/08/30	27.51	10.0	ю	1.0, 3.1, 3.8	$1950.8\pm1.3$	12, 11, 13	$1955.3\pm0.7$	6.7, 4.9, 6.2	$1968.7\pm0.7$
MT-345	M	94/08/30	91.045	10.0	ю	ND, ND, ND	<1945.0	14, 2.8, 7.8	$1952.3\pm4.2$	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-359	M	94/08/31	15.27	10.0	3	378, 382, 365	$1975.8\pm0.3$	367, 348, 338	1994.0±0.5&	5.0, 9.8, 10	$1969.8\pm1.2$
MT-360	M	94/08/31	99.09	10.0	8	5.5, 3.2, 4.1	$1952.2\pm0.8$	7.7, 25, 25	1958.0±5.5	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-376	M	94/08/31	16.16	10.0	3	10, ND, ND	<1945.0#	1.7, 1.5, 26	1951.2±9.8	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-355	M	94/08/31	16.09	10.0	3	9.8, 10, 12	$1954.8\pm0.3$	9.5, 27, 18	1957.8±3.7	6.8, 8.0, 7.8	1969.5±0.5
MT-375	MI	94/09/01	25.88	10.0	ю	128, 130, 132	1968.0±0.0	150, 162, 179	1976.7±1.2	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-374	M	94/09/01	20.96	10.0	8	2.1, 3.0, 2.5	1951.0±0.5	3.3, 3.0, 2.7	1948.0±0.5	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-404	M	94/09/01	16.63	10.0	∞	143, 122, 160 156, 112, 111 109, 108	967.9±1.6	94, 79, 120, 93 71, 88, 64, 82	1970.1±2.9	ND, ND, ND ND	<1966.0
MT-405	M	94/09/01	77.15	10.0	8	ND, ND, ND	<1945.0	ND, ND, 0.8	<1940.0#	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-366	M	94/09/02	10.25	10.0	8	709, 693, 633	1987.0±1.5	1,354; 1,337; 1,349	Contam.	1,852; 1,857; 1,792	Contam.
MT-414	M	94/09/02	26.26	10.0	3	559, 437, 466	$1980.2\pm2.8$	824, 807, 810	Contam.	734, 50, 606	Contam.#
MT-415	M	94/09/02	53.04	10.0	8	•	:	474, 485, 467	Contam.	1,066; 1,084; 1,006	Contam.
MT-309	МО	93/08/10	62.92	10.0	2	669, 635	1986.5±0.5	780, 741	Contam.	224, 191	Contam.
MT-307	МО	93/08/10	25.79	10.0	2	270, 278	1973.3±0.3	973, 992	Contam.	258, 261	Contam.
MT-308	МО	93/08/10	8.61	10.0	2	4098, 4050	Contam.	617, 501	Contam.	173, 176	Contam.
MT-944	MO	93/08/10	11.60	10.0	2	17,460; 16,270	Contam.	414, 401	Contam.	Î	:
MT-946	МО	93/08/11	47.05	10.0	7	10,270; 10,195	Contam.	365, 351	1993±0.5&	410, 3350	Contam.
MT-316	МО	94/07/18	87.92	10.0	ю	ND, ND, ND	<1945.0	133, 119, 130	1973.7±0.7	36, 35, 40	1982.5±0.5
MT-315	МО	94/07/18	74.24	10.0	ю	161, 157, 159	$1969.5\pm0.0$	847, 839, 841	Contam.	73, 72, 73	$1987.5\pm0.0$
MT-314	МО	94/07/18	45.11	10.0	က	6.4, 8.4, 8.7	$1953.8\pm0.3$	934, 882, 961	Contam.	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0

[Weii בתיווים און Force Base-Mad River well field area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; pg/kg, picograms per kilograms per kilograms per kilograms. כרים בינו בינו היים בינו בינו היים בינ Table P. Oncentration of dissolved chlorofluorocarbon compounds and average recharge year for water samples from the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio—Continued

Weil 1000         Coorsell plant         Salution consisted and consistent and consis			•							)		
MO         Aμπ/11         13.1         10.0         3         26.2.4.9.5         177.178.180         1777.126.15         5448.47.7           MO         4μπ/11         4μπ/11         4μπ/11         10.0         3         ND.ND.ND.ND         <1945.0.	Well	Group	Date	Saturated thickness <sup>1</sup> (ft)	Assigned recharge temperature <sup>2</sup> (deg C)	Number of ampules analyzed <sup>3</sup>	Concentration of CFC-11 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-11 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>		CFC-12 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>	Concentration of CFC-113 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-113 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>
MO         440710         4020         100         3         ND.ND.ND         4096.0         1007;1049;1,070         Comman         Comman         ND.ND.ND           MO         440710         240711         2408         100         3         ND.0.3.ND         1,495.0         100         Comman         1,467.1,120;1,205         Comman         100.0         100         100.0         100         100.0 <td>MT-312</td> <td>MO</td> <td>94/07/18</td> <td>15.13</td> <td>10.0</td> <td>я</td> <td>2.6, 2.4, 9.5</td> <td>1952.0±2.5</td> <td>177, 178, 180</td> <td>1977.8±0.5</td> <td>54, 48, 47</td> <td></td>	MT-312	MO	94/07/18	15.13	10.0	я	2.6, 2.4, 9.5	1952.0±2.5	177, 178, 180	1977.8±0.5	54, 48, 47	
MO         4407110         5408 110         33         ND.0.3.ND         close-one and sequence and seque	MT-321	MO	94/07/19	40.20	10.0	ю	ND, ND, ND	<1945.0	1,007; 1,049; 1,070	Contam.	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MO         4407101         650         10071-1110-11096         Contam.         11671-1210-12105         Contam.         299,224,219           MO         4440719         977         100         3         513,522,531         1981-7±63         175,151,191         19778±2         49,37,41           MO         9440712         64.83         100         3         51,12,76         1951,7±5         175,151,191         177,174,74         100         28,921,24         997,12,10         177,174,74         100         20,21,23         1951,126         33,40,73         100,00,10         20,21,23         100,00,124         100,00,10         100,00,10         100,00,10         100,00,120         100,00,10         100,00,10         100,00,10         100,00,10         100,00,10         100,00,10         100,00,10         100,00,120         100,00,10         100,00,00         100,00         100,00         100,00         100,00         100,00	MT-320	МО	94/07/19	29.98	10.0	я	ND, 0.3, ND	<1945.0#	378, 390, 401	Contam.#	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MO         9407119         977         100         3         513,522,531         19817463         1971,121,191         1971,842         49,374,41           MO         9440729         64.83         10.0         3         57,12,76         1971,248         43,46,40         1964,5403         28,30,28           MO         9440801         37.08         10.0         3         32,29,59         1951,8413         18,21,18         1946,8403         MD, ND, ND, ND           MO         9440801         37.0         10.0         3         16,530,1500,1530         Contam         771,74,748,703         Contam         2782,2109,1564,324           MO         9440807         10.15         10.0         3         16,530,1500,1575         Contam         771,74,748,703         Contam         2782,2109,1564,324           MO         9440807         10.15         3         16,530,1500,1575         Contam         771,74,748         964,656,639         Contam         177,74,748           MO         9440807         10.0         3         16,530,120         1908,434         171,167,175         197,0403         MD, ND, ND           MO         9440804         13.0         3         11,1,08,08         1948,423         70,444,46 <t< td=""><td>MT-319</td><td>MO</td><td>94/07/19</td><td></td><td>10.0</td><td>ю</td><td>1,077; 1,110; 1,096</td><td>Contam.</td><td>1,167; 1,210; 1,205</td><td>Contam.</td><td>299, 224, 219</td><td>Contam.</td></t<>	MT-319	MO	94/07/19		10.0	ю	1,077; 1,110; 1,096	Contam.	1,167; 1,210; 1,205	Contam.	299, 224, 219	Contam.
MO         9407729         64.83         100         3         57.12.76         1957.12.76         43.46,40         19645405         19645405         28.30.28           MO         9407079         4108         100         3         32.29.59         19518±12         329.407,348         19645±0.3         MD.ND.ND           MO         940801         57.9         10.0         3         67.21.37         19320±1.3         1.82.1.18         19468±0.3         ND.ND.ND           MO         940802         1.53         10.0         3         6.721.37         1926.25         771.774,448 703         Contam         2782.2769.2624           MO         940802         1.53         10.0         3         16,530,16,200;15,20         Contam         654,656,639         Contam         771.774,448 703         Contam         7782.2428           MO         940802         1.54         10.0         3         11,13,10         1956.3±3         771.167,175         1970.405         MD.ND.ND           MO         940804         1.50         3         11,13,10         1956.9±3         71,167,175         1970.405         MD.ND.ND           MO         940804         1.50         3         11,13,10         1956.9±3         <	MT-325	МО	94/07/19	72.6	10.0	ъ	513, 522, 531	1981.7±0.3	175, 152, 191	1977.8±2.2	49, 37, 41	1983.2±1.3
MO         94/0801         37.29, 37.9         1951.8±1.2         329, 407, 346         1952.8±1.2#         ND.ND.ND           MO         94/0801         95.21         100         3         67.21, 3.7         1952.0±1.5         18,21, 1.8         1946.8±0.3         ND.ND.ND           MO         94/0801         5.39         100         3         16,380,19,730.         Contam.         711,774,748 70.         Contam.         1,523.1515,1489           MO         94/0802         10.15         10.0         3         16,580,19,730.         Contam.         71,774,748 70.         Contam.         1,523.1515,1489           MO         94/0802         10.15         10.0         3         16,580,19,730.         Contam.         71,774,748 70.         Contam.         1,523.1515,1489           MO         94/0802         1.15         10.0         3         16,580,150,20.         10.0         3         10,580,254,23         34,645,404         Contam.         11,74,6.8           MO         94/0804         1.30         10.0         3         11,08,08         1962.44         10,040,23         10,040,24         10,040,44         10,040,44         10,040,24         10,040,24         10,040,24         10,040,44         10,040,44         10,040,44	MT-329	МО	94/07/29	64.83	10.0	3	57, 12, 7.6	1957.7±5.8	43, 46, 40	1964.5±0.5	28, 30, 28	1979.7±0.3
MO         940801         921         100         3         67,21,37         19520±1.5         18,21,118         19468±0.9         771,774,748         771,774,748         19468±0.9         ND.ND.ND.           MO         940802         5.39         100         4         194801,19,730         Contam.         654,656,639         Contam.         1,782,1,151,149           MO         940802         10.15         10.0         3         16,530,16,200,15,750         Contam.         654,656,639         Contam.         1,722,1,151,149           MO         940802         16,15         10.0         3         16,530,16,200,15,75         Contam.         654,656,639         Contam.         1,523,1,515,1,148           MO         940804         15.56         10.0         3         10,530,23         1956,343         717,174,178         197,34,48           MO         940804         15.56         10.0         3         11,13,10         1958,443         717,174,68         3         11,74,48           MO         940804         15.5         10.0         3         11,10,3,02         1948,42,43         Contam.         11,74,46           MO         940804         13.2         10.0         3         11,08,03         194	MT-326	МО	94/08/01	37.08	10.0	٣	3.2, 2.9, 5.9	1951.8±1.2	329, 407, 348	1992.8±1.2#	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MO         940802         5.39         100         4         19,480; 19,730; 10.         Contam.         771,774,748 703         Contam.         2,782; 2,769; 2,624; 2,524           MO         940802         10.15         10.0         3         16,530; 16,200; 15,730         Contam.         654,656,639         Contam.         1,523; 1515; 1,489           MO         940802         10.15         10.0         3         11,13,10         1956,2423         34,35,36         Gontam.         1,523; 1515; 1,489           MO         940804         15.56         10.0         3         11,13,10         1956,2423         34,35,36         Gontam.         1,523; 1515; 1,489           MO         940804         15.56         10.0         3         11,13,10         1956,2423         171,107,175         1770,405         Mo.           MO         940804         15.56         10.0         3         11,08,08         1948,412         Contam.         11,74,68         3           MO         94080         13.2         11,08,08         1948,412         Contam.         11,74,66         3         11,108,08         3         12,24,23         1960,240         11,74,46         44,46         46         44,46         46         44,46	MT-327	МО	94/08/01	99.21	10.0	ю	6.7, 2.1, 3.7	$1952.0\pm1.5$	1.8, 2.1, 1.8	$1946.8\pm0.3$	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MO         940802         10.15         10.         3         16,530; 16,200; 15,750         Contam.         654,656,639         Contam.         1,523; 1,515; 1,489           MO         940802         64.58         10.0         3         16,395; 16,103         34,35,36         1948,540         1970,405         46,38,30           MO         940803         12.64         10.0         3         11,13,10         1950,243         717,167,175         1970,405         46,38,30           MO         940804         15.96         10.0         3         11,13,10         1950,813         460,445,40         Contam.         ND,ND,ND           MO         940804         13.97         10.0         3         11,08,08         1948,810         31,29,28         1960,442,40         6018,40,446           MO         940804         13.26         10.0         3         11,08,08         1948,40         71,08,695,40         70,41         70,18,40         70,18,43           MO         94080         13.26         10.0         3         7,108,695,50         70,41         70,24,23         1960,24,3         70,44,46           MO         94080         13.2         10.0         3         7,108,695,50,35         70,42,42	MT-328	МО	94/08/02	5.39	10.0	4	19,480; 19,730; 19,810; 19,200	Contam.	771, 774, 748 703	Contam.	2,782; 2,769; 2,624; 2,428	Contam.
MO         94/08/03         64.58         100         3         28, 9.5, 10         1956.3±3.2         34,3.5, 3.6         1948.5±0.0         ND, ND, ND           MO         94/08/03         1.264         10.0         3         11,1.3, 10         1955.0±0.3         171,167,175         1977.0±0.3         46,38,30           MO         94/08/04         1.56         10.0         3         11,1.3,10         1958.9±1.3         410,445,40         Contam.         ND, ND, ND           MO         94/08/04         1.56         10.0         3         11,0.8,0.8         1948.8±0.3         18,0442,478         Contam.         ND, ND, ND           MO         94/08/04         1.30.7         10.0         3         11,0.8,0.8         1949.4±1.2         22,24,23         1960.3±0.3         40,44,46           MO         94/08/04         1.32.6         10.0         3         7,108,6955,673         Contam.         8,929,108;826         Contam.         ND, ND, ND           MO         94/08/10         1.32.6         10.0         3         7,108,6955,673         Contam.         8,929,108;826         Contam.         10,144,46           MO         94/08/10         1.32.6         1.02         3         7,108,6955,673         Conta	MT-323	МО	94/08/02	10.15	10.0	т	16,530; 16,200; 15,750	Contam.	654, 656, 639	Contam.	1,523; 1,515; 1,489	Contam.
MO         940803         1264         100         3         11,13,10         1955.04.05         171,167,175         1977.04.05         46,38,30           MO         940804         15.96         10.0         3         193,188,198         1970.8±0.3         416,445,404         Contam.         11,74,68           MO         940804         15.96         10.0         3         16,39,29         1950.8±0.3         460,442,478         Contam.         ND, ND, ND           MO         940804         139.77         10.0         3         11,18,08,08         1949.7±1.2         460,442,478         Contam.         ND, ND, ND           MO         940804         13.26         10.0         3         7,108,6955,6735         Contam.         8,929;108,8826         Contam.         10,044,46           MO         940801         8.73         10.0         3         7,108,6955,6735         1949.7±1.2         22,24,23         1960.2±0.3         83,12,94           MO         940810         8.73         10.0         3         7,108,6955,6735         1960.2±0.3         1960.2±0.3         1960.2±0.3         1971.11,14           RB         930623         10.0         3         7,108,6955,6735         1960.2±0.3         1960.2±0.3 <td>MT-324</td> <td>МО</td> <td>94/08/03</td> <td>64.58</td> <td>10.0</td> <td>ю</td> <td>28, 9.5, 10</td> <td>1956.3±3.2</td> <td>3.4, 3.5, 3.6</td> <td><math>1948.5\pm0.0</math></td> <td>ND, ND, ND</td> <td>&lt;1966.0</td>	MT-324	МО	94/08/03	64.58	10.0	ю	28, 9.5, 10	1956.3±3.2	3.4, 3.5, 3.6	$1948.5\pm0.0$	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MO         940804         15.96         10.0         3         193,188,198         1970.8±0.3         416,445,404         Contam.         11,74,68           MO         940804         41.69         10.0         3         16,39,29         1950.8±1.3         460,442,478         Contam.         ND,ND,ND           MO         940804         13.97         10.0         3         1.1,0.8,0.8         1948.8±0.3         31,29,28         1960.3±0.3         40,44,46           MO         940806         81.74         10.0         3         7,108.6955.6735         Contam.         8,929.9108.8.826         Contam.         808,498.488           MO         940807         23.68         10.0         3         7,108.6955.6735         Contam.         8,929.9108.8.826         Contam.         808,498.488           MO         940807         23.68         10.0         3         7,108.6955.6735         1937.407         222,25,23         1960.2±0.3         83,117.04           MO         940807         8.73         10.0         3         7,108.6955.673         1937.2±2.3         1960.2±0.3         10.17.04         ND,ND,ND           RE         93/0624         10.0         3         7,178.17         1970.0±0.3         1960.2±0.3 <td>MT-318</td> <td>МО</td> <td>94/08/03</td> <td>12.64</td> <td>10.0</td> <td>ю</td> <td>11, 13, 10</td> <td><math>1955.0\pm0.5</math></td> <td>171, 167, 175</td> <td>1977.0±0.5</td> <td>46, 38, 30</td> <td><math>1982.0\pm2.0</math></td>	MT-318	МО	94/08/03	12.64	10.0	ю	11, 13, 10	$1955.0\pm0.5$	171, 167, 175	1977.0±0.5	46, 38, 30	$1982.0\pm2.0$
MO         94/08/04         41.69         100         3         16,39,29         1950.8±1.3         460,442,478         Contam.         ND, ND, ND           MO         94/08/04         139.77         10.0         3         1.1,0.8,0.8         1948.8±0.3         31,29,28         1961.8±0.3         40,444.46           MO         94/08/04         13.7         10.0         3         7,108,6955,6735         Contam.         8,929.9,108;8,826         Contam.         508,498,488           MO         94/08/10         23.68         10.0         3         7,108,6955,6735         Contam.         8,929.9,108;8,826         Contam.         508,498,488           MO         94/08/10         23.68         10.0         3         7,108,6955,6735         Contam.         8,929.9,108;8,826         Contam.         508,498,488           MO         94/08/10         23.68         10.0         3         7,108,6955,6735         1953.24.3         1960.2±0.3         1971.114           MO         94/08/11         66.88         10.0         3         7,108,692.4         385,383,13         1960.2±0.3         1971.0±0.9           RE         93/06/24         10.1         10.0         2         178,176         1970.2±0.3         1970.3±0.3	MT-330	МО	94/08/04	15.96	10.0	ю	193, 188, 198	$1970.8\pm0.3$	416, 445, 404	Contam.	11, 7.4, 6.8	1969.8±1.2
MO         940804         13.77         10.0         3         1.1, 0.8, 0.8         1948.8±0.3         31, 29, 28         1961.8±0.3         40, 44, 46           MO         940808         81.74         10.0         3         1.2, 0.8, 3.0         1949.7±1.2         22, 24, 23         1960.3±0.3         8.3, 12, 96           MO         940809         13.26         10.0         3         7,108.6,955.6,735         Contam.         8,929.9,108; 8,826         Contam.         508,498,488           MO         9408010         23.66         10.0         3         7,108.6,955.6,735         Contam.         8,929.9,108; 8,826         Contam.         508,498,488           MO         9408010         8.73         10.0         3         7,108.6,955.6,735         105.7,25,23         1960.2±0.3         105.17,114           MO         940810         8.73         10.0         3         499.497,116         1976.2±8.7         385,383,136         1970.4±0.5         107.0±0.5           RE         9306023         40.97         10.0         2         178,176         1970.9±0.5         1980.3±0.5         1980.3±0.5         1980.3±0.5           RE         9306024         79.4         10.0         2         10.0         2 <td< td=""><td>MT-331</td><td>МО</td><td>94/08/04</td><td></td><td>10.0</td><td>8</td><td>1.6, 3.9, 2.9</td><td>1950.8±1.3</td><td>460, 442, 478</td><td>Contam.</td><td>ND, ND, ND</td><td>&lt;1966.0</td></td<>	MT-331	МО	94/08/04		10.0	8	1.6, 3.9, 2.9	1950.8±1.3	460, 442, 478	Contam.	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
MO         94/08/08         81.74         10.0         3         12,0.8,3.0         1949.7±1.2         22,24,23         1960.3±0.3         83.12,9.6           MO         94/08/09         13.26         10.0         3         7,108; 6,955; 6,735         Contam.         8,929; 9,108; 8,826         Contam.         508, 498, 488           MO         94/08/10         23.68         10.0         3         5.5,8.1,8.3         1953.7±0.7         22,25,23         1960.2±0.3         12,17,14           MO         94/08/10         8.73         10.0         3         499,497,116         1976.2±8.7         385,383,136         1973.3±0.7         ND, ND, ND           RB         93/06/24         40.97         10.0         2         178,176         1970.2±8.7         385,383,136         1974.0±0.5         7           RB         93/06/24         10.19         2         178,176         1970.2±0.5         158,163         1974.0±0.5         7           RB         93/06/24         10.19         2         192,199         1974.5±0.5         158,163         1959.5±3.5         1           RB         93/06/24         10.19         10.0         2         320,10         2         1945.0*         34,13         19	MT-333	МО	94/08/04	139.77	10.0	ю	1.1, 0.8, 0.8	$1948.8\pm0.3$	31, 29, 28	$1961.8\pm0.3$	40, 44, 46	$1983.5\pm1.0$
MO         94/08/09         13.26         10.0         3         7,108; 6,955; 6,735         Contam.         8,929; 9,108; 8,826         Contam.         508, 498, 488           MO         94/08/10         23.68         10.0         3         5.5, 8.1, 8.3         1953.7±0.7         22, 25, 23         1960.2±0.3         12, 17, 14           MO         94/08/10         8.73         10.0         3         27, 28, 26         1950.2±0.3         118, 133, 130         1973.3±0.7         ND, ND, ND           MO         94/08/11         66.88         10.0         3         499, 497, 116         1976.2±8.7         385, 383, 136         1974.0±0.5         ND, ND, ND           RE         93/06/24         40.97         10.0         2         178, 176         1970.0±0.5         135, 135         1974.0±0.5         1974.0±0.5           RB         93/06/24         10.19         10.0         2         192, 199         1974.5±0.5         1986.5±0.5         1980.5±0.5         1990.5±0.5         1990.5±0.5         1990.5±0.5         1990.5±0.5         1990.5±0.5         1990.5±0.5         1990.0±0.5         1990.0±0.5         1990.0±0.5         1990.0±0.5         1990.0±0.5         1990.0±0.5         1990.0±0.5         1990.0±0.5         1990.0±0.5         1990.0±0.5	MT-332	МО	94/08/08	81.74	10.0	ю	1.2, 0.8, 3.0	$1949.7\pm1.2$	22, 24, 23	$1960.3\pm0.3$	8.3, 12, 9.6	$1970.8\pm1.2$
MO         94/08/10         23.68         10.0         3         5.5, 8.1, 8.3         1953.7±0.7         22, 25, 23         1960.2±0.3         12, 17, 14           MO         94/08/10         8.73         10.0         3         27, 28, 26         1959.2±0.3         118, 133, 130         1973.3±0.7         ND, ND, ND           MO         94/08/11         66.88         10.0         3         499, 497, 116         1976.2±8.7         385, 383, 136         1973.3±0.3         ND, ND, ND           RB         93/06/23         40.97         10.0         2         178, 176         1970.8±0.5         158, 163         1976.3±0.5         1980.3±0.5            RB         93/06/24         10.19         10.0         2         192, 199         1976.8±0.5         158, 163         1980.5±0.5            RB         93/06/24         79.41         10.0         2         203, 202         1980.5±0.5         1980.5±0.5         1980.5±0.5            RB         93/06/24         79.41         10.0         2         203, 202         1980.5±3.5         1980.5±3.5            RB         93/06/24         79.41         10.0         2         793, 795         1993.0±0.5         3	MT-335	МО	94/08/09		10.0	ю	7,108; 6,955; 6,735	Contam.	8,929; 9,108; 8,826	Contam.	508, 498, 488	Contam.
MO         94/08/10         8.73         10.0         3         27, 28, 26         1959, 24.03         118, 133, 130         1973, 34.07         ND, ND, ND           MO         94/08/11         66.88         10.0         3         499, 497, 116         1976, 248.7         385, 383, 136         1973, 34.13         ND, ND, ND           RB         93/06/23         40.97         10.0         2         178, 176         1970, 24.05         158, 163         1974, 24.05            RB         93/06/24         10.19         10.0         2         320, 318         1974, 54.05         158, 163         1976, 34.05            RB         93/06/24         79.41         10.0         2         20, 10.0         2         20, 15.0         1976, 34.05         1980, 54.05            RB         93/06/24         79.41         10.0         2         20, 10.0         2         20, 10.0         2         20, 10.0         2         20, 10.0         2         20, 10.0         2         20, 10.0         2         20, 10.0         2         20, 10.0         2         20, 10.0         2         20, 10.0         2         20, 10.0         2         20, 10.0         2         20, 10.0	MT-337	МО	94/08/10	23.68	10.0	ю	5.5, 8.1, 8.3	1953.7±0.7	22, 25, 23	$1960.2\pm0.3$	12, 17, 14	$1972.8\pm1.7$
MO         94/08/11         66.88         10.0         3         499, 497, 116         1976.2±8.7         385, 383, 136         1987.3±13.3         535, 501, 74           RB         93/06/24         40.97         10.0         2         178, 176         1970.0±0.5         158, 163         1974.0±0.5        ,           RB         93/06/24         26.15         10.0         2         192, 199         1970.8±0.5         158, 163         1976.3±0.5        ,           RB         93/06/24         79.41         10.0         2         20, ND         ,         34, 13         1959.5±3.5        ,           RB         93/06/24         79.41         10.0         2         793, 795         1993.0±0.5&         373, 365         1959.5±3.5        ,	MT-338	МО	94/08/10	8.73	10.0	т	27, 28, 26	1959.2±0.3	118, 133, 130	1973.3±0.7	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
RB         93/06/24         40.97         10.0         2         178, 176         1970.40.5         132, 135         1974.04.65             RB         93/06/24         26.15         10.0         2         192, 199         1970.840.5         158, 163         1976.340.5             RB         93/06/24         10.19         10.0         2         320, 318         1974.540.5         203, 202         1980.540.5             RB         93/06/24         79.41         10.0         2         793, 795         1993.040.5&         34, 13         1959.5±3.5             RB         93/06/25         3.11         10.0         2         793, 795         1993.0±0.5&         373, 365         1993.0±0.5&         42, 23	MT-317	МО	94/08/11		10.0	cc	499, 497, 116	1976.2±8.7	385, 383, 136	1987.3±13.3	535,001,74	Contam.#
RE         93/06/24         26.15         10.0         2         192,199         1970.8±0.5         158,163         1976.3±0.5        ,           RE         93/06/24         10.19         10.0         2         320,318         1974.5±0.5         203,202         1980.5±0.5        ,           RE         93/06/24         79.41         10.0         2         20,ND         <1945.0*	MT-283	RE	93/06/23	40.97	10.0	2	178, 176	1970.0±0.5	132, 135	$1974.0\pm0.5$	Î	1
RE         93/06/24         10.19         10.0         2         320,318         1974.5±0.5         203,202         1980.5±0.5        ,           RE         93/06/24         79.41         10.0         2         20, ND         <1945.0*         34,13         1959.5±3.5        , ND           RE         93/06/25         3.11         10.0         2         793,795         1993.0±0.5&         373,365         1993.0±0.5&         42,23	MT-282	RE	93/06/24	26.15	10.0	7	192, 199	$1970.8\pm0.5$	158, 163	$1976.3\pm0.5$	Î	1
RE         93/06/24         79.41         10.0         2         20, ND         < 1945.0*         34, 13         1959.5±3.5        , ND           RE         93/06/25         3.11         10.0         2         793, 795         1993.0±0.5&         373, 365         1993.0±0.5&         42, 23	MT-281	RE	93/06/24	10.19	10.0	2	320, 318	1974.5±0.5	203, 202	$1980.5\pm0.5$	ľ	ŧ
RE $93/06/25$ 3.11 10.0 2 793, 795 $1993.0\pm0.5$ & 373, 365 $1993.0\pm0.5$ & 42, 23	MT-297	RE	93/06/24	79.41	10.0	2	20, ND	<1945.0*	34, 13	1959.5±3.5	, ND	<1966.0*
	MT-284	RE	93/06/25	3.11	10.0	2	793, 795	$1993.0\pm0.5$ &	373, 365	$1993.0\pm0.5$ &	42, 23	$1980.0\pm3.5$

Table 2. Concentration of dissolved chlorofluorocarbon compounds and average recharge year for water samples from the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio—Continued

[Well locations shown in figs. 4-7. Abbreviations: MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; pg/kg, picograms per kilogram; CFC-11, chlorofluorocarbon-11; CFC-12, chlorofluorocarbon-12; CFC-113, chlorofluorocarbon-113; ft, feet; deg C, degrees Celsius; Contam., chlorofluorocarbon

	,	,							)		
Well	Group	Date	Saturated thickness <sup>1</sup> (ft)	Assigned recharge temperature <sup>2</sup> (deg C)	Number of ampules analyzed <sup>3</sup>	Concentration of CFC-11 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-11 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>	Concentration of CFC-12 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-12 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>	Concentration of CFC-113 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-113 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>
MT-287	RE	93/06/25	63.41	10.0	2	172, 167	$1970.0\pm0.5$	223, 220	1982.0±0.5	ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-286	RE	93/06/25	29.25	10.0	2	65, 60	1963.8±0.5	119, 108	$1972.5\pm0.5$	ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-1022	RE	60/80/66	110.47	10.0	2	12, 7.2	1954.5±0.5	1,307; 1,301	Contam.	4.2, ND	<1966.0*
MT-302	RE	93/08/11	141.20	10.0	2	3,208; 3,080	Contam.	2,703; 2,693	Contam.	ND, 1.1	<1966.0*
MT-303	RE	93/08/11	57.58	10.0	2	3.8, 3.9	$1952.0\pm0.0$	2.2, 1.5	$1946.5\pm0.5$	9.4, 2.2	1968.8±1.8
MT-300	RE	93/08/12	16.14	10.0	2	4,171; 3,967	Contam.	1,340; 1,352	Contam.	70, 72	1987.0±0.0
MT-49	RE	93/08/12	203.20	10.0	2	5.6, 3.3	$1952.3\pm0.8$	1.2, 4.7	1947.8±2.3	ND, ND	<1966.0
MT-68	RE	93/08/13	98.41	10.0	2	7.4, 5.4	$1953.3\pm0.3$	27, 34	1962.3±0.8		ŀ
MT-311	RE	93/08/13	31.26	10.0	2	383, 407	$1976.8\pm0.8$	4.8, 4.7	$1950.0\pm0.0$	6.3, ND	<1966.0*
MT-305	RE	93/08/13	17.35	10.0	2	2.3, 2.4	$1950.8\pm0.2$	13, 13	1956.0±0.0	11, 8.8	1970.8±0.8
MT-310	RE	93/08/16	226.97	10.0	2	3.1, 1.3	1950.5±1.0	2.1, 0.9	1945.8±1.3	ND, 3.2	<1966.0*
MT-288	RE	93/08/16	52.13	10.0	2	650, 640	1986.3±0.3	172, 166	$1976.8\pm0.3$	0.3, ND	<1966.0*
MT-289	RE	93/08/16	1.16	10.0	2	796, 797	$1993.0\pm0.5$ &	388, 396	Contam.	63, 64	1986.3±0.8
MT-301	RE	93/08/17	58.13	10.0	2	15, 17	$1956.3\pm0.3$	342, 332	1993±0.5	İ	1
MT-426	RE	93/08/18	110.45	10.0	2	17,220; 17,500	Contam.	2,363; 2,422	Contam.	2,573; 2,653	Contam.
MT-306	RE	93/08/18	72.02	10.0	2	12, 8.6	$1954.8\pm0.8$	515, 486	Contam.	23, 13	$1974.8\pm2.3$
MT-299	RE	93/08/19	25.83	10.0	2	22,560; 23,890	Contam.	3,003; 2,909	Contam.	-, 212	Contam.
GR-317	WP	93/06/21	112.77	14.5	2	ND, 4.5	<1945.0*	8.2, 63	1962.0±7.5	ND, ND	<1966.0
GR-316	WP	93/06/21	40.47	10.5	2	6,415; 815	<1993.0*	1,364; 1,666	Contam.	4,873; 183	Contam.
GR-334	WP	93/06/22	131.97	10.0	2	10, 19	1956.0±1.0	4.2, 5.7	1950.3±0.8	8.9, ND	<1966.0*
GR-333	WP	93/06/22	12.18	10.0	2	26,980; 28,650	Contam.	895, 852	Contam.	19,670; 23,460	Contam.
GR-324	WP	93/06/22	111.24	10.0	2	11, 9.9	1954.8±0.5	ND, 1.4	$1943.0\pm3.0$	ND, ND	<1966.0
GR-323	WP	93/06/22	38.52	10.0	7	24, 27	$1959.0\pm0.5$	85, 83	$1970.0\pm0.5$	ND, ND	<1966.0
GR-540	WP	93/06/23	2.62	0.6	2	1,090; 1,066	Contam.	395, 405	1993.0±0.5&	107, 110	1993.0±0.5&
GR-541	WP	93/06/23	43.87	0.6	2	859, 856	$1993.0\pm0.5$ &	406, 425	Contam.	103, 100	1990.8±0.5
MT-65	WP	93/08/17	156.01	10.0	2	2.3, 0.7	1949.5±1.0	4.0, 1.8	$1948.8\pm0.8$	ND, ND	<1966.0

[Well locations shown in figs. 4-7. Abbreviations: MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; pg/kg, picograms per kilogram; CFC-11, chlorofluorocarbon-11; CFC-12, chlorofluorocarbon-12; CFC-113, chlorofluorocarbon-113; ft, feet; deg C, degrees Celsius; Contam., chlorofluorocarbon Table 2. Concentration of dissolved chlorofluorocarbon compounds and average recharge year for water samples from the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio—Continued

MT-231         WP         970KR17         G16         2         79, 67         1964-54-55         40,386, 40,386, 40,886         Concuran         43,11         1971           MT-427         WP         970M27         11,14         100         3         13,47,25         1950Q420         11,10,100,100         19,10,100         19,10,100,100         19,10,100         19,10,100         19,10,100         19,10,100,100         19,10,100	Well	Group	Date	Saturated thickness <sup>1</sup> (ft)	Assigned recharge temperature <sup>2</sup> (deg C)	Number of ampules analyzed <sup>3</sup>	Concentration of CFC-11 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-11 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>	Concentration of CFC-12 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-12 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>	Concentration of CFC-113 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-113 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>
WP         9309027         78.9         100         3         13.47,25         1930420         19.00.D         17.67,70           WP         9309027         11.14         100         3         23.157,53         19814405         11,300,11,300,11,300         100 mm         17.67,70           WP         9309027         0.021         100         3         3.244,441,430         Comam         3.300,38         1988,840         3         1.710,1130,1130           WP         9309028         2.18         100         3         3.244,441,430         Comam         3.805,318,30         Comam         13.10,1130         10.0           WP         9309028         4.07         100         3         3.244,441,430         Comam         3.150,1230,130         Comam         3.527,13         1988,841         3	MT-291	WP	93/08/17	99.19	10.0	7	19,61	1964.5±0.5	40,380; 40,880	Contam.	43, 13	$1978.0\pm5.5$
WP         9309027         11.14         100         3         21,127,330         1911,450         Contain            WP         9309028         10.621         10.0         2         21,27,330         1911,450         13.7         10.0         3           WP         9309028         10.62         10.0         3         3.264,4611,490         Contain         13.150,1239,130         Contain         133,701,10           WP         9309028         20.72         10.0         3         3.264,4611,490         Contain         13.150,1239,130         Contain         133,701,190           WP         9309028         10.2         10.0         3         900,23,43         97.450         Contain         133,701,190         133,701,190           WP         9309029         10.2         10.0         3         14,23,10         900,28,33         900,28,43         900,28,33         900,28,43         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,33         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,23         900,28,23	MT-427	WP	93/09/27	78.93	10.0	3	13, 4.7, 2.5	$1953.0\pm2.0$	1.9, ND, ND	<1940.0#	17, 6.7, ND	1972.3±2.3#
WP         9309028         10.621         10.0         2         8.2.64         19538403         34.902.303.33         23.1         19592408         0.1.0         3         3.266.4641,4910         Contam         4.8909.7383.83         4.00         133.170,195           WP         9309028         21.84         10.0         3         3.266.4641,491         Contam         13.150,1230,1330         Gonam         133.170,195           WP         9309028         10.2         10.0         3         MD, MD, MD         41946.20         Contam         21.231         1988.42         8.4.84.8           WP         9309028         11.05         10.0         3         MD, MD, MD         41940.0         Contam         23.71.31           WP         9309028         11.54         10.0         3         MD, MD, MD         41940.0         A1940.0	MT-425	WP	93/09/27	11.14	10.0	8	521, 527, 530	1981.8±0.5	11,710; 11,350; 11,580	Contam.	1,1,1	;
WP         9309028         12.84         10.0         3.2644,4414,14,910         Contam         4.4890,37830,38,740         Contam         133,170,193           WP         9309028         1.84         10.0         3         339,322,344         1974,8403         202,307,303         388,8403         8.4.448           WP         9309028         1.02         10         3         902,843,878         Contam         21,231,130         1988,8403         8.4.448           WP         9309028         1.125         1.00         3         1.4.23,10         194548         ND.ND.ND.         49400         ND.ND.ND.           WP         9309028         1.125         1.00         3         1.4.23,10         196243         600,588,73         Contam         23,010.0           WP         9309029         1.159         1.00         3         1.73,11,33         1960,423         ND.ND.ND.ND         49400         ND.ND.ND.ND           WP         9309029         1.75         1.00         3         1.73,11,33         1962,423         ND.ND.ND.ND         1970,401.0         1970,41,41           WP         9309029         1.55         1.00         3         0.21,41,41         1995,424         1960,243         ND.ND.ND.ND </td <td>MT-429</td> <td>WP</td> <td>93/09/28</td> <td></td> <td>10.0</td> <td>2</td> <td>8.2, 6.4</td> <td><math>1953.8\pm0.3</math></td> <td>23, 19</td> <td><math>1959.2\pm0.8</math></td> <td>61,69</td> <td>1986.5±0.5</td>	MT-429	WP	93/09/28		10.0	2	8.2, 6.4	$1953.8\pm0.3$	23, 19	$1959.2\pm0.8$	61,69	1986.5±0.5
WP         9309028         1184         100         39,322,344         1974,84,3         292,307,303         1988,84,03         84,84,80           WP         9309028         102         100         3         902,843,878         Contam         13,150,12,230,13,030         Contam         25,71,15           WP         9309028         4.07         100         3         ND,ND,76         4045.0#         ND,ND,ND         105,230,13,030         50,000         25,71,15           WP         9309029         112.56         10.0         3         17,31,33         1966.24.3         60,038,73         30,000         70,00	MT-428	WP	93/09/28	20.75	10.0	3	3,264; 4,641; 4,910	Contam.	34,890; 37,830; 38,740	Contam.	133, 170, 195	Contam.
WP         9309028         407         002, 843, 878         Contam         13150, 12,230, 13,030         Contam         25, 27, 13           WP         9309028         4,07         100         3         ND, ND, 76         < 4945.0#         11,250, 12,230, 13,03         S62,41         S0,000           WP         9309028         11,256         10.0         3         114,23,ND         < 4945.0#         ND, ND, ND         C104.00         ND, ND, 71         A14,23,ND         < 4945.0#         ND, ND, ND         ND, ND, ND         ND, ND, ND         ND, ND, ND         A14,23,ND         < 4940.0         C1040.0         ND, ND, ND         ND, ND, ND, ND         ND, ND, ND         ND, ND, ND, ND	MT-435	WP	93/09/28	21.84	10.0	3	339, 322, 344	$1974.8\pm0.3$	292, 307, 303	$1988.8\pm0.3$	8.4, 8.4, 8.0	$1969.8\pm0.3$
WP         930928         407         100         3         ND,ND,76         49456#         D1,23,13         12,23,13         61,23,13         158,54,14         960,243         ND,ND,ND         100         3         14,23,ND         4960,243         ND,ND,ND         100         ND,ND,ND         ND,ND,ND         A14,03,ND         4090,024,33         60,038,725         Conam.         24,ND,ND         ND,ND,ND         ND,ND,ND         A14,23,ND         4090,024,33         A14,23,ND         A14,23,ND<	MT-436	WP	93/09/28	70.22	10.0	3	902, 843, 878	Contam.	13,150; 12,230; 13,030	Contam.	25, 27, 15	$1976.8\pm3.8$
WP         9309028         11256         100         3         144,23,ND         619450#         ND,ND,ND         649400         ND,ND,ND           WP         9309029         933         100         3         56,24,24         1960,243         669,588,725         Conam         24,ND,ND           WP         9309029         83.75         100         3         17,31,33         1960,7408         ND,ND,ND         40400         64,ND,ND           WP         9309029         17.54         100         3         17,31,33         1960,7408         ND,ND,ND         40400         64,ND,ND,ND           WP         9309029         11.54         10.0         3         93,14,62         1965,2413         1972,2417         1960,243         70,115,92           WP         9309030         97.61         10.0         3         03,14,62         1953,241         1970,2	MT-430	WP	93/09/28	4.07	10.0	3	ND, ND, 7.6	<1945.0#	21, 23, 13	1958.5±1.5	5.0, ND, 6.2	1968.8±0.3#
WP         9309042         933         100         3         56,24,24         1960,243         690,588,725         Contam.         24,ND,ND           WP         9309042         83.75         100         3         17,31,33         1950,240         ND,ND,ND         < 1940.0         64,ND,ND           WP         9309042         17,54         100         3         93,392,399         1976,540         37,331,330         1992,540         64,ND,ND           WP         9309042         15.57         100         3         93,14,62         1954,541         1900,ND,ND         C1,15,92         ND,ND,ND,ND         ND,ND,ND,ND         ND,ND,ND,ND         ND,ND,ND,ND<	MT-431	WP	93/09/28	112.56	10.0	3	1.4, 2.3, ND	<1945.0#	ND, ND, ND	<1940.0	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
WP         9309/29         83.75         100         3         17,31,33         1950/408         ND, ND, ND         C1940         64,ND, ND           WP         9309/29         115.4         100         3         93,392,399         1976,540         337,331,33         1992,540         25,25,32           WP         9309/29         115.9         10         3         93,14,62         1945.0         ND, ND, ND         790,241         1950,741         97,115,92         97,115,92           WP         9309/20         25.7         100         3         62,73,61         1953,447         ND, ND, ND         790,271         1990,741         97,115,92           WP         9309/20         25.7         100         3         62,73,61         1953,447         ND, ND, ND         790,27         790,715,92         97,115,92 <t< td=""><td>MT-433</td><td>WP</td><td>93/09/29</td><td>9.33</td><td>10.0</td><td>3</td><td>56, 24, 24</td><td><math>1960.2\pm3.3</math></td><td>690, 558, 725</td><td>Contam.</td><td>24, ND, ND</td><td>&lt;1966.0#</td></t<>	MT-433	WP	93/09/29	9.33	10.0	3	56, 24, 24	$1960.2\pm3.3$	690, 558, 725	Contam.	24, ND, ND	<1966.0#
WP         930,929         17.54         100         39,392,399         1976,5400         337,331,330         1992,5408         25.55,32           WP         930,929         115.97         100         3         ND, ND, ND         41945.0         ND, ND, ND         41940.0         ND, ND, ND           WP         930,929         115.97         100         3         93,146.2         1954.54.1         105         100	MT-434	WP	93/09/29	83.75	10.0	3	1.7, 3.1, 3.3	1950.7±0.8	ND, ND, ND	<1940.0	6.4, ND, ND	<1966.0#
WP         93A0929         115.97         100         3         ND, ND, ND, ND         41945.0         ND, ND, ND, ND         < 1945.0         ND, ND, ND, ND         < 1945.0         ND, ND, ND, ND         < 1950.0         < 1950.0         ND, ND, ND, ND         < 1950.0         < 1950.0         < 193.14.6         1953.2±1.7         1959.7±1.7         1950.7±1.7         97.115.9            WP         93A0930         97.61         10.0         3         62.7.9,6.1         1953.3±0.7         ND, ND, ND         1994.0         ND, 6. ND         ND, 115.9         PD, 117.9         PD, 117.9         PD, 117.9         PD, 118.9	GR-542	WP	93/09/29	17.54	10.0	3	393, 392, 399	$1976.5\pm0.0$	337, 331, 330	$1992.5\pm0.8$	25, 25, 32	$1978.8\pm1.7$
WP         93/09/20         2.2.7         100         3         9.3.14,62         1954.5±1.3         22.27.71         1959.7±1.7         957.115,92           WP         93/09/20         97.61         100         3         6.2.7.9,61         1953.3±0.7         ND, ND, ND         4194.0         ND, 6.ND           WP         94/08/17         115.46         10.0         3         62.7.9,61         1953.3±0.7         179,92,87         1973.0±4.5         ND, ND, ND, ND         A190.0         ND, 6.ND           WP         94/08/17         78.52         10.0         3         240,170,178         1998.2±6.2         184,170,107         1973.0±3.7         1907.1699.9           WP         94/08/17         45.5         10.0         3         240,170,178         1974.2±0.7         1741;1,448;1,887         Contam.         51,180;3,384;55,900           WP         94/08/18         10.0         3         152,94.40         1967.5±1.5#         1,741;1,448;1,887         Contam.         51,180;3,384;55,900           WP         94/08/18         10.0         3         152,94.40         1967.5±1.5#         1,741;1,448;1,887         Contam.         31,14,14           WP         94/08/19         1.0         3         156,126,13	GR-543	WP	93/09/29	115.97	10.0	3	ND, ND, ND	<1945.0	ND, ND, ND	<1940.0	ND, ND, ND	<1966.0
WP         930930         97.61         100         3         62,79,61         19533±07         ND, ND, ND, ND         4194040         ND, 66, ND           WP         940817         115.46         10.0         3         88,431,413         1979.4±7         179,92,87         1973.0±6.2         184,170,107         1975.7±37         1,907;1699;90           WP         9408/17         47.55         10.0         3         240,170,178         1976.2±0.7         1741;1448;1,87         Contam.         51,180;3834;55,900           WP         9408/18         48.29         10.0         3         10,284,319         1974.2±0.7         1,741;1448;1,887         Contam.         51,180;3834;55,900           WP         9408/18         4408/18         10.0         3         152,292,940         1967.2±1.5#         1,771;448;1,887         Contam.         51,180;3834;55,900           WP         9408/18         140.28         10.0         3         176,126,119         1967.2±1.5#         1,771;448;1,887         Contam.         51,180;3834;55,900           WP         9408/18         10.0         3         176,126,119         1967.2±2.3         1954.2±2         1954.2±2         1954.4±3           WP         9408/19         1.08         3	GR-544	WP	93/09/30	22.57	10.0	3	9.3, 14, 6.2	1954.5±1.5	22, 27, 17	1959.7±1.7	97, 115, 92	1990.5±0.5#
WP         94/08/17         115.46         10.0         3         588,431,413         1979,8±4.7         179,92,87         1973,0±4.5         1,832:914;818           WP         94/08/17         78.52         10.0         3         812,762,558         1989,2±6.2         184,170,107         1975,1±3.7         1,907;1,699;902           WP         94/08/17         48.29         10.0         3         240,170,178         1974,2±0.7         1,741;1,448;1,887         Contam.         51,180;3,834;55,900           WP         94/08/18         48.29         10.0         3         130,284,319         1974,2±0.7         1,741;1,448;1,887         Contam.         51,180;3,834;55,900           WP         94/08/18         140.28         10.0         3         176,126,119         1967,2±1.5#         1,741;1,448;1,887         Contam.         51,180;3,834;55,900           WP         94/08/18         140.28         10.0         3         176,126,119         1968,7±1.3         157,78,95         1954,5±2.3         1954,44,43           WP         94/08/19         5.57         10.0         3         43,5:1,34         1952,0±0.3         157,28,23         1954,5±2.3         1954,0±0.3         1974,0±0.3         1974,0±0.3         1974,0±0.3         1974,0±0.3	GR-545	WP	93/09/30	197.61	10.0	3	6.2, 7.9, 6.1	$1953.3\pm0.7$	ND, ND, ND	<1940.0	ND, 6.6, ND	<1966.0#
WP         94/08/17         78.52         10.0         3         812,765,558         1989,2±6.2         184,170,107         1975,7±3.7         1,907;1,699,929           WP         94/08/17         47.55         10.0         3         240,170,178         1970,8±1.7         114,1105,107         1972,3±0.3         198,100,105           WP         94/08/18         48.29         10.0         3         240,170,178         1974,2±0.7         1,741;1,448;1,887         Contam.         51,180,3845,559.00           WP         94/08/18         10.0         3         152,92,940         1967,5±1.5#         1,270;384;681         Contam.         51,180,3843,559.00           WP         94/08/18         140.28         10.0         3         176,126,119         1987,±1.3         157,78,9.5         1954,5±2.5         1954,5±2.5         1954,5±2.5         1954,5±2.5         1954,5±2.5         1954,5±2.5         1954,4,4         1948,2±0.7         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,14         194,14,	GR-419	WP	94/08/17	115.46	10.0	3	588, 431, 413	1979.8±4.7	179, 92, 87	$1973.0\pm4.5$	1,832; 914; 818	Contam.
WP         94/08/17         47.55         10.0         3         240,170,178         1970.8±1.7         114,105,107         1972.±0.3         198,100,105           WP         94/08/18         48.29         10.0         3         310,284,319         1974.2±0.7         1,741;1,448;1,887         Contam.         51,180;3,834;55,900           WP         94/08/18         92.02         10.0         3         152,92,940         1967.5±1.5#         1,270;584;681         Contam.         81,120;7,821;97           WP         94/08/18         10.0         3         176,126,119         1968.7±1.3         157,8,9.5         1954.5±2.5         95,44,43           WP         94/08/19         55.7         10.0         3         4.3,5.1,3.4         1952.0±0.5         3.5,2.5,3.4         1948.2±0.7         19,14,14           WP         94/08/19         55.7         10.0         3         27,23,22         1958.5±1.3         16,16,14         1957.0±0.5         75,ND,ND           WP         94/08/19         0         10.0         3         27,23,22         1958.5±1.0         16,16,14         1957.0±0.5         75,ND,ND           WP         94/08/19         3         10.0         3         266,255,28         1973.0±0.0 <td< td=""><td>GR-420</td><td>WP</td><td>94/08/17</td><td>78.52</td><td>10.0</td><td>ဗ</td><td>812, 762, 558</td><td>1989.2±6.2</td><td>184, 170, 107</td><td>1975.7±3.7</td><td>1,907; 1,699; 992</td><td>Contam.</td></td<>	GR-420	WP	94/08/17	78.52	10.0	ဗ	812, 762, 558	1989.2±6.2	184, 170, 107	1975.7±3.7	1,907; 1,699; 992	Contam.
WP         94/08/18         48.29         10.0         3         152, 92, 940         1974.2±0.7         1,741; 1,448; 1,887         Contam.         51,180; 3,834; 55,900           WP         94/08/18         92.02         10.0         3         152, 92, 940         1967.5±1.5#         1,270; 584; 681         Contam.         51,180; 3,834; 55,900           WP         94/08/18         140.28         10.0         3         176,126,119         1968.7±1.3         15,78,9.5         1954.5±2.5         95,44,43           WP         94/08/19         55.7         10.0         3         4.3,5.1,3.4         1952.0±0.5         35,2.5,3.4         1948.2±0.7         19,14,14           WP         94/08/19         55.7         10.0         3         27,23,22         1958.5±1.0         16,16,14         1957.0±0.5         75,ND,ND           WP         94/08/19         0         10.0         2         1,099;1,100         Contam.         366,356         1994.0±0.5         75,ND,ND           WP         94/08/19         38.04         10.0         3         266,255,258         1973.0±0.0         2,306;2,142;2,084         Contam.         90,240;84,550;83,310	GR-421	WP	94/08/17	47.55	10.0	3	240, 170, 178	$1970.8\pm1.7$	114, 105, 107	$1972.3\pm0.3$	198, 100, 105	1993.5±0.5#
WP         94/08/18         10.0         3         152, 92, 940         1967.5±1.5#         1,270; 584; 681         Contam.         18,120; 7,821; 97           WP         94/08/18         140.28         10.0         3         176,126,119         1968.7±1.3         15,7.8,9.5         1954.5±2.5         1954.5±2.5         95,44,43           WP         94/08/19         55.7         10.0         3         4.3,5.1,34         1952.0±0.5         35,2.5,34         1948.2±0.7         19,14,14           WP         94/08/19         55.7         10.0         3         27,23,22         1958.5±1.0         16,16,14         1957.0±0.5         75,ND,ND           WP         94/08/19         0         10.0         2         1,099;1,100         Contam.         366,356         1994.0±0.5         789,785           WP         94/08/19         38.04         10.0         3         266,255,258         1973.0±0.0         2,306;2,142;2,084         Contam.         90,240;84,550;83,310	GR-416	WP	94/08/18	48.29	10.0	3	310, 284, 319	$1974.2\pm0.7$	1,741; 1,448; 1,887	Contam.	51,180; 3,834; 55,900	Contam.
WP         94/08/18         140.28         10.0         3         176,126,119         1968.7±1.3         15,7.8, 9.5         1954.5±2.5         1954.5±2.5         95,44,43           WP         94/08/19         21.08         10.0         3         4.3,5.1,34         1952.0±0.5         3.5,2.5,3.4         1948.2±0.7         19,14,14           WP         94/08/19         55.7         10.0         3         27,23,22         1958.5±1.0         16,16,14         1957.0±0.5         7.5,ND,ND           WP         94/08/19         0         10.0         2         1,099;1,100         Contam.         366,356         1994.0±0.5         789,785           WP         94/08/19         38.04         10.0         3         266,255,258         1973.0±0.0         2,306;2,142;2,084         Contam.         90,240;84,550;83,310	GR-415	WP	94/08/18	92.02	10.0	ဗ	152, 92, 940	1967.5±1.5#	1,270; 584; 681	Contam.	18,120; 7,821; 97	Contam.#
WP         94/08/18         21.08         10.0         3         4.3,5.1,34         1952.0±0.5         3.5,2.5,3.4         1948.2±0.7         19,14,14         14           WP         94/08/19         55.7         10.0         3         320,290,425         1975.2±2.3         62,51,99         1968.3±3.2         733,620,434           WP         94/08/19         1.08         10.0         2         77,23,22         1958.5±1.0         16,16,14         1957.0±0.5         7.5,ND,ND           WP         94/08/19         0         10.0         2         1,099;1,100         Contam.         366,356,356         1973.0±0.0         2,306;2,142;2,084         Contam.         90,240;84,550;83,310	GR-414	WP	94/08/18	140.28	10.0	3	176, 126, 119	1968.7±1.3	15, 7.8, 9.5	$1954.5\pm2.5$	95, 44, 43	1985.8±4.7
WP         94/08/19         55.7         10.0         3         320, 290, 425         1975.2±2.3         62, 51, 99         1968.3±3.2         733, 620, 434           WP         94/08/19         1.08         10.0         2         1,099; 1,100         Contam.         366, 350         1994.0±0.5         75, ND, ND           WP         94/08/19         38.04         10.0         3         266, 255, 258         1973.0±0.0         2,306; 2,142; 2,084         Contam.         90,240; 84,550; 83,310	GR-216	WP	94/08/18	21.08	10.0	3	4.3, 5.1, 3.4	1952.0±0.5	3.5, 2.5, 3.4	$1948.2\pm0.7$	19, 14, 14	1973.8±1.7
WP         94/08/19         1.08         10.0         3         27, 23, 22         1958.5±1.0         16, 16, 14         1957.0±0.5         7.5, ND, ND           WP         94/08/19         0         10.0         2         1,099; 1,100         Contam.         366, 350         1994.0±0.5         789, 785           WP         94/08/19         38.04         10.0         3         266, 255, 258         1973.0±0.0         2,306; 2,142; 2,084         Contam.         90,240; 84,550; 83,310	MT-153	WP	94/08/19	55.7	10.0	m	320, 290, 425	$1975.2\pm2.3$	62, 51, 99	1968.3±3.2	733, 620, 434	Contam.
WP 94/08/19 0 10.0 2 1,099; 1,100 Contam. 366, 350 1994.0±0.5 789, 785 WP 94/08/19 38.04 10.0 3 266, 255, 258 1973.0±0.0 2,306; 2,142; 2,084 Contam. 90,240; 84,550; 83,310	MT-152	WP	94/08/19		10.0	3	27, 23, 22	$1958.5\pm1.0$	16, 16, 14	1957.0±0.5	7.5, ND, ND	<1966.0#
WP 94/08/19 38.04 10.0 3 266, 255, 258 1973.0±0.0 2,306; 2,142; 2,084 Contam. 90,240; 84,550; 83,310	MT-238	WP	94/08/19		10.0	7	1,099; 1,100	Contam.	366, 350	$1994.0\pm0.5$	789, 785	Contam.
	MT-244	WP	94/08/19		10.0	ဗ	266, 255, 258	1973.0±0.0	2,306; 2,142; 2,084	Contam.	90,240; 84,550; 83,310	Contam.

**Table 2**. Concentration of dissolved chlorofluorocarbon compounds and average recharge year for water samples from the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio—Continuec

Well locations shown in figs. 4-7. Abbreviations: MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; pg/kg, picograms per kilogram; CFC-11, chlorofluorocarbon-11; CFC-12, chlorofluorocarbon-12; CFC-113, chlorofluorocarbon-113; ft, feet; deg C, degrees Celsius; Contam., chlorofluorocarbon

Weii	Group	Date	Saturated thickness <sup>1</sup> (ft)	Assigned recharge temper-ature <sup>2</sup> (deg C)	Number of ampuies anaiyzed <sup>3</sup>	Concentration of CFC-11 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-11 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>	Concentration of CFC-12 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-12 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>	Concentration of CFC-113 <sup>4</sup> (pg/kg)	CFC-113 Average recharge year ± age error <sup>5</sup>
GR-299	WP	94/08/22	0	10.0	3	3,527; 3,512; 3,470	Contam.	2,135; 2,130; 2,223	Contam.	71, 52, 59	1985.7±1.3
GR-439	WP	94/08/22	70.45	10.0	3	77, 76, 65	$1964.7\pm0.7$	65, 65, 64	1967.8±0.3	45, 74, 83	$1987.5\pm2.5$
GR-256	WP	94/08/22	49.04	10.0	9	42, 46, 53	1962.3±0.7	59, 46, 64	1966.8±1.3	132, 82, 76	1988.3±0.3#
GR-326	WP	94/08/23	3.96	10.0	3	699, 704, 708	$1987.8\pm0.3$	435, 436, 445	Contam.	74, 73, 72	$1987.5\pm0.0$
GR-319	WP	94/08/23	130.26	10.0	3	141, 137, 144	$1968.7\pm0.3$	158, 114, 140	1974.3±1.7	93, 95, 106	1990.3±0.3#
GR-318	WP	94/08/23	26.59	10.0	3	2,136; 2,383; 2,293	Contam.	534, 545, 518	Contam.	71, 31, 43	1983.8±3.7
GR-426	WP	94/08/23	0	10.0	3	19,100; 19,060; 18,540	Contam.	320, 324, 326	$1987.8\pm0.3$	191, 139, 108	Contam.
GR-323	WP	94/08/24	38.15	10.0	3	448, 237, 347	$1975.5\pm3.0$	144, 42, 82	1969.8±5.3	1,539; 405; 831	Contam.
GR-324	WP	94/08/24	110.71	10.0	3	244, 247, 233	$1972.3\pm0.3$	115, 112, 110	$1972.5\pm0.0$	418, 429, 360	Contam.
GR-321	WP	94/08/24	32.76	10.0	3	8,420; 7,943; 8,120	Contam.	1,141; 1,573; 1,586	Contam.	438, 80, 21	$1982.3\pm0.3$
GR-322	WP	94/08/24	133.82	10.0	3	86, 71, 60	1964.5±0.3	8.2, 6.0, 4.3	1951.2±1.8	57, 45, 38	1984.0±1.5

Saturated thickness is distance from water table to top of screened interval. For wells where depth to top of screen minus depth to water table is negative (for example, water table is within screened interval) the saturated thickness is reported as zer

Assigned recharge temperature is 10°C, which is based on dissolved-gas and stable-isotope data. Exceptions are those samples whose concentrations of dissolved nitrogen and argon indicate other

Because of interference by unknown compounds, the number of reported concentrations may be less than the number of ampules analyzed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Chlorofluorocarbon concentration data are given in relative collection order from left to right.

<sup>5</sup> Average model year ± age error is based on the average of the model years calculated from the given analytical data. The age error does not represent a rrue standard deviation and instead covers the range of model ages calculated from the given CFC concentration data

case, the model year is based on of ampule with lowest observed CFC concentration and therefore represents a minimum age (that is, water could be older if the lowest measured CFC concentration Either one ampule had CFC concentration greater than concentration expected for air-water equilibrium with 1993 or 1994 air, or one of two ampules measured had no detectable CFC's. In either

within the suitable range for age dating. For (1), the sample is designated contaminated with respect to the individual CFC compound. For (2), the model year is set to the minimum possible value (for For two out of three ampules, (1) CFC concentrations were greater than those expected for air-water equilibrium with 1993 or 1994 air, (2) CFC's were not detected, or (3) CFC concentrations were

[M], Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; ft, feet; mg/L,milligrams per liter; Y, yes, compound or group of compounds present; N, no, compound or group of compounds present; N, no, compounds present prese **Table 5.** Summary of nitrous oxide, reduced sulfur, and halogenated volatile organic compound detections in wells screened in the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio CFC, chlorofluorocarbon; --, no data available]

Well	Group	Date	Saturated thickness (ft <sup>1</sup> )	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	Nitrous oxide detected	Reduced sulfur detected <sup>2</sup>	Halogenated VOC's detected on CFC chromatographs	VOC's detected in samples collected by other agencies	Source of other agency VOC data <sup>3</sup>
MT-296	MI	930624	57.88	<0.1	z	¥	Υ	Υ	OE
MT-290	W	930625	9.56	0.1	Y	¥	Z	ND	ND
MT-419	MI	930930	14.63	10.7	z	z	Y	Z	DY
MT-421	M	930930	107.64	0.1	z	z	Y	<b>&gt;</b>	DY
MT-408	M	931006	18.21	8.0	¥	z	z	Z	DY
MT-409	M	931006	132.29	0.1	Y	z	z	Z	DY
MT-411	M	931006	104.18	<0.1	z	z	z	Z	DY
MT-410	M	931006	23.75	3.7	۲	z	z	z	DY
MT-400	MI	931006	21.95	5.5	Y	z	z	Z	DY
MT-401	M	931006	91.79	0.1	z	<b>&gt;</b>	z	Z	DY
MT-346	MI	931007	35.33	5.1	¥	z	z	Z	DY
MT-347	MI	931007	112.61	0.1	z	z	z	z	DY
MT-349	MI	931007	31.52	0.1	z	z	z	Z	DY
MT-350	MI	931007	104.98	<0.1	z	z	z	Z	DY
MT-351	M	931007	17.52	<0.1	z	z	z	Z	DY
MT-352	M	931007	17.67	<0.1	z	Z	z	Z	DY
MT-406	M	931008	21.1	0.4	z	z	Y	<b>&gt;</b>	DY
MT-407	M	931008	81.5	0.1	z	z	٨	⊀	DY
MT-353	MI	931008	5.14	<0.1	<b>&gt;</b>	X	<b>&gt;</b>	z	DY
MT-354	MI	931008	120.79	0.1	z	Z	z	z	DY
MT-361	M	931028	10	0.2	z	z	Υ	z	DY
MT-362	MI	931028	68.27	0.2	z	Z	X	z	DY
MT-357	MI	931028	9.19	0.2	<b>&gt;</b>	z	Z	z	DY
MT-358	MI	931028	4.04	0.2	Z	Z	z	z	DY
MT-343	MI	940830	85.65	<0.1	Z	<b>X</b>	z	z	DY
MT-342	M	940830	32.36	<0.1	Z	Z	z	z	DY
MT-344	M	940830	27.51	0.1	z	Z	Z	z	DY
MT-345	M	940830	91.04	0.1	z	z	z	z	DY
MT-359	MI	940831	15.27	0.1	<b>X</b>	z	z	z	DY
MT-360	MI	940831	50.66	0.2	Z	Z	z	z	DY

Table 5. Summary of nitrous oxide, reduced sulfur, and halogenated volatile organic compound detections in wells screened in the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio-Continued

[MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; ft, feet; mg/L, milligrams per liter; Y, yes, compound or group of compounds present; N, no, compound or group of compounds not present; VOC, volatile organic compound; CFC, chlorofluorocarbon; --, no data available]

Saturated
thickness oxygen (ft¹) (mg/L)
16.16 0.1
16.09 0.2
25.88 0.2
20.96 0.1
16.63 0.1
77.15 0.1
10.25 2.8
26.26 0.1
53.04 <0.1
62.92 0.3
25.79 0.1
8.61 4.0
11.6 1.6
47.05 0.1
87.92 0.1
74.24 0.1
45.11 0.1
15.13 <0.1
40.2 0.2
29.98 0.2
5.05 4.7
9.77 0.2
64.83 0.1
37.08 <0.1
99.21 <0.1
5.39 2.6
10.15 0.5
64.58 <0.1
12.64 <0.1
15.96 0.1
41.69 <0.1
39.77 <0.1

[MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; ft, feet; mg/L,milligrams per liter; Y, yes, compound or group of compounds present; N, no, compound or group of compounds not present; VOC, volatile organic compound; CFC, chlorofluorocarbon; --, no data available] Table 5. Summary of nitrous oxide, reduced sulfur, and halogenated volatile organic compound detections in wells screened in the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio-Continued

Well	Group	Date	Saturated thickness (ft <sup>1</sup> )	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	Nitrous oxlde detected	Reduced sulfur detected <sup>2</sup>	Halogenated VOC's detected on CFC chromatographs	VOC's detected in sampies collected by other agencies	Source of other agency VOC data <sup>3</sup>
MT-332	MO	940808	81.74	<0.1	z	z	z	z	MO
MT-335	МО	940809	13.26	0.7	<b>&gt;</b>	Z	<b>&gt;</b>	z	МО
MT-337	МО	940810	23.68	0.1	z	Z	<b>&gt;</b>	z	MO
MT-338	МО	940810	8.73	ò.1	z	z	<b>&gt;</b>	z	МО
MT-317	МО	940811	88.99	0.5	z	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>&gt;</b>	:	ı
MT-283	RE	930623	40.97	0.5	Z	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>,</b>	1	ı
MT-282	RE	930624	26.15	1.1	<b>&gt;</b>	z	z	ı	i
MT-281	RE	930624	10.19	3.3	<b>&gt;</b>	z	Z	;	ŀ
MT-297	RE	930624	79.41	0.1	z	<b>&gt;</b>	Z	;	ŀ
MT-284	RE	930625	3.11	4.7	<b>,</b>	z	Z	ŀ	;
MT-287	RE	930625	63.41	8.0	Z	z	z	1	1
MT-286	RE	930625	29.25	0.5	z	<b>&gt;</b>	Z	1	1
MT-1022	RE	630806	110.47	0.1	z	¥	Z	1	ł
MT-302	RE	930811	141.2	<0.1	<b>&gt;</b>	¥	Z	ı	;
MT-303	RE	930811	57.58	<0.1	Z	¥	Z	ı	;
MT-300	RE	930812	16.14	0.4	<b>&gt;</b>	z	Z	1	ł
MT-49	RE	930812	203.2	0.1	z	<b></b>	Z	;	;
WT-68	RE	930813	98.41	0.1	Z	<b>*</b>	Z	ŀ	ı
MT-311	RE	930813	31.26	<0.1	z	¥	Z	ı	1
MT-305	RE	930813	17.35	<0.1	Z	<b>X</b>	Z	1	ı
MT-310	RE	930816	226.97	0.1	z	Z	z	1	•
MT-288	RE	930816	52.13	0.1	<b>&gt;</b>	¥	Z	;	ı
MT-289	RE	930816	1.16	11.4	<b>&gt;</b>	Z	Z	:	!
MT-301	RE	930817	58.13	<0.1	Z	<b>&gt;</b>	¥	ł	;
MT-426	RE	930818	110.45	0.2	<b>&gt;</b>	¥	¥	I	ı
MT-306	RE	930818	72.02	0.1	z	Y	Z	:	;
MT-299	RE	930819	25.83	0.2	Z	Z	<b>X</b>	ŀ	ŀ
GR-317	WP	930621	112.77	0.2	Z	¥	Z	z	Sn
GR-316	WP	930621	40.47	0.9	Z	z	Y	Z	Sn
GR-334	WP	930622	131.97	0.3	z	<b>X</b>	z	<b>&gt;</b>	Sn
GR-333	WP	930622	12.18	4.3	¥	Z	¥	<b>*</b>	Sn
GR-324	WP	930622	111.24	0.2	Z	Z	Z	¥	SO

Table 5. Summary of nitrous oxide, reduced sulfur, and halogenated volatile organic compound detections in wells screened in the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio-Continued

[MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; ft, feet; mg/L,milligrams per liter; Y, yes, compound or group of compounds present; N, no, compound or group of compounds not present; VOC, volatile organic compound; CFC, chlorofluorocarbon; --, no data available]

Weii name	Group	Date	Saturated thickness (ft <sup>1</sup> )	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	Nitrous oxide detected	Reduced sulfur detected <sup>2</sup>	Halogenated VOC's detected on CFC chromatographs	VOC's detected in samples collected by other agencies	Source of other agency VOC data <sup>3</sup>
GR-323	WP	930622	38.52	0.2	Z	Z	z	Z	SO
GR-540	WP	930623	2.62	2.4	z	Z	Z	1	ł
GR-541	WP	930623	43.87	0.2	<b>X</b>	¥	Z	;	;
MT-65	WP	930817	156.01	0.1	z	z	z	i	;
MT-291	WP	930817	61.66	<0.1	Z	Z	Y	i	;
MT-427	WP	930927	78.93	ND	Z	¥	Z	z	DY
MT-425	WP	930927	11.14	0.3	٨	¥	Y	¥	DY
MT-429	WP	930928	106.21	0.2	Z	Z	¥	Z	DY
MT-428	WP	930928	20.75	1.3	¥	z	<b>X</b>	Y	DY
MT-435	WP	930928	21.84	40.1	z	Z	¥	¥	DY
MT-436	WP	930928	70.22	0.2	z	Z	Y	Z	DY
MT-430	WP	930928	4.07	40.1	Z	¥	Z	Z	DY
MT-431	WP	930928	112.56	<0.1	Z	z	Z	Z	DY
MT-433	WP	930929	9.33	0.2	z	z	Y	Z	DY
MT-434	WP	930929	83.75	0.1	z	Z	Z	Z	DY
GR-542	WP	930929	17.54	1.1	Z	Z	Z	Z	DY
GR-543	WP	930929	115.97	0.1	z	z	Z	Z	DY
GR-544	WP	930930	22.57	0.2	z	٨	z	Z	DY
GR-545	WP	930930	97.61	0.1	z	z	z	z	DY
GR-419	WP	940817	115.46	0.2	Z	¥	Y	¥	SN
GR-420	WP	940817	78.52	0.3	Z	Z	Y	Z	SO
GR-421	WP	940817	47.55	0.1	z	z	Y	z	SN
GR-416	WP	940818	48.29	0.2	z	<b>X</b>	Y	z	OS
GR-415	WP	940818	92.02	0.1	z	¥	z	z	SO
GR-414	WP	940818	140.28	0.1	Z	Z	z	Z	SN
GR-216	WP	940818	21.08	0.3	z	Z	z	z	Sn
MT-153	WP	940819	55.7	0.1	¥	z	<b>X</b>	Z	Sn
MT-152	WP	940819	1.08	0.4	Z	Z	z	Z	SO
MT-238	WP	940819	0	8.6	¥	Z	Υ	¥	SN
MT-244	WP	940819	38.04	<0.1	Z	¥	¥	¥	SO
GR-299	WP	940822	0	7.8	*	Z	z	Z	SO
GR-439	WP	940822	70.45	0.1	z	z	z	Z	NS

Table 5. Summary of nitrous oxide, reduced sulfur, and halogenated volatile organic compound detections in wells screened in the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio-Continued

[MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; ft, feet; mg/L,milligrams per liter; Y, yes, compound or group of compounds present; N, no, compound or group of compounds not present; VOC, volatile organic compound; CFC, chlorofluorocarbon; --, no data available]

Well	Group	Date	Saturated thickness (ft <sup>1</sup> )	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	Nitrous oxide detected	Reduced sulfur detected <sup>2</sup>	Halogenated VOC's detected on CFC chromato- graphs	VOC's detected in samples col- lected by other agencies	Source of other agency VOC data <sup>3</sup>
8-256	WP	940822	49.04	0.2	z	z	Z	Z	SO
GR-326	WP	940823	3.96	4.6	<b>*</b>	z	<b>&gt;</b>	Z	SN
8-319	WP	940823	130.26	0.1	Z	Z	<b>&gt;</b>	Z	SO
318	WP	940823	26.69	4.1	<b>&gt;</b>	Z	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>&gt;</b>	SO
-426	WP	940823	0	3.4	<b>&gt;</b>	Z	<b>&gt;</b>	Z	SO
-323	WP	940824	38.15	0.1	Z	Z	<b>&gt;</b>	z	SO
-324	WP	940824	110.71	<0.1	Z	Z	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>*</b>	SO
-321	WP	940824	32.76	0.1	<b>&gt;</b>	Z	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>&gt;</b>	SO
-322	WP	940824	133.82	<0.1	Z	Z	<b>,</b>	Z	SO

<sup>1</sup> Saturated thickness is distance from water table to top of screened interval. For wells where depth to top of screen minus depth to water table is negative (for example, water table is within screened interval), the saturated thickness is reported as zero.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Electron capture detector responds to hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) and methly sulfide (CH<sub>3</sub>SH).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> DY, Dept. of Water Supply and Treatment, City of Dayton, written commun. (1995), MI, CH2M Hill Inc. (1991); MO, U.S. Department of Energy (1995); OE, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency cited in CH2M Hill Inc. (1989); US, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Basewide Monitoring Program, unpublished data on file at Ohio District office of USGS.

Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; fi, feet; TU, tritium units; cc STP/g H<sub>2</sub>O, cubic centimeter at standard temperature and pressure per gram water; %, percent; -Table 7. Concentrations of tritium (3H), neon (Ne), and helium (4He) and 3H-3He recharge year for water samples from the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio [All tritium, neon, and helium concentrations and related data are from Shapiro and others (1998) except where noted. MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright--, no data available or not determined]

MT-266         930624         MI         57.88         189         0.21         246         605         330         1688         0.153         220           MT-290         930624         MI         57.88         189         0.21         246         605         330         1688         0.135         250           MT-290         930623         MI         1463         153         0.03         263         446         0.03         1.10         0.034         1450         150         0.03         1.10         0.034         1450         150         0.03         1.10         0.034         1450         0.03         0.03         1.10         0.034         0.03         1.10         0.034         0.03         1.10         0.034         0.03         1.10         0.034         1.10         0.03         1.10         0.03         1.10         0.03         1.10         0.03         1.10         0.03         1.10         0.03         1.10         0.03         1.10         0.03         1.10         0.03         1.10         0.03         1.10         0.03         1.10         0.03         1.10         0.03         1.10         0.03         1.10         0.03         0.03         0.0	Well	Date	Group	Saturated thickness <sup>a</sup> (ft)	[³H] (TU)	[³H] error (TU)	Ne <sub>total</sub> (10 <sup>-/</sup> cc STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O)	<sup>4</sup> He <sub>total</sub> (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O)	∆ <sup>4</sup> He <sup>b</sup> (%)	δ <sup>3</sup> Не <sup>с</sup> (%)	<sup>4</sup> He <sub>rad</sub> (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/ g H <sub>2</sub> O)	<sup>3</sup> н+ <sup>3</sup> не <sub>trit</sub> (TU)	<sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He recharge year <sup>d</sup>	<sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He age error (years)
930624         MI         57.88         18.9         0.21         2.46         6.05         33.0         16.88         0.153           930625         MI         9.56         14.8         0.13         1.95         4.70         6.59         -1.06         0.034           930620         MI         14.63         15.9         0.09         2.63         6.53         44.6         -0.20         0.092           931008         MI         14.63         15.9         0.09         2.63         6.53         44.6         -0.20         0.092           931008         MI         1.00         21.3         0.16         3.17         7.76         71.8         -2.44         -0.235           931028         MI         10.00         21.3         0.16         3.17         7.76         71.8         -2.44         -0.235           931028         MI         66.04         18.0         0.24         2.56         62.1         7.76         71.8         -0.007           940820         MI         66.0         16.5         0.10         2.44         5.89         -0.03         -0.23           940831         MI         66.0         16.5         0.10						Radic	genic helium c	orrection not requ	iired for age e	stimate				
930625         MI         956         148         0.13         1.95         4.70         6.59         -1.06         0.034           930930         MI         1463         135*         0.08*         2.63         6.53         44.6         0.20         0.09           931008         MI         1463         135*         0.08*         2.63         6.53         44.6         0.20         0.092           931008         MI         21.10         188         0.27         3.20         8.14         80.2         6.52         0.047           931028         MI         68.27         19.9         0.23         2.01         4.60         1.81         0.23         0.01         1.81         0.23         0.01         1.81         0.020         0.03         0.00         0.03         0.01         1.80         0.03         0.00         0.03<	AT-296	930624	M	57.88	18.9	0.21	2.46	6.05	33.0	16.88	0.153	25.0	1988.5	0.3
930930         MI         1463         155*         0.09*         263         6.53         44.6         0.020         0.092           931008         MI         21.10         188         0.27         3.20         8.14         80.2         6.52         0.047           931028         MI         10.00         21.3         0.16         3.17         7.76         71.8         -2.44         -0.235           931028         MI         68.27         19.9         0.23         2.01         4.60         1.81         0.936         -0.04         -0.24         0.01         -1.81         19.88         -0.060           931028         MI         64.04         18.0         0.23         2.01         4.60         1.81         0.039         -0.03           940830         MI         64.04         18.0         0.13         3.01         7.30         6.17         14.46         0.039         -0.24         5.89         3.04         1.282         0.039           940831         MI         5.21         3.02         0.13         2.24         5.89         3.24         0.029         0.039           94081         MI         5.63         5.45         5.45	4T-290	930625	MI	9:26	14.8	0.13	1.95	4.70	6.59	-1.06	0.034	14.9	1993.4	0.3
931008         MI         21.10         18.8         0.27         3.20         8.14         80.2         6.52         0.047           931028         MI         10.00         21.3         0.16         3.17         7.76         7.18         -2.44         -0.235           931028         MI         68.27         19.9         0.23         2.01         460         1.81         19.88         -0.060           931028         MI         64.04         18.0         0.24         2.56         6.21         37.6         -2.52         -0.039           940830         MI         64.04         18.0         0.13         3.01         7.30         61.7         14.46         0.09           940830         MI         22.4         0.10         2.44         5.89         3.04         10.26         -0.03           940831         MI         15.7         14.4         0.09         3.21         7.35         6.28         0.00         -0.03           940831         MI         15.1         16.6         0.10         3.11         7.35         6.28         4.89         -0.075           940810         MI         16.1         16.6         0.10         3.1	/T-419	930930	M	14.63	15.9 13.6*	0.09	2.63	6.53	44.6	-0.20	0.092	16.3	1993.4	0.4
931028         MI         1000         21.3         0.16         3.17         776         718         -2.44         -0.235           931028         MI         68.27         19.9         0.23         2.01         4.60         1.81         19.88         -0.060           931028         MI         68.27         19.9         0.23         2.01         4.60         1.81         9.060           931028         MI         64.04         18.0         0.13         3.01         7.30         61.7         14.46         -0.246           940830         MI         32.36         16.5         0.10         2.44         5.89         30.4         12.82         -0.00           940831         MI         32.5         16.5         0.15         2.36         5.64         24.9         33.94         -0.026           94081         MI         15.27         14.4         0.99         3.21         7.35         62.8         4.89         -0.075           94081         MI         16.6         0.10         3.11         7.35         62.8         4.89         -0.075           94081         MI         16.6         0.10         3.10         3.26         5.2<	fT-406	931008	M	21.10	18.8	0.27	3.20	8.14	80.2	6.52	0.047	22.2	1990.9	0.4
931028         MI         68.27         19.9         0.23         2.01         4.60         181         19.8         -0.060           931028         MI         9.19         16.6         0.24         2.56         6.21         37.6         -2.52         -0.039           931028         MI         6.404         18.0         0.13         3.01         7.30         61.7         14.46         -0.246           940830         MI         32.36         16.5         0.10         2.44         5.89         30.4         12.82         -0.036           940831         MI         32.5         16.5         0.15         2.36         5.8         4.89         -0.026           940831         MI         16.16         0.10         3.11         7.36         6.28         4.89         -0.026           940901         MI         16.16         0.10         3.11         7.36         6.30         17.61         -0.480           940901         MI         16.63         6.10         3.24         5.6         5.30         41.58         -0.176           940901         MI         16.63         6.29         6.29         41.58         -0.176 <t< td=""><td>fT-361</td><td>931028</td><td>M</td><td>10.00</td><td>21.3</td><td>0.16</td><td>3.17</td><td>7.76</td><td>71.8</td><td>-2.44</td><td>-0.235</td><td>11.7</td><td>1994.8</td><td>0.7</td></t<>	fT-361	931028	M	10.00	21.3	0.16	3.17	7.76	71.8	-2.44	-0.235	11.7	1994.8	0.7
931028         MI         9.19         166         0.24         2.56         6.21         37.6         -2.52         0.039           931028         MI         64.04         18.0         0.13         3.01         7.30         61.7         14.46         -0.246           940830         MI         32.36         16.5         0.10         2.44         5.89         30.4         12.82         -0.007           940830         MI         27.51         30.2         0.15         2.36         5.64         24.9         33.94         -0.029           940831         MI         27.51         30.2         0.15         3.21         7.35         62.8         4.89         -0.029           940831         MI         16.16         16.6         0.10         3.11         7.36         62.8         4.89         -0.029           940901         MI         16.16         16.2         2.40         5.65         25.9         4.158         -0.176           940901         MI         16.63         0.19         2.45         5.65         25.9         41.58         -0.176           940902         MI         53.04         0.20         2.79         7.29	(T-362	931028	MI	68.27	19.9	0.23	2.01	4.60	1.81	19.88	-0.060	25.4	1989.5	0.2
931028         MI         64.04         18.0         0.13         3.01         7.30         61.7         14.46         -0.246           940830         MI         32.36         16.5         0.10         2.44         5.89         30.4         12.82         -0.007           940830         MI         27.51         30.2         0.15         2.36         5.49         33.94         -0.029           940831         MI         16.16         16.6         0.10         3.11         7.35         62.8         4.89         -0.029           940831         MI         16.16         16.6         0.10         3.11         7.36         62.9         4.89         -0.029           940901         MI         16.16         16.6         0.10         2.45         5.6         25.0         41.58         -0.176           940902         MI         16.63         5.8         0.19         2.45         5.6         55.0         41.58         -0.176           940902         MI         5.30         0.10         2.45         5.6         5.7         41.58         -0.176           94091         MI         5.30         0.20         2.7         1.2         0.	1T-357	931028	M	9.19	16.6	0.24	2.56	6.21	37.6	-2.52	-0.039	16.1	1994.4	0.4
940830         MI         32.36         16.5         0.10         2.44         5.89         30.4         12.82         -0.007           940830         MI         27.51         30.2         0.15         2.36         5.64         24.9         33.94         -0.029           940831         MI         15.27         14.4         0.09         3.21         7.36         62.8         4.89         -0.075           940801         MI         16.16         16.6         0.10         3.11         7.36         62.9         4.89         -0.075           940901         MI         16.65         57.4         0.23         2.40         5.60         23.9         83.42         -0.176           940902         MI         16.63         57.8         0.19         2.45         5.65         25.0         41.58         -0.320           940902         MI         16.63         37.0         0.09         3.30         7.73         71.0         -0.87         0.165           930811         MO         8.61         37.0         0.72         2.49         5.79         0.104         0.05           940719         MO         5.05         0.13         2.75         <	fT-358	931028	M	64.04	18.0 17.7*	0.13 0.9*	3.01	7.30	61.7	14.46	-0.246	24.3	1988.5	9.4
940830         MI         27.51         30.2         0.15         5.36         5.64         24.9         33.94         -0.029           940831         MI         15.27         14.4         0.09         3.21         7.35         62.8         4.89         -0.075           940831         MI         16.16         16.6         0.10         3.11         7.36         63.0         17.61         -0.480           940901         MI         20.96         57.4         0.23         2.40         5.65         23.9         83.42         -0.176           940902         MI         16.63         55.8         0.19         2.45         5.65         25.0         41.58         -0.176           940902         MI         53.04         13.0         0.20         2.79         7.02         6.49         33.37         0.106           930810         MO         47.05         71.7         0.48         2.24         5.35         18.2         10.49         0.037           940719         MO         5.09         10.1         2.75         5.95         31.3         -1.06         0.313           940719         MO         5.09         0.13         2.75	fT-342	940830	M	32.36	16.5	0.10	2.44	5.89	30.4	12.82	-0.007	21.2	1990.3	0.3
940831         MI         15.27         14.4         0.09         3.21         7.35         62.8         4.89         -0.075           940831         MI         16.16         16.6         0.10         3.11         7.36         63.0         17.61         -0.480           940901         MI         20.96         57.4         0.23         2.40         5.60         23.9         83.42         -0.176           940901         MI         16.63         55.8         0.19         2.45         5.65         25.0         41.58         -0.176           940902         MI         53.04         13.0         0.09         3.30         7.73         71.0         -0.87         -0.57           930810         MO         8.61         37.0         0.20         2.79         7.02         54.9         33.37         0.106           940719         MO         29.98         19.1         0.11         2.53         5.83         2.02         0.313           940719         MO         5.05         0.13         2.75         5.95         31.3         1.06         0.843           940719         MO         9.77         33.4         0.16         2.09	ſT-344	940830	MI	27.51	30.2	0.15	2.36	5.64	24.9	33.94	-0.029	41.3	1989.1	0.2
940831         MI         16.16         16.6         0.10         3.11         7.36         63.0         17.61         -0.480           940901         MI         20.96         57.4         0.23         2.40         5.60         23.9         83.42         -0.176           940901         MI         16.63         55.8         0.19         2.45         5.65         25.0         41.58         -0.176           940902         MI         53.04         13.0         0.09         3.30         7.73         71.0         -0.87         -0.657           930810         MO         8.61         37.0         0.20         2.79         7.22         54.9         33.37         0.106           940719         MO         47.05         71.7         0.48         2.24         5.35         18.2         10.49         0.037           940719         MO         5.08         19.1         0.11         2.53         5.83         2.88         -2.02         0.313           940719         MO         9.77         33.4         0.16         2.08         5.95         11.06         0.843	1T-359	940831	M	15.27	14.4	0.00	3.21	7.35	62.8	4.89	-0.075	16.9	1991.9	0.5
940901         MI         20.96         57.4         0.23         2.40         5.60         23.9         83.42         -0.176           940901         MI         16.63         55.8         0.19         2.45         5.65         25.0         41.58         -0.320           940902         MI         53.04         13.0         0.09         3.30         7.73         71.0         -0.87         -0.657           930810         MO         47.05         71.7         0.48         2.24         5.35         18.2         0.106           940719         MO         29.98         19.1         0.11         2.53         5.83         2.83         -2.02         0.313           940719         MO         5.05         2.01         0.13         2.75         5.95         11.06         -0.843	fT-376	940831	MI	16.16	9.91	0.10	3.11	7.36	63.0	17.61	-0.480	24.2	1987.9	0.4
940901         MI         16.63         55.8         0.19         2.45         5.65         25.0         41.58         -0.320           940902         MI         53.04         13.0         0.09         3.30         7.73         71.0         -0.87         -0.657           930810         MO         8.61         37.0         0.20         2.79         7.02         54.9         33.37         0.106           940719         MO         47.05         71.7         0.48         2.24         5.35         18.2         10.49         0.037           940719         MO         50.98         19.1         0.11         2.53         5.83         28.8         -2.02         -0.313           940719         MO         5.05         26.0         0.13         2.75         5.95         31.3         -1.06         -0.843           940719         MO         9.77         33.4         0.16         2.08         5.00         10.3         30.14         0.152	IT-374	940901	M	20.96	57.4	0.23	2.40	9.60	23.9	83.42	-0.176	83.8	1987.9	0.2
940902         MI         53.04         13.0         0.09         3.30         7.73         71.0         -0.87         -0.657           930810         MO         8.61         37.0         0.20         2.79         7.02         54.9         33.37         0.106           940719         MO         47.05         71.7         0.48         2.24         5.35         18.2         10.49         0.037           940719         MO         5.98         19.1         0.11         2.53         5.83         28.8         -2.02         -0.313           940719         MO         5.05         26.0         0.13         2.75         5.95         31.3         -1.06         -0.843           940719         MO         9.77         33.4         0.16         2.08         5.00         10.3         30.14         0.152	T-404e	940901	MI	16.63	55.8	0.19	2.45	5.65	25.0	41.58	-0.320	8.89	1990.8	0.1
930810         MO         8.61         37.0         0.20         2.79         7.02         54.9         33.37         0.106           930811         MO         47.05         71.7         0.48         2.24         5.35         18.2         10.49         0.037           940719         MO         29.98         19.1         0.11         2.53         5.83         28.8         -2.02         -0.313           940719         MO         5.05         26.0         0.13         2.75         5.95         31.3         -1.06         -0.843           940719         MO         9.77         33.4         0.16         2.08         5.00         10.3         30.14         0.152	/T-415	940902	MI	53.04	13.0	0.09	3.30	7.73	71.0	-0.87	-0.657	13.1	1994.7	9.0
930811         MO         47.05         71.7         0.48         2.24         5.35         18.2         10.49         0.037           940719         MO         29.98         19.1         0.11         2.53         5.83         28.8         -2.02         -0.313           940719         MO         5.05         26.0         0.13         2.75         5.95         31.3         -1.06         -0.843           940719         MO         9.77         33.4         0.16         2.08         5.00         10.3         30.14         0.152	4T-308	930810	МО	8.61	37.0	0.20	2.79	7.02	54.9	33.37	0.106	50.4	1988.1	0.2
940719         MO         29.98         19.1         0.11         2.53         5.83         28.8         -2.02         -0.313           940719         MO         5.05         26.0         0.13         2.75         5.95         31.3         -1.06         -0.843           940719         MO         9.77         33.4         0.16         2.08         5.00         10.3         30.14         0.152	1T-946	930811	МО	47.05	7.17	0.48	2.24	5:35	18.2	10.49	0.037	75.3	1992.8	0.1
940719 MO 5.05 26.0 0.13 2.75 5.95 31.3 -1.06 -0.843 940719 MO 9.77 33.4 0.16 2.08 5.00 10.3 30.14 0.152	4T-320	940719	MO	29.98	1.61	0.11	2.53	5.83	28.8	-2.02	-0.313	18.9	1994.8	0.3
940719 MO 9.77 33.4 0.16 2.08 5.00 10.3 30.14 0.152	AT-319	940719	МО	5.05	26.0	0.13	2.75	5.95	31.3	-1.06	-0.843	26.0	1994.6	0.2
	(T-325	940719	МО	6.77	33.4	0.16	2.08	5.00	10.3	30.14	0.152	42.2	1990.2	0.2

[All tritium, neon, and helium concentrations and related data are from Shapiro and others (1998) except where noted. MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells, ft, feet; TU, tritium units; cc STP/g H<sub>2</sub>O, cubic centimeter at standard temperature and pressure per gram water; %, percent; -Table 7. Concentrations of tritium (<sup>3</sup>H), neon (Ne), and helium (<sup>4</sup>He) and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He recharge year for water samples from the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton,

-, no data available or not determined]

group code	_	Saturated thickness <sup>a</sup> (ft)	[ <sup>3</sup> H] (TU)	[³H] error (TU)	$\frac{Ne_{total}}{(10^7 cc}$ STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O)	$^{4}$ He $_{\rm total}$ (10 $^{8}$ cc STP/g H $_{\rm 2}$ O)	∆ <sup>4</sup> He <sup>b</sup> (%)	8 <sup>3</sup> не <sup>с</sup> (%)	<sup>4</sup> He <sub>rad</sub> (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/ g H <sub>2</sub> O)	<sup>3</sup> Н+ <sup>3</sup> Не <sub>т</sub> н (ТU)	³H-³He recharge year <sup>d</sup>	<sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He age error (years)
MO 37.08	37.0	   	52.2	0.22	2.46	6.16	36.0	149.5	0.213	103.9	1982.3	0.2
MO 12.64	12.0	<b>4</b>	57.9	0.23	2.15	4.91	8.39	46.47	-0.142	71.0	0.1661	0.1
RE 3.	κ,	3.11	14.8	0.08	2.41	5.76	27.6	8:38	-0.036	17.9	1990.1	0.4
RE 63.41	63	14.	21.6	0.24	2.51	6.16	36.4	74.92	0.052	47.7	1979.3	0.3
RE 29.	29	29.25	14.9	0.18	2.64	6.44	42.8	13.96	-0.037	20.3	1988.0	0.4
RE 16.	16.	16.14	19.0	0.27	2.40	5.73	26.6	1.60	-0.052	20.0	1992.8	0.3
RE 1.	<b>-</b>	1.16	12.7 11.4*	0.07	3.01	7.49	0.99	-1.32	-0.043	12.7	1993.9	9.0
WP 2.	2	2.62	15.3	0.22	2.61	6.16	35.9	-1.13	-0.202	15.3	1993.5	0.4
WP 43	43	43.87	14.9	0.22	2.57	6.20	36.8	-0.18	-0.024	15.3	1993.1	0.4
WP 78	78	78.93	22.9	0.20	2.45	5.42	20.0	43.02	-0.508	36.3	1985.5	0.3
WP 11	Ξ	11.14	17.8*	*6:0	2.43	5.78	28.0	10.86	-0.085	21.7	1990.2	0.3
WP 20	70	20.75	18.0	0.22	2.20	5.31	17.5	7.05	0.108	20.5	1991.5	0.3
WP 70	70	70.22	19.5	0.11	2.90	7.14	58.1	31.85	-0.078	32.6	1984.6	0.3
WP 17	17	17.54	15.1	0.24	2.34	5.62	24.5	1.05	<-0.001	15.8	1992.9	0.4
WP 0	0		14.7	60:0	5.06	4.79	6.10	-0.48	-0.024	15.0	1994.2	0.3
WP 38	38	38.04	22.9	0.12	2.66	80.9	34.6	71.78	-0.441	47.6	1981.6	0.3
WP 0	0		14.2	60.0	2.33	5.16	14.5	2.69	-0.411	16.4	1993.3	0.4
WP 49	49	49.04	0.01	0.07	2.41	80.9	33.9	174.3	0.215	0.69	1960.1	0.4
WP 26	26	26.69	15.3	0.10	2.36	5.37	19.2	12.27	-0.304	19.4	1990.5	0.3

Table 7. Concentrations of tritium (<sup>3</sup>H), neon (Ne), and helium (<sup>4</sup>He) and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He recharge year for water samples from the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio—Continued

[All tritium, neon, and helium concentrations and related data are from Shapiro and others (1998) except where noted. MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP. Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; ft, feet; TU, tritium units; cc STP/g H<sub>2</sub>O, cubic centimeter at standard temperature and pressure per gram water; %, percent; --, no data available or not determined]

			İ								i		
Well	Date	Group	Saturated thickness <sup>a</sup> (ft)	[³H] (TU)	[³H] error (TU)	Ne <sub>gtal</sub> (10 <sup>-7</sup> cc STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O)	<sup>4</sup> He <sub>total</sub> (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O)	<sup>∆ 4</sup> не <sup>b</sup> (%)	<sup>3</sup> нес (%)	<sup>4</sup> He <sub>rad</sub> d (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/ g H <sub>2</sub> O)	<sup>3</sup> H+ <sup>3</sup> He <sub>trit</sub> (TU)	<sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He recharge year <sup>d</sup>	<sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He age error (years)
					Radi	ogenic helium	Radiogenic helium correction required for age estimate	red for age esti	mate				
MT-421	930930	M	107.64	25.2	0.21	2.77	10.6	134	-17.20	3.72	35.6	1987.6	9.0
MT-408	931006	M	18.21	19.7*	*6.0	2.21	7.29	61.3	-9.72	2.06	27.4	1988.0	9.0
MT-409	931006	M	132.29	28.5	0.20	2.64	15.6	244	-19.72	9.10	61.1	1980.2	0.5
MT-400	931006	M	21.95	21.0	0.24	2.30	6.46	43.1	23.78	0.971	34.3	1984.3	9:0
MT-401	931006	M	91.79	29.9	0.16	2.05	21.4	373	-30.58	16.6	83.8	1975.4	0.4
MT-346	931007	MI	35.33	19.9	0.30	2.41	6.26	38.6	21.78	0.459	30.4	1986.2	9.0
MT-351	931007	M	17.52	29.2	0.18	2.23	6.27	38.9	154.2	0.989	88.8	1973.9	0.4
MT-352	931007	M	79.71	37.8 34.9*	0.52	2.53	21.9	384	28.62	15.7	158.1	1968.2	0.4
MT-407	931008	MI	81.50	23.9	0.34	2.52	9.18	103	27.46	3.04	54.8	1979.0	0.5
MT-353	931008	M	5.14	14.3	0.21	1.91	4.65	2.92	2.28	0.278	16.8	6:0661	0.7
MT-354	931008	M	120.79	19.6	0.14	2.62	17.7	291	-29.21	11.2	52.1	1976.3	9.0
MT-343	940830	M	85.65	22.9	0.25	2.69	7.98	76.6	17.14	1.37	38.3	1985.5	9.0
MT-345	940830	M	91.04	32.9	0.15	2.41	17.7	292	28.4	11.9	125.4	1970.6	0.4
MT-360	940831	M	99.09	16.1	0.10	2.61	7.15	58.3	15.51	0.756	26.7	1985.6	0.7
MT-375	940901	M	25.88	19.2	0.13	2.44	9.63	113	-12.95	3.74	32.9	1.5861	8.0
MT-405	940901	M	77.15	11.5	0.08	2.82	14.3	216	-15.02	7.87	42.5	1971.2	0.7
MT-414	940902	M	26.26	12.3	0.19	4.12	11.0	143	-2.04	0.226	12.7	1994.2	2.1
MT-307	930810	МО	25.79	61.6	0.83	2.07	7.31	61.5	138.4	2.49	131.9	1980.1	0.3
MT-944	930810	MO	11.6	60.3*	2.2*	2.65	6.84	50.9	23.32	0.350	71.5	1990.6	0.3

[All tritium, neon, and helium concentrations and related data are from Shapiro and others (1998) except where noted. MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; ft, feet; TU, tritium units; cc STP/g H<sub>2</sub>O, cubic centimeter at standard temperature and pressure per gram water; %, percent; -Table 7. Concentrations of tritium (<sup>3</sup>H), neon (Ne), and helium (<sup>4</sup>He) and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He recharge year for water samples from the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio—Continued

-, no data available or not determined]

<sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He age error (years)	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.5	9.4	9.0	9.0	0.4	7.0	7.0	0.4	0.7	7.7	9.0	9.0
³H-³He recharge year <sup>d</sup>	1988.9	1987.5	1978.0	1983.2	1977.2	1977.1	1983.0	1986.8	1983.4	1992.4	0.8961	1992.0	1967.8	1990.0	1968.9	1973.5	1988.6
<sup>3</sup> н+ <sup>3</sup> не <sub>trit</sub> (TU)	157.7	126.3	140.6	50.5	159.8	57.3	58.9	29.5	50.5	31.5	34.8	17.2	173.9	17.5	2.9	53.7	23.7
<sup>4</sup> He <sub>rad</sub> (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/ g H <sub>2</sub> O)	0.392	0.506	9.13	0.257	5.38	0.753	0.723	1.51	0.486	0.323	7.37	0.475	4.47	0.256	20.3	3.83	5.46
8 <sup>3</sup> Не <sup>с</sup> (%)	115.4	108.8	28.17	35.79	91.25	100.77	65.74	1.94	38.96	0.05	-19.59	-5.75	186.9	4.90	-72.91	30.01	-41.76
∆ <sup>4</sup> He <sup>b</sup> (%)	40.4	40.7	353	128	189	19.2	33.6	67.0	93.6	5.65	187	8.17	130	11.4	491	7.86	130
<sup>4</sup> He <sub>total</sub> (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O)	6.36	6.37	20.5	10.3	13.1	5.36	6.03	7.54	8.76	4.78	12.7	4.87	10.4	5.03	26.7	8.97	10.4
Netotal (10 <sup>-7</sup> cc STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O) \$	2.47	2.43	4.35	3.89	3.06	1.99	2.24	2.49	3.27	1.94	2.20	1.91	2.44	2.05	2.60	2.18	2.10
[ <sup>3</sup> H] error (TU)	0.40	0.31	0.79	0.39	0.33	0.32	0.46	0.11	0.39	0.40	0.05 0.6*	0.19	0.56	0.21	0.04	0.25	0.25
[ <sup>3</sup> H] (TU)	114.3	84.6	58.6	28.1 30.4*	63.8 55.8*	22.8	32.5	20.1 19.5*	28.5	29.3	8.4 7.6*	15.8	41.4	14.4	0.7 0.5*	17.5	17.8
Saturated thickness <sup>a</sup> (ft)	5.39	10.15	141.20	57.58	98.41	31.26	17.35	52.13	58.13	25.83	112.77	40.47	131.97	12.18	111.24	38.52	99.19
Group	MO	МО	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	RE	WP	WP	WP	WP	WP	WP	WP
Date	940802	940802	930811	930811	930813	930813	930813	930816	930817	930819	930621	930621	930622	930622	930622	930622	930817
Well	MT-328	MT-323	MT-302	MT-303	MT-68	MT-311	MT-305	MT-288	MT-301	MT-299	GR-317	GR-316	GR-334	GR-333	GR-324	GR-323	MT-291

Table 7. Concentrations of tritium (3H), neon (Ne), and helium (4He) and 3H-3He recharge year for water samples from the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio—Continued

Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; ft, feet; TU, tritium units; cc STP/g H<sub>2</sub>O, cubic centimeter at standard temperature and pressure per gram water; %, percent; -(All tritium, neon, and helium concentrations and related data are from Shapiro and others (1998) except where noted. MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright--, no data available or not determined]

Well	Date	Group	Saturated thickness <sup>a</sup> (ft)	[ <sup>3</sup> H]	[³H] error (TU)	Ne <sub>total</sub> (10 <sup>-/</sup> cc STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O)	<sup>4</sup> He <sub>total</sub> (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O)	∆ <sup>4</sup> He <sup>b</sup> (%)	<sub>8</sub> 3не <sup>с</sup> (%)	<sup>4</sup> He <sub>rad</sub> d (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/ g H <sub>2</sub> O)	<sup>3</sup> H+ <sup>3</sup> He <sub>trit</sub> <sup>d</sup> (TU)	<sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He recharge year <sup>d</sup>	³H-³He age error (years)
MT-429	930928	WP	106.21	25.2 21.7*	0.13	2.46	55.8	1134	-68.62	49.8	82.2	1972.6	0.6
MT-435	930928	WP	21.84	15.8	0.11	1.97	4.87	7.76	-1.05	0.320	17.7	8.1991	7:0
MT-430	930928	WP	4.07	17.1	0.21	161	4.64	2.80	1.32	0.288	19.5	5.1661	9.0
MT-434	930929	WP	83.75	38.2*	1.6*	2.77	7.93	75.6	265.2	1.07	158.2	1966.7	0.5
GR-543	930929	WP	115.97	10.3	0.16	2.56	15.4	241	-39.01	9.18	26.9	1976.5	6.0
GR-544	930930	WP	22.57	19.9	0.14	2.16	5.78	28.0	40.52	0.698	37.1	1982.6	0.5
GR-545	930930	WP	97.61	1.5	0.01	2.50	22.7	403	-67.97	16.6	6.1	1968.2	3.4
GR-419 <sup>e</sup>	940817	WP	115.46	1.0	0.03	2.48	26.6	489	-71.33	20.7	7.4	1958.7	3.1
GR-420	940817	WP	78.52	2.5	0.04	2.48	31.7	602	-71.64	25.7	15.6	1961.8	1.8
GR-421	940817	WP	47.55	9.61	0.11	2.40	7.64	69.2	42.81	1.87	48.3	1978.5	0.5
GR-416	940818	WP	48.29	20.4	0.11	2.39	7.07	56.8	45.76	1.31	45.9	1980.2	0.5
GR-415 <sup>e</sup>	940818	WP	92.02	0.5	0.01	2.47	26.1	478	-70.7	20.2	7.1	1945.2	3.2
GR-414 <sup>e</sup>	940818	WP	140.28	0.3	0.02	2.48	23.9	429	-71.37	17.9	2.6	1953.7	8.0
GR-216	940818	WP	21.08	29.2	0.13	2.45	6.35	40.8	8.191	0.438	89.2	1974.7	0.4
MT-153	940819	WP	55.70	17.3	0.10	2.67	9.47	110	-4.16	2.91	31.3	1984.1	0.7
MT-152	940819	WP	1.08	23.0	0.14	2.79	7.90	75.1	13.07	0.988	34.5	1987.4	8.0
GR-439	940822	WP	70.45	17.2	0.10	2.47	8.76	94.4	53.2	2.77	58.8	1972.8	0.5
GR-319	940823	WP	130.26	11.2	0.07	2.43	10.2	126	-34.0	4.31	15.7	1988.7	1.0
GR-321	940824	WP	32.76	20.8	0.10	2.42	6.62	46.6	81.66	10.8	55.5	1977.2	0.5
GR-322	940824	WP	133.82	26.5	0.13	2.48	23.4	418	-13.83	17.4	102.9	1970.4	0.5

Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; ft, feet; TU, tritium units; cc STP/g H<sub>2</sub>O, cubic centimeter at standard temperature and pressure per gram water; %, percent; -, no data available or not determined] [All tritium, neon, and helium concentrations and related data are from Shapiro and others (1998) except where noted. MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-Table 7. Concentrations of tritium (<sup>3</sup>H), neon (Ne), and helium (<sup>4</sup>He) and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He recharge year for water samples from the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio—Continued

Well	Date	Group	Saturated thickness <sup>a</sup> (ft)	[ <sup>3</sup> H]	[³H] error (TU)	Netotal (10°7 cc STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O)	<sup>4</sup> He <sub>total</sub> (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O)	∆ <sup>4</sup> не <sup>b</sup> (%)	<sub>8</sub> 3не <sup>с</sup> (%)	<sup>4</sup> He <sub>rad</sub> (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/ g H <sub>2</sub> O)	<sup>3</sup> н+ <sup>3</sup> не <sub>ий</sub> (TU)	<sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He recharge year <sup>d</sup>	<sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He age error (years)
					Radic	ogenic helium ca	Radiogenic helium concentration too high for age estimate	high for age es	stimate				
MT-324	940803	МО	64.58	15.0	0.09	2.45	3,490	76,891	-94.46	3,480		1	
MT-49	930812	RE	203.2	2.3	0.02	3.42	79.1	1,648	-90.52	70.5	;	;	;
						Samples a:	Samples affected by gas fractionation	actionation					
MT-355 <sup>e</sup>	940831	IW	16.09	14.0	0.09	3.07	7.05	56.2	-1.84	-0.638	;		:
MT-366	940902	M	10.25	13.8	0.19	3.00	6.72	48.8	-2.7	-0.800	:	ŀ	;
MT-321	940719	МО	40.20	17.5	0.09	2.43	6.10	34.6	-7.66	0.223	;	;	ŀ
GR-326	940823	WP	3.96	15.4	0.20	2.44	8.26	83.3	-33.81	2.37	:	:	ŀ
						Helium sample	Helium sample lost during extraction or analysis	ction or analysi	is				
MT-411	931006	MI	104.18	1.9	0.02	1	ı	;	:	1	ł	1	1
MT-410	931006	MI	23.75	23.0 22.9*	0.33	i	i	ì	;	:	ł	;	į
MT-347	931007	MI	112.61	13.4 13.5*	0.20	;	1	ı	1	;	;	;	1
MT-349	931007	MI	31.52	17.3	0.0	ì	;	;	ł	;	;	;	;
MT-309	930810	МО	62.92	73.1	86.0	;	i	;	;	ŀ	;	ł	i
MT-330	940804	МО	15.96	68.2	0.27	;	;	;	ţ	:	;	ł	ł
MT-283	930623	RE	40.97	22.6	0.12	:	:	;	:	ï	;	;	;
MT-282	930624	RE	26.15	20.8	0.30	i	;	;	ŀ	:	;	:	;
MT-281	930624	RE	10.19	20.4	0.29	1	1	ŀ	1	i	;	ŀ	;
MT-297	930624	RE	79.41	35.1	0.27	i	;	;	:	:	;	i	1

Table 7. Concentrations of tritium (3H), neon (Ne), and helium (<sup>4</sup>He) and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He recharge year for water samples from the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio—Continued

Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area; RE, Reconnaissance wells; ft, feet; TU, tritum units; cc STP/g H<sub>2</sub>O, cubic centimeter at standard temperature and pressure per gram water; %, percent; -[All tritium, neon, and helium concentrations and related data are from Shapiro and others (1998) except where noted. MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright--, no data available or not determined]

Weii	Date	Group	Saturated thickness <sup>a</sup> (ft)	[ <sup>3</sup> H] (TU)	[³H] error (TU)	Netotal (10 <sup>-7</sup> cc STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O)	<sup>4</sup> He <sub>total</sub> (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O)	∆ <sup>4</sup> He <sup>b</sup> (%)	<sub>8</sub> 3не <sup>с</sup> (%)	<sup>4</sup> He <sub>rad</sub> (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/ g H <sub>2</sub> O)	3H+3Hetrit (TU)	³н-³не recharge year <sup>d</sup>	<sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He age error (years)
MT-1022	608066	RE	110.47	35.0	0.18	:	:	:	;	:	:	1	:
MT-426	930818	RE	110.45	18.0	0.32	:	;	;	i	:	ŧ	;	;
MT-431	930928	WP	112.56	35.8	0.19	;	;		ŀ	:	ŧ	;	;
MT-433	930929	WP	9.33	15.3	0.23	;	;	:	;	:	ţ	;	:
MT-312	940718	МО	15.13	15.6	0.10	:	:	:	:	:	ŧ	:	:
MT-333	940804	МО	139.77	63.8	0.30	:	ŀ	;	:	:	;	:	:
GR-426	940823	WP	0	13.1	0.09	ŀ	;	;	ŀ	ı	l	ı	ŀ
					Tritic	ım concentratio	Tritium concentration too high for tritium/helium analysis	ium/helium an	alysis				
MT-316	940718	МО	87.92	320**	:		1	:	;		<u> </u>		:
MT-315	940718	МО	74.24	280**	;	;	1	ı	:	ì	;	;	ł
MT-314	940718	МО	45.11	270**	;	;	;	;	;	i	ţ	;	1
MT-329	940729	MO	64.83	**0067	;	:	;	:	ŀ	i	ŧ	ì	!
MT-327	940801	MO	99.21	1300**	:	;	:	;	;	ţ	:	;	:
MT-331	940804	MO	41.69	**002	:	;	;	;	:	i	ì	;	i
MT-332	940808	МО	81.74	**029	:	ť	ŀ	;	ţ	;	;	;	;
MT-341	940809	MO	37.4	1500**	;	:	1	1	:	;	;	;	ı
MT-340	940809	MO	0	1200**	:	;	;	ŀ	;	;	;	;	ŀ
MT-335	940809	МО	13.26	**005	1	ŀ	;	ŀ	;	;	1	1	ł
MT-337	940810	МО	23.68	1500**	ŀ	ı	ı	ŀ	:	ľ	١	I	i
MT-338	940810	МО	8.73	**029	:	ı	;	;	ŀ	·	1	ŀ	;
MT-317	940811	МО	88.99	220**	;	:	;	:	:	;	1	:	1

**Table 7.** Concentrations of tritium (<sup>3</sup>H), neon (Ne), and helium (<sup>4</sup>He) and <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He recharge year for water samples from the buried-valley aquifer near Dayton, Ohio—Continued

Patterson Air Force Base-Mad River well field area: RE, Reconnaissance wells; ft, feet; TU, tritium units; cc STP/g H<sub>2</sub>O, cubic centimeter at standard temperature and pressure per gram water; %, percent; -[All tritium, neon, and helium concentrations and related data are from Shapiro and others (1998) except where noted. MI, Miami and North Miami well fields area; MO, Mound Plant area; WP, Wright-no data available or not determined]

<sup>3</sup> H- <sup>3</sup> He age error (years)		1	i
<sup>3</sup> н- <sup>3</sup> не recharge year <sup>d</sup>		:	1
3H+3Hetrit (TU)		:	;
<sup>4</sup> He <sub>rad</sub> (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/ g H <sub>2</sub> O)		:	:
8 <sup>3</sup> Не <sup>с</sup> (%)	analyzed	ŀ	ŀ
∆ <sup>4</sup> Не <sup>b</sup> (%)	pe sample not	:	;
<sup>4</sup> He <sub>total</sub> (10 <sup>-8</sup> cc STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O)	Duplicate tritium sample-helium isotope sample not analyzed	:	;
Ne <sub>totai</sub> (10° cc STP/g H <sub>2</sub> O)	ite tritium samp	ŀ	;
[³H] error (TU)	Duplica	60.0	0.03
[³H] (TU)		17.7	0.7
Saturated thickness <sup>a</sup> (ft)		38.15	110.71
Group		WP	WP
Date		940824	940824
Well		GR-323	GR-324

Saturated thickness is distance from water table to top of screened interval. For wells where depth to top of screen minus depth to water table is negative (for example, water table is within screened interval),7 the saturated thickness is reported as zero.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> △ <sup>4</sup>He is computed percent saturation of <sup>4</sup>He relative to air-water equilibrium value for temperature at assumed recharge temperature of 10°C.

well MT-404 is screened from 25 to 45 feet below land surface and that samples were collected at very low pumping rates (100 mL/min) in an effort to prevent mixing of waters from different parts of d All data and computed values shown for well MT-404 are average values from four consecutive samples collected at 5-foot intervals at depths of 25, 30, 35, and 40 feet below land surface. Note that  $^{c}$   $^{b}$   $^{2}$ He is the percent deviation the  $^{3}$ He/ $^{4}$ He ratio of a sample from the  $^{3}$ He/ $^{4}$ He ratio of helium in air, where  $^{b}$   $^{3}$ He =  $[(R_{sample} - R_{air})/R_{air}] \times 100$  and  $R_{air} = 1.38 \times 10^{-6}$  (Clarke and others, 1976) the screen. However, water-quality data indicate that mixing did occur. See Shapiro and others (1998) for complete data set.

e All data and computed values shown for these wells (except for tritium data) are average of original and replicate sample analyses.

<sup>\*</sup> Tritium data for duplicate samples analyzed by the U.S. Geological Survey Tritium Laboratory in Menlo Park, Calif.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Tritium data from Alec Bray (E.G.&G. Mound Applied Technologies Corporation, written commun, 1994).